
Who Would Have Benefited the *Another View of Kennedy*

EXCLUSIVE TO SPOTLIGHT
By Martin Price

SPOTLIGHT April 16, 1979-3

Most?

Assassination

EXCLUSIVE TO SPOTLIGHT
By Martin Price

Harold Weisberg, considered by many to be the dean of the assassination buffs and who independently examined the slaying of President John F. Kennedy for more than 15 years, has concluded that the international bankers and industrialists who reaped billions in profits from U.S. involvement in Vietnam and other so-called "limited wars" were the men most probably behind the successful plot to kill Kennedy.

In an exclusive interview with The SPOTLIGHT Weisberg, who over the years has published five "underground" books dealing with Kennedy's slaying largely at his own expense, noted that in determining who might have killed the president it is important to ask two questions: "Who would have benefited? And of those who would have benefited, who was in a position to set up Lee Harvey Oswald?"

Dismissing any major, organized involvement by either right-wing or left-wing political groups, Weisberg's exhaustive studies have led him to conclude that those with both means and motive were the very men who feared and disliked Kennedy's foreign policy initiatives with regard to either South Vietnam or the Soviet Union, or


both.

He notes: "There was a very powerful, very well-connected group who were opposed to the liquidation of the Vietnam adventure, and who feared that Kennedy was moving to disengage us from what they saw as a potentially lucrative war. This group included many persons, but most notably those who made fabulous profits out of our involvement and the tons of materials, equipment and other goods wasted there. The second group—and these largely intertwined with the first—were uncertain of, or vehemently opposed to, the policy of detente being pursued by Kennedy.

"The key to understanding this is Kennedy's speech at American University and his call for a limited nuclear test agreement with the Soviets. People have forgotten this now for the most part, but it was important as a signal that he was finally grasping the foreign policy reins. The Cuban missile crisis marked a turning point for Kennedy. Until then he was a largely ineffectual president, and there were many people who wanted him to remain so."

MOTIVE AND MEANS

While Weisberg does not name any names in



his theorizing on who specifically might have been the Kennedy slaying, his ideas buttress The SPOTLIGHT's long-held contention that the forces of international business and big banking—as personified by the Rockefellers and their Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission, etc.—were clearly in a unique position not only to arrange and to carry out the assassination but to orchestrate the massive coverup that followed it.

Weisberg is particularly vehement about the coverup. He asserts: "There was never a real official investigation of the Kennedy assassination. Begin with that understanding and then know that it (i.e., the coverup) crossed all political lines. Lyndon Johnson," he adds, "was quite shrewd in placing the major responsibility for the probe on the 'conservatives.' When, after all, did you ever hear of a major presidential commission composed primarily of members from the opposite political party?"

THE CHICKENHOUSE PAPERS

Weisberg, 63, is a one-time investigative reporter, served with the OSS during and for a

time after World War II and eventually turned Senate investigator.

At the time of the Kennedy killing, he was in the process of liquidating a profitable chicken farm in suburban Maryland which had over the years become the depository for literally hundreds of thousands of pages of government documents which he has shaken out of the Justice Department, the FBI and the Central Intelligence Agency through numerous Freedom of Information Act suits.

Weisberg says that he became interested in undertaking the probe—which subsequently has consumed virtually his every waking hour—“because of the magnitude of the crime and because it was inconceivable to me that such a



JOHN F. KENNEDY

thing could happen in the United States of America and that both the press and the public could be totally closed off from the truth.”

Weisberg, who has come to believe firmly that

Lee Harvey Oswald was “simply a patsy” for the real killers, has compiled reams of long-suppressed government documents evidencing the deliberate “ineptitude” of the CIA, FBI and other official bodies involved in the Kennedy probe.

FBI SAYS EYEWITNESS REPORT “NOT PERTINENT”

One FBI report he examined recently, for example, showed that the county jailhouse in Dallas was located on the seventh floor of a building on the northeast end of Dealey Plaza, and that the prisoners—who crowded around the windows as Kennedy’s motorcade was passing by—had a unique vantage-point to witness the killing. The report also revealed at least one prisoner took the initiative of going to the FBI and of stating he saw a man with a rifle at a fifth-floor window of the Texas Book Depository—not at the sixth floor (which Oswald allegedly shot from). In reviewing this report, an FBI agent had made the notation: “Not pertinent.”

His anger rising even today, Weisberg notes: “This was known at the beginning. Before I ever

saw the FBI report I had heard it from reporters in Dallas. They knew that the prisoners were jammed at the windows, looking out. Yet none of these people was ever seriously questioned. This is just one example of what and how important evidence was excluded."

With regard to the Central Intelligence Agency Weisberg becomes even more vehement. He won't say the agency as a whole was involved in the killing. But he does not rule out the possibility that a clique within the agency played some role in plotting the president's death. Moreover, he blames the agency's most recent leaders for their various parts in suppressing evidence from both the Warren Commission and from the public.

Weisberg, who (as a former OSS officer) was more or less present at the creation of the CIA, notes that the agency "contains an inner circle which operates in its own manner—ultimately not responsible to anyone." He blames the agency's numerous failures on its long dependence on Ivy League leadership which has, over the decades, continued to "inbreed" instead of bringing in fresh "blood."

CIA DIRECTOR SAYS POLICY IS TO LIE

Through a long and costly Freedom of Information Act suit, Weisberg obtained what is perhaps the earliest proof of how the CIA and FBI operate. He successfully pried loose, from the National Archives, top-secret testimony by former CIA director and Warren Commission member Allen Dulles which was long kept secret on grounds of "national security."

The hearing testimony, which occurred on January 27, 1964, centered on the FBI's denial of a news story alleging Oswald had been an

informant for the bureau.

In a discussion among Warren Commission members concerning the validity of Hoover's denial and means by which the story could be even further discredited, Dulles—discussing the agency he had so recently headed under President Eisenhower—admitted that it is CIA policy to lie, even under oath, about their independent agents and informants, whom Dulles at one point termed "terribly bad characters."

"RASPUTIN" ANGELTON HELPS

Weisberg placed the blame for the CIA's coverup of information pertinent to the Kennedy assassination at the feet of former Counter-intelligence Chief James J. Angleton, of former Director of Operations ("dirty tricks") the late T.H. Karamessines, and of former Director Richard Helms. Among the many things which these men particularly did not want made public, he adds, were the comments Dulles made about the agency. Weisberg notes, "What Dulles essentially said, after all, was that the CIA would lie about anything, at any time and to anyone."

Angleton, whom Weisberg describes as a "would-be Rasputin," and the real leader in manipulating the CIA coverup, was revealed by *The SPOTLIGHT* (Nov. 28, 1977) as the U.S. intelligence official who worked closely with the Israeli intelligence arm, the Mossad, and as the man who allegedly conspired with Israeli Defense Minister Moshe Dayan in the nefarious 1967 Israeli attack on the "U.S.S. Liberty" in which hundreds of American seamen were killed and injured.

With regard to the House Assassinations Committee, whose plans for still another whitewash were disrupted by the discovery of a dictabelt recording that showed under analysis that four shots killed Kennedy rather than three

(Continued on page 14)