Dear Jinn,
CA 75-1448
$9 / 10 / 75$
Tho Complaint came todoy. I like it and the approach. In akimint it I came to what I'd not consulted in some timo, the $5 / 22 / 740^{\prime} N a i l l$ appeal rejection. I balieve it oonfixms the suspicions I've had all along about what is withhold end why and that if necessary we ousht press for in omera inspection under conditions that permit us to addrese the judge on the possible contents and whether or not they are almaady partly diaclosed and now withheld for w:ongfiul purpose prevent official ecmarrossment.
i'm giad Paul has bowed out because this given you fracdom in your approach.
$0^{7}$ ieill broaks his refusala into national security and personnel matters. Ordinarily the latter is properly exompte However, there were several unusual porsonnel matters at that aproxinate tine and they hava discosed perbonnel matters whan discuseed in exacutive seasion shd recontly by other, unsdited documonts. (Anemsan fail?)

I do not know the exact date Adama reaignod. At about this time Hubert had decided to rosign. His kiming and his resignation ars both diacussed in the trenseripts I have. I an without doubt that Adans resigner becnuse he arw clearly the course the Coumiasion tooic. I bolieve this figures in Bubert's lecvine. Ho almpat triked to are. If I'd had the money to renain in N.O. a $2 i t t h e$ longer thast trip he would heve.

I tononf of nothine ordinarily conaidered a personnel matter that ghould heve caussid an eetire exoentive sesaion to be devolod to it. I don't lenow if I have the agonde for that sassion, but thy not cell Leoshy end ask for its Maybe that of the other e9sgicas, too.

The tro dates whers the CXA asked ska continued :ithholaing aro January 21 asd Junc 23.
 agont and サeasako are roled cat. Tho natum of the diacuasions $1 / 22$ and 27 ween to eliminate the probability that the subjuct was discussed that way but does not rule out diacussion that includas winat before thon hati bean publi boce. subject hars sasent. busenko itin't dafent until the woxt month.
 was so PBI interost, shat can bo the reagona, asouning legitimecy? liot secret foveign sources beawne thore were none to tap. The Russiana supposedly ueve cooperativs.
one of tia posaiblicition is the Oswale notolook which ajout then ues tive suoject of considerable Gomaiadion intsvent becauae the FDI oidtod parte out. This notsbook does disolose flussian names but none secret and none not disclosed by the Comm miesion. It alao bears indication of the serving of an intelligence functionbe The Wexioan atuft ues then known and hes been riogaed, su haw she fact that the GI had incide sources there.
 at this podnt ans I've fozgotien what in had in uind as a suecial posatiollty of the 1/21 teancosigt.

 we have more. Thoze wal ix.jroper vithhclding oi thiat thay let me have. I now have bath veraions. The special problems had to do with what was supprossed and tho passibility of embarrassment froui it. Coloman and Slawson sueir not to have been roluctant to sup-
 I did see they did not copy and then swore I haid not seen that were on this. I have
 memo is undated but I canat to believs that it dates to thay or juna, 1964 anci so marked on my íile. They'll fight ilike heil on this one if for no other reason. I qalso hevo corwesponionce in which the CIA (esp. Helms) atonowalled the Comisoion. I suspect this is part of what Ioward took out of Hite I've not queationed him and have not had time to check. In any event, I think wo ought not push them on this one, again ought concentrate on buiding a record and giving themt tive to holp us, and that when we can we should ait dow and exjlore all the possibilities of what these sessions can hold.
Sest,

