

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

noted 4/20/76
R

TO : Michael Shaheen

DATE: April 26, 1976

FROM : Attorney General

SUBJECT:

I am forwarding to you the memorandum prepared by Assistant Attorney General Pottinger and by Robert A. Murphy, Chief of the Criminal Section of the Civil Rights Division, on the partial review which has been made of the relationships to Martin Luther King, Jr. In addition, I include the commenting memoranda from the Deputy Attorney General, from Robert Bork, from Richard Thornburgh and the members of his staff, and from Antonin Scalia.

I note that Mr. Pottinger concludes that "we have not found a basis to believe that the FBI in any way caused the death of Martin Luther King" and that "we have also found no evidence that the FBI's investigation of the assassination of Martin Luther King was not thorough and honest."

My request for the review involved four matters. First, whether the FBI investigation of the Dr. Martin Luther King's assassination was thorough and honest; second, whether there was any evidence that the FBI was involved in the assassination of Dr. King; third, in light of the first two questions, whether there is any new evidence which has come to the attention of the Department concerning the assassination of Dr. King which should be dealt with by the appropriate authorities; fourth, whether the nature of the relationship between the Bureau and Dr. King calls for criminal prosecution, disciplinary proceedings, or other appropriate action.

As to the fourth point, I again note that from the partial review which has been made, Mr. Pottinger concludes "we have found that the FBI undertook a systematic program of harassment of Martin Luther King, by means both legal and illegal, in order to discredit him and harm both him and the movement he led." Assuming that the major statutory violations relevant to this conduct would be 18 U.S.C. § 241 and § 242, Mr. Pottinger's memorandum concludes that any prosecution contemplated under those acts would now be barred by the five-year statute of limitations with the possible exception which would exist if there were proof of a continuing conspiracy.



ORR # 15

open as 102

As to the matter of new evidence with respect to the assassination, my understanding is that the Department has never closed the Martin Luther King file and that numerous allegations of the possible involvement of co-conspirators are promptly investigated. The thrust of the review which I requested, however, was to determine whether a new look at what was done by the Bureau in investigating the assassination or in the relationship between the Bureau and Dr. King might give a different emphasis or new clues in any way to the question of involvement in that crime. At this point in the review, as I read the memoranda, nothing has turned up relevant on this latter point.

The review is not complete. Mr. Pottinger and all those who have commented upon his memorandum recommend that the review be completed. Mr. Pottinger also has made other recommendations upon which there is some difference of opinion. In my view, it is essential that the review be completed as soon as possible and in as thorough a manner as is required to answer the basic questions. In view of what has already been done, and the tentative conclusions reached, special emphasis should be given to the fourth question. In conducting this review you should call upon the Department to furnish to you the staff you need.

My conclusion as to the review conducted by the Civil Rights Division is that it has now shown that this complete review is necessary, particularly in view of the conclusion as to the systematic program of harassment. If your review turns up matters for specific action, we should discuss the best way to proceed on each such case.