Judge John C. Casey

From this batch of abstracts and examination of the underlying records it appears
that in some respects my recollection was flawed and that Ray's robbery conviction was
not reversed at about the time he escaped.

Here again, there are improper withholdings in the abstracts in the usual and predicted effort to hide the impropriety of the withholdings in the underlying records. What is withhold also is disclosed, as in 44-32561-4760 (St. Louis 44-775, which also makes for unnecessary confusion. The (b)(5) claim is inappropriate.

EAS. (7)(C) claim only is made for withholdings pertaining to Ray's motion, as in "(obliterated) motion to set aside this conviction" and pertaining to "Ray would stand good chance of reversing his conviction," which is preceded and followed by obliterations.

3919 (in). The oblitaration (again (7)(C)) follows "MOTION STILL PRINTING METORES SL JUNCE JOHN C. Casey. The Off note for this serial includes, "...advise Judge John C. Casey. Wir Judge, planned to enter public order dir Ray to an ear and prosecute his pecking setions to vacate his sentence." This content is withhold from the underlying record, which concludes that Ray, "IF ALLYE, MAY REALIZE HE CAN BEAT ARREST MODERAY RAP, MEXICO HE HAS GOOD CHANCE OF BEATING THE KING RAP BUYONE A SCOTTMEN JUNY AND GIVE HELF UP."

2032. Total withholding of content, (b)(5). There is no olain that nothing is reasonably segregable because that form was not used.

The nature of the FHI's withholdings and FOIA practises in indicated by Secial 4003, which was reprocessed. As provided initially this is enong the withholdings: " RE JUDGE JOHN C. CASEY. CASEY STILL HAS MOTION TO DISMISS SUBJECTS MOTION TO VACATE UNDER ADVISEMENT. NO JUBICITY NOTED."

What is disclosed indicates that claims to exemption are subterfuges to hide what can be embarrassing and is not within any exemption.

(bcc: copies abstracts, serials in subject file)