

P.O. Box 66
Glenn 5045
26 dc 74

Dear Harold,

Many thanks for your letter of Dec. 10th. I have just been spending five weeks holiday in Queensland, and I found it waiting for me, when I returned.

I note that you ^{do NOT} wish collaboration at this stage. I don't doubt that this is the wisest arrangement; but none the less, I am glad that I offered it.

I also note that you "will not use my discovery"; So be it!!! But I am too well aware that to a lawyer --- which is not a profession, which has enlisted either of us --- I have not made any "discovery". However I am sure we are agreed on what we do mean. So here goes.

The problem is not difficult, if one first dissects away the many layers of irrelevancies. Ultimately following the example of Sherlock Holmes -- which was the boyhood glamour book of my youth -- one comes to the bullets. Hence my title:--
"FIVE BULLETS AND PRESIDENT KENNEDY!"

Given only the rifle ^{with} the re-loading time of 2.3 seconds reported by the F.B.I., and the Zapruder film running at 18 frames a second, Oswald would not have had time to fire three shots at a moving target, let alone the four which were actually fired!!! Ergo Oswald did not fire all the shots, and as the Warren Report did not find a single accomplice, nor indeed any trace of one, ~~XXXXXXX~~ the converse may be assumed, and if there were two or more accomplices, Oswald was not one of them!!

There remains only the question of who organized the cover up. This seems to me self-evident, but I have not yet noted a citizen of the U.S.A. brave enough to name him --- nor for that matter the citizen of any other country!!!

The final question is whether the person who organized the cover up, began his planning before the shots were fired; For if he did, then one ~~may~~ has strong evidence that he planned the murder, and hired the assassins!! That would be catastrophic!

One hint that the cover up was planned before the shots were fired seems to me obvious; a second is ~~is~~ due to you, but only in one sentence of four volumes, and I much doubt ~~that~~ even you can cite it!!! The third is to be found in an apparently unrelated work, which no one else is likely to have noticed.

The great question is where to publish. Have you any advice? Evidently my book if believed will set the Democrats tearing ~~each~~ one another in pieces. So to a Republican the right time to publish is 9 months before the next election, that is early in 1976. Do you think there is any chance that Rockefeller might bite? He has the incentive, and a mere 1/100 of 1 per cent of the Rockefeller fortune would seem a reasonable price to me!! Or do you recommend some particular publisher? If so, name and address, please.

I will put another \$25 in the post to you, when the offices re-open. It is quite essential that I read ALL --- ~~what~~ repeat ALL -- that has been written, for only I, know what I am looking for. No summary, nor any one else's opinion is worth a jot: and this applies to even your worthyself!!

I should prefer to borrow other words -- placing a deposit of \$100 with you if you wish -- but if that is not possible, I must buy. I very much hope you will be able to help me.

Sincerely

Chris Candler

Chris Candler

TO OPEN SEE REAR ENVELOPE

BY AIR MAIL PAR AVION

AEROGRAMME



SENDER'S ADDRESS ON REAR ENVELOPE

Harold Weisberg,

Route 8

FREDERICK MD 21701

U. S. A.

COUNTRY OF DESTINATION

SENDER'S NAME AND ADDRESS

Chris Candler

P.O. Box 66

Glenslg. 5045

South Australia

POSTCODE

FOLD FLAPS BEFORE MOISTENING

RECEIVED
NOV 14 1954
10 11 AM
10 11 AM