Ms. Kathy Kinsella 2101 L St., NW, #203 Washington, D.C. 20037

Dear "athy (and Bud),

Bud's 4/8 is addressed to the old number. More than a year ago the routes were renumbered. Without moving we are now on 12.

To the covering letter we were given identical copies.

You should be getting other such letters. From my Saturday's mail perhaps one from Secret Service.

I don't know how much of an issue you and/or Bud want to make of this but I doubt the applicability of (w)(7)(C) as requiring the obliterations we have. As an example all of graf 2. in the 5/11/64 to CIA. They are not to remove what is reasonably segregable.

It is my recollection that in that period there was anti-"astro training at Benning.

4/11/77

The first page of the next document is withheld entirely without explanation, indication or claim to exemption.

Its content is unreal. In the unreality may lie a clue to the belated release. It is entirely consistent with the current Epstein project. If this is the explanation it is not the only such angled release I have seen lately/ There was no such Kennedy-Khruschev deal. Moreover, there was no rational reason to suspect anything of the short.

Also interesting is the inclusion of what comes from CIA only and is malarky, the bit about Oswald getting \$5,000 (\$6,500 in original fabrication) at the Cuban embassy. If my recollection is correct, that this report was not then public, how did these types learn of it?

The rewriting of history (3/28/64) over the fishing-boat incident is apparent to one who knew that story them. This detockens the revanchist political slant and also is consistent with Spsteink'd needs.

But if this political infantilism is relevant to our JFK FOIA requests, then what is not? Wan the relevance lie ather than in what remains withheld? Meaning from these records belatedly provided? I recall no reference to the JFK assassination in them. Then how do they comply with any request?

Now if they are setting this up for their boy Epsteink there is a possible explanation.

There is also the possibility I have a request I've forgetten, one to which this is relevant. When they are more than a year bahind on all ay requests there is no depending on recollection. But were there a relevant request, with all that are older and to which there has been no response why these few pages of political paranoia - a view that just happens to coincide with Espetink's politics and book doctrine.

In a spot check some months back Idl noticed some pages missing. Supposedly they had been supplied. Later they were, with effusive thanks. They included exactly the same kind of intellectual garbage there was never any reason for withholding.

I'll give Jim the copy you gave me and he'll know, with a carbon of this letter, in the event there turns out to be basis for the Epstein Suspicion.

best,



US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AGENCT US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND FORT GEORGE G. MEADET MARYLAND 20755

MIIA-CAF

30 March 19

Mr. Harold Weisberg Route 12 Frederick, Maryland 21701

SUBJECT: (Freedom of Information Act Request

1. The inclosed Army-originated document was received by this office from the Central Intelligence Agency for a determination as to its releasability to you. This action is in response to your Freedom of Information Act request to the CIA, a copy of which is not available to this office. We have therefore coordinated our response telephonically with the CIA in order to expedite this request.

2. The Army-originated document forwarded by the CIA has been reviewe determined to be releasable and is forwarded herewith. Fees incidenta to the reproduction of this document are waived. The report has been sanitized to delete information about an individual which, if released to you, would involve an unwarranted invasion of his personal privacy rights and is exempt from the mandatory public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act per 5 USC 552(b)(7)(C).

FOR THE COMMANDER:

1 Incl as

CF: DAAG-AMR-S

CONLEY

Chief, Freedom of Information Cent