February 12, 1964

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

FROM: Edward P. Willens

RE: Staff meeting February 11, 1964

This staff meeting was called by Mr. Rankin to put before the staff the question of the proper handling of the ellegations of Las Barvey Osvald as an undercover agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation or other federal investigative agency.

Mr. Roukin reviewed for the members of the staff some of the salient facts regarding these allegations. He reported to the staff on the Commission meeting at which this metter was discussed with Texas officials Corr, Nade, Alexander, Jamorski and Storey. He also brought before the meeting the fact that the FMI in the Gerberling report of December 23, 1963 had omitted information to tim effect that the name, eddress, telephone number, license number of Special Agent James P. Mosty, Jr. of the Dollas office of the FSI was contained in Covald's address book. To complete the factual picture he pointed out to the staff that the Commission had received the letter of the FBI dated January 27, 1964 in which the fact that come of this information was contained in Oswald's address book was officially communicated to the Commission by the Dureau. Mr. Renkin indicated that this matter was an extremely difficult one which had concerned him and members of the Commission during the past few weeks.

The opinions of the members of the staff varied widely. Some thought that nothing should be done at this time until we had a further opportunity to appraise fully the work of the FRI, at which time a proper letter could be addressed to the FRI. Some members of the staff thought the significance of this conscion was not particularly great and that no further action should be taken at this time. Host of the members of the staff, however, thought that the emission of the Hesty information was of considerable importance and could not be ignored by the Commission. There was discussion so to the

possibility of the edverse effect on the relationship with the FEI if this matter were brought to its attention. The thought was expressed that pointing this omission out to the FEI might in fact produce more accurate reports by the FEI in the future. I suggested that the group consider the possibility of eddressing a letter to the FEI which would request an explanation from the Eureau regarding this matter. The majority of the members of the staff present at the meeting did not egree with the proposal that something of this sort be done in the near future.

At the end of the meeting Mr. Pankin suggested that the members of the staff consider all the facets of this problem more fully and indicated that there would be a subsequent staff meeting to discuss this further.