

Dear Jim,

6/7/76

This is not a request that you do something special overloaded as you now must be. Rather is it a request that you be alert to something I suspect, something that may be buried in the Times' financial section or someplace like it.

It is the false ^{report} ~~news~~ of Castro's assassination. I heard about it early and ~~some~~ several times. The wires had it and did not move it, which gets to my suspicion: could it have been an effort to manipulate the market?

That would provided the missing and needed touch: market commercialization.

Later in the day - my info is of after market closing time- at least one of the wires, probably UPI, moved the false report and both official denials, ours and Cuba's.

CBS TV evening news had none of this. Instead it had a complete detached item that had Castro denying any connection with the EFK job. I am sure it is part of his longer statement. CBS attributed to Cuban radio.

This gets to something I also missed and of which I learned today on one of these calls. Ford came out for a "limited" new investigation: one limited to "motive." Hart is a first-rate running dog. In the NY Daily News it was buried at the bottom of a campaign story. I'd have looked for it in the post if either of the all-news stations to which I listened last night or this morning had mentioned it. I threw the Post away. I was finished with it before 6 a.m. and was then listening to music.

Nothing really new here. I'll be enclosing carbons of letters to the DJ bums on the King case suit. JL has agreed for me not to prepare the rough of a long affidavit of response until after the hearing, to see if it is going to be used. It can't be ready in time. If he agrees to what I've proposed it may also be unnecessary. I think he is a little edgy about it. We discussed it tonight. He remembers that they gave us the names and has agreed to present that record to the court.

As I've written Howard, I want to stop this kind of thing and I want to use it. They have bought a ticket on the Clobbersville Express and I don't want them to miss it. I think by putting the judge on the spot we help her out of a worse spot.

We are going to note discovery. We'll include - Jim has agreed - DeLoach and Bishop.

I've asked Jim to move to strike this whole thing. He likes it in the record because it is so bad. They have to replace it if it is stricken, and they can't file any but a bad wone without capitulating.

The judge may not get upset about the defamation of me but I think she'll resent the effort to deceive her- from which I'll be protecting her.

Jim will have to prepare a brief affidavit.

I think they are hiding desperation to cut it all this thin, to swear falsely again. I'm encouraged by that. It tells me how right I am.

Hope your news is good. Best,