Trial Papers Detail Lies on Iran Affair,

By Walter Pincus and George Lardner Jr. Washington Post Staff Writers

Independent counsel Lawrence E. Walsh yesterday laid out "new and disturbing facts" that he said showed top government officials lied about then-President Ronald Reagan's knowledge of a possibly illegal arms-for-hostages shipment to Iran in November 1985.

In a report to Congress, Walsh made public much of the documentary evidence that he said he would have used at the trial of former defense secretary Caspar W. Weinberger, whom then-President George Bush pardoned Dec. 24.

Citing notes written by Weinberger and a top aide to then-Secretary of State George P. Shultz, Walsh said Weinberger "opposed disclosing the arms sales to the public and acquiesced as other administration officials provided information to members of Congress and to the public that Weinberger knew to be false."

The independent counsel described a series of White House meetings in November 1986, when the secret deals with Iran were becoming public, at which Reagan's

aides were trying to cover up the president's approval of the November 1985 shipment of Hawk missiles to Iran. This was a particular concern because the shipment had been carried out with the aid of the CIA without formal presidential authorization and in apparent violation of the Arms Export Control Act.

Making the situation more difficult, Reagan had by then twice publicly stated that no laws had been broken in the course of the arms deals.

Walsh said that at the first White House briefing for congressional leaders on the arms deals, then-na-

Walsh Says

tional security adviser John M. Poindexter falsely stated that there had been no transfer of arms to Tehran before January 1986, attempting to hide the 1985 Hawk shipment. Walsh said Reagan and others present at the Nov. 12 meeting, including Weinberger and then-Vice President Bush, knew Poindexter's statements were false but "permitted them to stand."

At a subsequent National Security Council meeting on Nov. 24, then-Attorney General Edwin Meese III said the November 1985 shipment was "not legal because no

See IRAN-CONTRA, A8, Col. 1

IRAN-CONTRA, From A1

[presidential] finding" had been signed, but Weinberger's notes have Meese adding "President not informed." Walsh said Weinberger and others at the session, including Shultz, knew this was false.

A day later, at a news conference, Meese repeated the claim that the president had not known of the 1985 shipment when it occurred and did not learn about it until months later.

Weinberger's lawyer, Robert S.

Bennett, issued a blistering statement yesterday accusing Walsh of releasing "a work of fiction . . . that is all old stuff which is not supported by the evidence." Bennett attacked Walsh as "a bitter man trying to rehabilitate a damaged reputation."

The independent counsel made his presentation in a voluminous "Fourth Interim Report to Congress," including 49 pages of Weinberger's long-secret notes about the Iran-contra affair and a two-inch stack of exhibits that Walsh said he would have used at trial. The independent counsel promised that more details will be provided in his final report.

Walsh charged that in pardoning Weinberger, Bush "thwarted the public airing" of the new evidence and prevented the trial of a former colleague "whose prosecution arose out of events in which the president himself participated."

If the Weinberger case had come to trial, Walsh said, former White House chief of staff Donald T. Regan would have testified that "he was



testified under oath that June that he had no records "regarding Iran-contra." notes were four feet away in a desk drawer when the defense secretary notes while being photographed for a magazine article. Walsh's report says the Caspar Weinberger, preparing to leave office in November 1987, packs his diary PHOTO © BY ROGER SANDLE

shipment. knowledge of the November White House was attempting to "re-arrange the record" about Reagan's Shultz would have testified that the concerned about the possibility of impeachment" because Reagan's adthat were not true. Walsh also said visers were making excuses for him

the president in a memo, "errone-ously," that he and Reagan could execution witnesses. In disclosing the six days before the pardon, a close pect to be called to testify as prosfriend of Bush, Henry Catto, told Walsh disclosed that last Dec. 18, Walsh appeared to be imply

> been a factor in his decision to grant ing that Bush's apprehension over being called as a witness may have pardon.

report to correct the "misconcep-Walsh pardon was announced and pointed initially directed at Bush when the panded on the sharp criticism doning someone who has been inpast 30 years for a president's parout there is no precedent over the ions" that Bush used as justifica-In yesterday's report, Walsh exbut has not yet come to trial said he was submitting the

tions for the Weinberger pardon.

The independent counsel as

saying that Walsh had "criminalized policy disagreements between the Bush was wrong in asserting that his action would not "prevent full Executive Branch and Congress." the American people" and wrong in disclosure of some new key fact to

suspicions President kn[ew] about their activities, etc." opposed a Reagan pardon of earlier ble idea [that would] would confirm ger, in an August 1987 note, had ran-contra defendants as "a terri-

proven guilty." system, after all, is innocent until imputes guilt.... The American as vice president, opposed pretria pardons for them because "a pardon

own in November 1986." administration's conduct and their them—particularly Meese and "perhaps" Bush—to "searching" public cross-examination "about the Walsh said he would have subjected ministration officials as witnesses, the detense had called former ad-If Weinberger had stood trial and

calling Bush or Reagan to the stand. tors had "absolutely no intention" of A Walsh spokesman said prosecu-

contra affair. In making his case yesactivities and knowledge of the Iranecutors about the existence of notes ment in the arms deals as far back as former defense secretary's involvenotes to show for the first time the terday, Walsh used he had taken while in office and counts of lying to Congress and prosthe summer of 1985. Weinberger was charged with four Weinberger's bis.

folded, refused to admit to congresactivities, but after the scandal unularly took detailed notes of his daily Weinberger, the report said, reg-

sional investigators that he had any only four feet from the desk drawer such records. taken in his office in June 1987, the report said, "Weinberger was sitting hat contained his diary notes" while At one congressional deposition

Walsh pointed out that Weinber-

was preparing to leave office in November 1987, the report continued, Weinberger personally packed his

diary notes and was photographed

memory regarding Iran-contra events." A few months later, as he

no records that could supplement his

he testified under oath that he "had

The report also noted that Bush

others during high-level meetings. and dating them after the fact, systematic fashion, often labeling ing so. also saved jottings passed to him by berger not only kept his notes in tor a magazine article as he was do According to the report, Wein-

today's meeting: IT'S GOING TO BE A LONG TWO YEARS." lowing handwritten note" to the defense secretary, Walsh reported: gressional leaders, for instance, Meese "apparently slipped the fol-Conclusions reached as a result of At the Nov. 12 meeting with conto the