

Call,

Dear Paul,

4/16/79

I've gotten a few FBI Newark records, two thin volumes of what they had in the LHO file, what was not previously processed.

I've gone through one in which there is what to me is an interesting record, an interview with Nelson Delgado I'd not have gotten if it had been "previously processed."

This means that it is close to certain that the record was not provided from FBIHQ, Dallas or New Orleans files as it should have been. I may look into this later because no exemption was claimed, nothing is obliterated and nothing qualifies for any exemption.

I do not recognize the handwriting on the worksheets and there is a different style to them. I therefore am inclined to believe that the agent who reviewed the record and not finding that it had been previously processed, seeing nothing within any exemption, disclosed it.

There are two different versions, the rough one indicating that at a point it was cut off. I've not checked it.

I am certain that some of the information is new and significant, assuming the accuracy of the FBI report. Newark was not all that cut in so I am less inclined to suspect deliberate dishonesty.

I would much prefer that you keep this to yourself because it lends itself to the kind of wild (to me) theorizing that has been so hurtful to us all and because there are sharp conflicts with earlier versions of the same information. An effort should be made to resolve these conflicts, if possible.

Until you have read this for yourself and reached your own conclusions and made your own estimate I would also prefer not to give you any other of my opinions about it and its possible meanings. So that I will know I'm again including a carbon for you to return with whatever comment you may make.

Mai will be making copies when she can. It is getting close to bedtime and she is still on a big job that should go out tomorrow, an appeal.

If I see anything in the other volume I'll send it along.

Now that the weather has moderated I'm getting natural exercise outside, working. This sometimes tires me and I have to rest. It is for the resting that I save the reading. I'll be sending some excerpts already copied with this. You can copy them if you like and then return this. I've marked it for my filing on the back so I'll know. Again, you may want to comment and again I want to keep others from running wild with this. If you want to share any of it please take it up with me first. I do not have time to go through and specify. It is from a bulky. I've a copy of the cover with each excerpt for identification only.

The student who is helping me part-time is working out very well. She is away visiting her family but I expect her back tomorrow. I am now able to have some checking done where before the repeated use of the stairs was too much. And she is keeping a file on every record I check and read. Thus I can consult a card file and tell you if I've read any volume in which you may have interest.

JW: There is a hunch I am not calling to his attention because unless I must I don't want to tempt him with Scott, Lifton, et al. There is a reference to a single Marine who is unlike all the others in having a common interest with LHO, classical music. I find myself wondering if he could be the guy who called me up on the Joe Dolan show and did not want to be aired or identify himself. I think the name is Call. You'll see it in the record (which please then send to Dave). If and when you may have library time I'd appreciate a check of the city directory to see if he is in the Bay area. If he was in 1966, then now, with address. Now I'd like to write him. My source on LHO's clearance.

Sincerely,

LHO - Marine

Dear Paul,

4/16/79

I've gotten a few FBI Newark records, two thin volumes of what they had in the LHO file, what was not previously processed.

I've gone through one in which there is what to me is an interesting record, an interview with Nelson Delgado I'd not have gotten if it had been "previously processed."

This means that it is close to certain that the record was not provided from FBIHQ, Dallas or New Orleans files as it should have been. I may look into this later because no exemption was claimed, nothing is obliterated and nothing qualifies for any exemption.

I do not recognize the handwriting on the worksheets and there is a different style to them. I therefore am inclined to believe that the agent who reviewed the record and not finding that it had been previously processed, seeing nothing within any exemption, disclosed it.

There are two different versions, the rough one indicating that at a point it was out off. I've not checked it.

I am certain that some of the information is new and significant, assuming the accuracy of the FBI report. Newark was not all that out in so I am less inclined to suspect deliberate dishonesty.

I would much prefer that you keep this to yourself because it leads itself to the kind of wild (to me) theorizing that has been so harmful to us all and because there are sharp conflicts with earlier versions of the same information. An effort should be made to resolve these conflicts, if possible.

Until you have read this for yourself and reached your own conclusions and made your own estimate I would also prefer not to give you any other of my opinions about it and its possible meanings. So that I will know I'm again including a carbon for you to return with whatever comment you may make.

Mil will be making copies when she can. It is getting close to bedtime and she is still on a big job that should go out tomorrow, an appeal.

If I see anything in the other volumes I'll send it along.

Now that the weather has moderated I'm getting natural exercise outside, working. This sometimes tires me and I have to rest. It is for the resting that I save the reading. I'll be sending some excerpts already copied with this. You can copy them if you like and then return this. I've marked it for my filing on the back so I'll know. Again, you may want to comment and again I want to keep others from running wild with this. If you want to share any of it please take it up with me first. I do not have time to go through and specify. It is from a bulky. I've a copy of the cover with each excerpt for identification only.

The student who is helping me part-time is working out very well. She is away visiting her family but I expect her back tomorrow. I am now able to have some checking done there before the repeated use of the stairs was too much. And she is keeping a file on every record I check and read. Thus I can consult a card file and tell you if I've read any volume in which you may have interest.

JDW: There is a hunch I am not calling to his attention because unless I must I don't want to tempt him with Scott, Lifton, et al. There is a reference to a single Marine who is unlike all the others in having a common interest with LHO, classical music. I find myself wondering if he could be the guy who called me up on the Joe Dolan show and did not want to be aired or identify himself. I think the name is Call. You'll see it in the record (which please then send to Dave). If and when you may have library time I'd appreciate a check of the city directory to see if he is in the Bay area. If he was in 1966, then now, with address. Now I'd like to write him. My source on LHO's clearance.