Richard Cohen

## What Reagan Could Say About North

Oliver North. government yet. He's determined to remain silent on Office, he is about to strike his biggest blow against more than five years after Reagan left the Oval and substance of his political ideology. Now, a bit rusm, antipathy for government was about the sum Ronald Reagan's disdain for big government is well known. He loathes it. Aside from anticommu-

convicted of shredding evidence, accepting an illegal gratuity and—most important—lying to Congress, its candidacy is like an atheist bidding to be pope. the Senate from Virginia. egerdemain—a technical appeal that, in other cases, has people like him up in arms about ACLU lawyers North is a free and rich man today by dint of legal North is the bemedaled prevaricator running for e Senate from Virginia. Given that North was

and daffy judges.

Had North limited his lying to Congress, most Americans might consider this a brouhaha of no concern to them. But a group of former Reagan and Bush administration officials is as exercised about North sometimes does not know the truth or prefers not to speak it. Specifically, they charge that North embelished his role in Reagan's White House, exaggerated his relationship with the president and lied when he fingered Reagan as the brains behind opposed by George Shultz, Alexander Haig, Caspar Weinberger, Edwin Meese III and Frank Carlucci. Even North's old Iran-contra compadre, retired Gen. John Singlaub, has blasted him. Their grievances: North as are members of Congress. His candidacy is

Much of the Reagan loyalists' case against North was laid out in the June 1993 Reader's Digest. The conservative publication caught North saying he was with Reagan when others say he wasn't and taking tran-contra,

> Secord, no liberal by any means, told the Digest that North knew well that he should never have accepted the fence. "You go over those rules all the time," he said. "They are very well known." This was not after a purported death threat-makes some former credit for decisions he had no part in. Even North's vaunted \$13,800 security fence for his suburban "Humor in Uniform." officials apoplectic. Retired Air Force Gen. Richard Virginia home—erected in a harrumph of justification

ming is he with cinematic vainglory, so certain is he that he alone stood between the awful Sandinistas and the children, orphans and occasional virgins of middle America, that his delivery is faultless. He is Jinuny Stewart in "Mr. Smith Goes to Washington," another matter. he would do with Hermann Rorschach's ink blots is Marines, North could certainly take a hill, but what and not a talk radio personality. In the tradition of the Gordon Liddy back when Liddy was a mere sociopath John Wayne in a zilion war movies or, if you will, G. North has an answer for every charge. So brim-

judgment have a who-struck-John quality to them—their word against his, insufficient to snap North's fans out of their delirium. What's needed in the political equivalent of Cecil B. De Mille's voice in one ly feel so strongly about North that they have injected themselves into the sort of intraparty strug-The men now allied against North could stock a whole administration—and, in fact, they once did. Some are not connected with Virginia. They evidenttioned authority.
It's Reagan who could polish off North. The former of his biblical film epochs: a word from an unquesgle where they would be expected to stay neutral. Still, their statements about North's honesty and

arms around him and said, "I told you not to worry You can trust Americans." Good story—except Reawas not with the president that day. North told the gan's press secretary, Marlin Fitzwater, said North students evacuated from Grenada came back to the United States. North told friends that Reagan put his the White House's living quarters when the medica Marine once claimed that he was with his president in

ill of a fellow Republican." This raises an interesting so-called 11th Commandment—"Thou shall not speak reversal on appeal, as conservatives have so instructed become a United States senator? (I'm ignoring the mandment or the possibility that a convicted liar will question: What's more important—the silly 11th Com But Reagan could. The former president, though, will say nothing. He abides by the Republican Party's Digest he will not now comment on the story.

or his party? Apparently, it's to his party-not, mind

over the years.) Is Reagan's first loyalty to his country

much good. He may be the one guy who could lose to you, that a North primary victory would do the GOP

could do both his party and his country a service by speaking up. (North's primary opponent, James Miller, is a good guy.) ings-and then others were always present. Reagan Chuck Robb, the Democratic incumbent House logs put the grand total at only 19 meet North has said he met twice a week with him, White Reagan could point out, for instance, that while North's most fervent supporters pause and think A word from the ex-president would make even

May I suggest that Reagan ignore the 11th Commandment and refer to the Ninth instead. It's the one about bearing false witness. His silence