LL: 44-775

ADMINISTRATIVE:

RE: CIRCUIT JUDGE JOHN C./CASEY

On May 10, 1968, Judge JORN C. CASEY, Circuit Court, Division 18. Municipal Court Bullding, 13th and Market Streets, St. Louis, Missouri, advised SA that he had originally sentenced subject to 20 years in the Missouri State Penitentiary following subject's conviction of an armed robbery of a Kroger Store in St. Louis, Missouri, in 1959. Subject appealed the conviction and sentence but the findings of the trial court were sustained. The appeal is reported in 354 Southwestern 500000, page 240.

Thereafter subject filed a motion to vacate the judgment and sentence under Section 27,26 of the Missouri Rules of Criminal Procedure on August 4, 1966 alleging improper introduction of his signed statement, failure to afford naw a samity hearing, allowance by the court of faulty jusy instructions, reception of perjared State testimony, and failure of the court to furnish him the advice and assistance of an attorney during the various stages of trial and appeal. Judge CASET noted that such motion and the argument in support thereof had been prepared pers nally by subject and that, although they were obviously based on similar nuttins and arguments of other prisoners which he knows to be on 'ile at the Missouri State bon tentiary as models for individual motions prepared by other prisoners, they were ably prepared, were on a par with similar motions and arguments regularly prepared by practicing attorneys in this area, and evidence a thorough knowledge and preparation on the part of the subject in regard to the specific points of law involved.

Judge CASE7 stated that he had thereafter overruled subject's motion but that the Supreme Court of Missouri had on April 12, 1967, reversed him on this matter and ordered subject's motion restored to his docket as of June 15, 1967. Judge CASEV noted that the matter had actually become academic as of that date due to subject's escape. Judge CASEV stated he had appointed Attorney JGHN D. SCHNEYDER of St. Louis to represent subject in this matter, but that to the extent of his knowledge SCHNEIDER never saw the subject or had any contact with him.