CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

OGC-89-50182

College Canonal Coursel

17 January 1989

Tames W. Lesar, Esquire
Hit & Street, N.W.
Autho 509
Washington, D.C. 20004

Re: Assassination Archives and Research Conter v. CIA, C.A. No. 88-2600 GHR (0.0.C. Dec. 21, 1988)

pear Mr. Lesar:

I am writing to you on behalf of the Central Intelligence has any (CIA) in connection with the above-referenced larget n. Pursuant to the Court Order dated December 21, 1938, as have completed our processing of documents responsive to your lien's request.

As noted in the CIA's declaration filed with the Court on 3 september 1987, we are responding to item one of plaintiff's respective all CYA information on George Herbert Walker Bush which might reflect a relationship with him prior to his term as Director of the CIA—and the second part of item two—documents regarding the Kennedy assassination or its devestigation that may have been sent to and reviewed by Mr. Such while haswas Director of Central Intelligence. The first pack of item two—all records on the Kennedy assassination or its lavestigation which mention George Bush, to the extent that plaintiff's request seeks "all records" which mention George Bush, to the extent that plaintiff's request seeks "all records" which mention George Bush and would not be searchable through CIA's indexing system, but a scaler, would require individual documents to be searched to a bermine if George Bush is mentioned. That would constitute research that we believe we are not obligated to use declared under the Freedom of Information Act.

This letter provides our determinations with respect to the state of t

excaptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(5). The basis for exempting the somitized material from the 16 documents released in part are as follows:

Document Number and Description

Exemption Basis

1.	1-page note, dated 14 June 1976.	(b)(3)	
		•	
384.	l-page Routing and Record Sheet, dated 9 July 1976, with attached 2-page memorandum dated 9 July 1976, with attached 1-page Washington Star Julicle, dated 8 July 1976.	(b)(1),	(b)(3)
548,	Pocument 8: 1-page Official Routing Slip, dated 3 August 1976, with attachments: 8-page text, undated; 2-page chronology dated 2 July 1971-23 July 1972; 3-page General Chronology, dated January 1960-22 November 1963; 1-page UPI News Service, dated 2 August. Document 8: 2-page memorandum, dated 31 July 1976.	(b)(1),	(b)(3)
€.	2-page memorandum, dated 2 August 1976, with attached 2-page article from "Midnight", dated 2 August 1976.	(b)(1),	(b)(3)
3.	3-page memorandum, dated 6 October 1976, with attached Washington Star article, dated 1 October 1976.	(b)(1),	(b)(3)
10.	1-page Outgoing Message, dated 1 October 1976.	(b)(1),	(b)(3)
11212.		(b)(3)	
	with attached document 11. Doc 11: 1-page Official Routing Slip, dated 2 October 1976, with attached: 1-page Washington Star article, dated 1 October 1976; and article dated 1 October.		
1:.	1-page memorandum, dated 15 September 1976.	(b)(3)	
1.7.	5-page memorandum, dated 15 September 1976.	(b)(1), (b)(5)	(b)(3)
15.	2-page memo with attached 2-page Wookinghon Post article.	(b)(1), (b)(5)	(b)(3)

1-page Note, dated 15 September 1976.

.. (b)(3)

2-page Washington Star article, dated 18a. 22 October 1976.

(b)(3)

(b)(3)

2-page letter, dated 5 December 1976, 20. with 2-page attachment. The two documents released in full are document no. 18, transcript of an interview of George Bush dated 19 July 1976, and decument no. 13, transcript of interview of George Bush on Most the Press on 22 February 1976.

Exemption (b)(1) encompasses matters that are specifically authorized under criteria established by the appropriate Associtive order to be kept secret in the interest of national defloase or foreign policy and which are, in fact, currently and properly classified.

Exemption (b)(3) pertains to information exempt from disclorure by statute. The relevant statutes are subsection 102(d)(3) of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended, 50 U.S.C. \$403(d)(3), which makes the Director of Central Intailigence responsible for protecting intelligence sources and methods from unauthorized disclosure and section 6 of the Manhral Inhalligance Agency Act of 1949, as amended, 50 U.S.C. \$803g, which exempts from the disclosure requirement beforeation pertaining to the organization, functions, names, official dities, salaries, or numbers of personnel employed by the Agendy.

Exemption (b)(5) pertains to information covered by common isw privileges. In this instance, exemption (b)(5) is asserted for the deliberative process privilege.

Your patience in this matter is appreciated.

Sincerely,

Cindy A. Ellis Attorney Advisor

Fuclosures

gu: Pathan Dodell, Esquire (w/encs) Ansistant U.S. Attorney