Paris.

made on Italian state television that purport to implicate the CIA in Ita-ly's right-wing terrorism of the Andreotti to investigate statements 1970s ment of Prime Minister Giulio Cossiga has asked the govern-TALY'S President Francesco

legation. The destabilization of an It is an inherently implausible al-

By William Pfaff

seems a most unlikely American else would it be expected to say? which is no great help in Italy. What policy. The CIA denies involvement allied and conservative government

are conspiracies. medium and because a CIA link a state-controlled communications no means Florentine in limit. There political reality, anciently rooted, by theory responds to a certain Italian exotic, is not eccentric. Conspiracy pretations of public events, while Italian taste for conspiratorial interspiracy of the 1970s has long been with the "P2" Masonic Lodge conthe accusation was made by way of mands a serious response because the subject of Italian rumor. The President Cossiga's request de-

the unexpected reversal last week tions of 13 people — including Licio by an appeals court, of the convicest in this particular conspiracy was What has rekindled Italian inter-

> were killed and 200 injured. gna's railroad terminal, when 85 pation in the 1980 bombing of Bolo-Gelli, Grand Master of the P2 Lodge previously found guilty of partici

puts It. versal power," as La Republica state of a system of "hidden transof the continued existence in their the assumption, posed right-wing terrorists. Thus have set aside convictions of supdecade and a half that appeal courts This is the fourth time in the last by many Italians,

egregious allegations of its iniquity. A recent renewal of the charge that the investigation Mr. Cossiga has asked. The agency has given too pendent evidence that this was not country actually produced indeing the 1965 military coup in that of thousands of Indonesians followit bore responsibility for the murder support clarification of the more theorists of the world, and should many hostages to the conspiracy The CIA could itself benefit from

elected officials of government rectly or indirectly told to be up to by been up to anything it was not diing that the CIA has rarely if ever The record is less glamorous, showcaped U.S. national responsibility thus, implicitly, one which has esits identification as a "rogue" force the agency by its enemies has been An aspect of the demonization of

The CIA as Handy Conspirator

judgements properly follow, on the conduct of intelligence agencies, and on larger matters as well. allies are part? If so, moral civilization of which the U.S. and its political morality exist within the advantage? Or does a proscriptive fied pursuit of national interest and standard of expedience, of unqualishould hold — one's country. Is it a standard to which one holds - or er matter, raising the question of the What it should be up to is anoth-

> having written out of "passion, confusion and pure malice. bassador, Jeane Kirkpatrick, of

tional survival has been the domisurvival. Thus, in Israel, where natality and a positive danger to its than that of its rivals is sentimention to a higher standard of conduct realism requires a nation nant issue for 40 years, many ask They say that to hold one's own nanation — to live as the world lives There are many who believe that

is a subject of deep and enduring cans would wish to defend doctrines political and philosophical controtoo many hostages to the conspiracy theorists of Mr. Cossiga has asked. The agency has given The CIA could benefit from the investigation versy. However I think few Amerithe world, and should support clarification of the more egregious allegations of its iniquity. The morality of nations' conduct

ics of national policy or actions in moral terms. I did so recently, with of political amorality or moral nihilcused by the U.S. former U.N. Am-Cambodia, and as a result stand acrespect to the 1971 U.S. invasion of ism. Yet people often attack the crit-

> ez al-Assad's Syria or Saddam Huswhy those who criticize Israell poli-cies should hold their country to a higher standard than they hold Haf were relevant measures of how Isradents al-Assad and Hussein act sein's Iraq — as if the way Presi-

one must hold one's own country el should act.
The counter-argument is that because their adherence to such a and its allies to a higher standard

Commentary

standard is one of the qualities that makes them worth defending. Historically, the American position has been that the American nation merits respect and defense because it was established for a moral purpose, as the Declaration of Independence explicitly states. I criticize policies of the United States in moral language because I have exceptional expectations of the United States. Others perhaps have not.

The argument that one must live as the world lives, as the English historian Herbert Butterfield has said, "is the vulgar doctrine that morality does not pay; its only purport is the reduction of good men to the standard of the worst." Indeed, morality does not "pay" in any material or expedient sense. Hence the vulgar doctrine is nonetheless a defensible one — if not one which most Americans seem likely to want to ayow.

Yet what do these Americans really believe about national actions that contradict international law and the common morality? Panama's invasion is the latest case. It has been debated for its political fallout and the efficacy (or lack of same) with which it was carried out.

People ask whether General Noriega will actually be convicted, and what it will mean if he is not. The moral foundation for such an invasion has had little public attention, and the issues of law have only perfunctorily been addressed. Such matters are not part of the mainstream debate. I ask myself why.