Federal Judge Doubts Good Faith Of Agencies in Disclosure Dispute

By ARNOLD H. LUBASCH

reau of Investigation yesterday as he refused to dismiss a lawsuit seeking docu-

ments about a missing Soviet defector. The judge, Robert J. Ward of the Dis-trict Court in Manhattan, asserted in an 11-page decision that the agencies' actions had given him "serious doubts about the competence of the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. to prepare affidavits that accurately summarize the substance of the documents."

Judge Ward issued the decision in a sult filed by Reader's Digest to obtain documents under the Freedom of Information Act about Dr. Nicholas George Shadrin, who has been described as a "double agent" for the United States.

The judge noted that the magazine sought the documents for an article and book on Dr. Shadrin, a Soviet naval officer who defected to the United States in 1959 and became an American citizen. He disappeared, apparently while on a spying mission for the United States, in Vienna six years ago.

Suit Seeks Details of Documents

The magazine's suit seeks a court order requiring the Government agen-cies to provide a detailed affidavit item-izing the Shadrin documents and explaining why thousands of them were being withheld.

The agencies contend that the Freedom of Information Act does not require them to provide any more of the documents, the judge noted. He said they had submitted several confidential affida-

A Federal judge criticized the Central question were so sensitive that public Intelligence Agency and the Federal Buthemselves, but even an affidavit describing them, would endanger the na-tional security of the United States."

Judge Ward said the two agencies could withhold documents if they submitted affidavits that specifically described the documents and explained the justification for not disclosing them. Judge's Confidence Diminishes

"Certain events that have occurred "Certain events that have occurred during the course of this action," he con-tlinued, "have so diminished the court's confidence in the ability of the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. to prepare proper affida-vits that the court is unwilling to rely on affidavits that do not individually re-view the documents in question." view the documents in question."

He said a Government attorney had informed the court that the investiga-tions bureau possessed 750 of the documents, had released none without deletions, had released 230 with deletions and had withheld 520 completely. The attorney said the intelligence agency possessed 3,472, had released 660 without deletions and 195 with deletions and had withheld 2,617.

"However," the judge continued, "it required only a cursory review of the affidavits for the court to determine that counsel for the defendants had grossly overstated the number of documents that had been released in redacted form by the C.I.A.

"The C.I.A. then filed an affidavit that stated that 124 documents previ-ously designated as having been submitted several connicential allida-vits to him to explain their position. "This procedure was adopted, with Reader's Digest consent," he said, "be-cause the C.I.A. and the F.B.I. took the position that the Shadrin documents in released in redacted form by the C.I.A."