Teday: Mostly cloudy, aftermoon flurries or wet snow. High 42. Low 32. Wind south 8-16 mph. Sunday: Partly sunny, milder. High 46. Wind south 8-16 mph. Yesterday: Temp. range: 37-43. Wind chill: 30. Details on B2.



116TH YEAR

No. 42

SATURDAY, JÁNUARY 16, 1993



... reveals infighting over scandal PRESIDENT BUSH

The White House yesterday reand George Lardner Jr.
Washington Post Staff Writers By Walter Pincus

Bush said he had been "out of the made on Nov. 5, 1986, months beknowledge while vice president was which he said, "I'm one of the few fore a newspaper interview in which people that know fully the details." That private statement of Bush's

ican hostages then being held in loop" on the covert dealings with Lebanon by pro-Iranian terrorists. Tehran to gain the release of Amer-

Casey had been tipped off," aragua. But he noted that "maybe to support the contra rebels in Nicof the diversion of arms sale profits that he had no advance knowledge intensified. Bush also emphasized ing less and less knowledge as the furor over the Iran-contra affair then-CIA Director William J. The excerpts show Bush profess-

disclosed in November 1986 and in

covert arms sales to Iran were first President Bush started the day after leased excerpts of a long-secret diary

entry that he intended the diary Although Bush said in the first

> adviser Robert C. McFarlane. mer White House national security secret trip to Tehran taken by forreports about the arms sales and a be "observations on my run for the presidency in 1988," he began it on Nov. 4, 1986, the day that American newspapers carried the first

scandal. and top advisers to then-President ally described the infighting and tain no bombshells, Bush graphic-Ronald Reagan at the outset of the fears that gripped the White House Although the diary passages con-

Reagan himself, on the day the



Sections

- A News/Editorials

 B Metro/Obituaries
- Business
- F Real Estate/Comics
- Style/Television Classified/Religion
- Today's Contents: Page A2

Prices May Vary in Areas Outside Metropolitan Washington (See Box on A4)

25c

public was first told that Iran profits had been diverted to the contras, was depicted by Bush as "troubled" that two White House aides were resigning. Bush said Reagan "didn't quite see that there was anything wrong."

The 45 pages of diary excerpts relating to the Iran-contra scandal were selected by Bush's outside lawyer, former attorney general Griffin Bell, as relevant to the longrunning investigation of the affair by independent counsel Lawrence E. Walsh.

The publicly released notes, how-

ever, deal only with the first two months following the disclosure of the scandal, up to Jan. 2, 1987. Walsh has inspected entries through 1988 as part of an inquiry he launched last month on learning for the first time of the diary's existence. He has said he first requested any such records from then-Vice President Bush's office in February 1987 and expanded on that request last June. Delivery of portions of the diary to Walsh began on Dec. 14.

Hired to represent Bush after See IRAN-CONTRA, A4, Col. 1

'Details' of Iran Arms Sales

dent. The prosecutors at the time were preparing to seek an indictment of former national security adviser John M. Poindexter, former White House aide Oliver L. North and several others on charges of conspiring to defraud the U.S. government during the Iran-contra affair.

In his deposition, Bush repeatedly said he could not recall specific events relating to arms sales to Iran in 1985 or other incidents concerning secret support of the contrast that involved him or his aides.

For example, Bush said he could not remember any details of a meeting he had in Jerusalem in July 1986 with Amiram Nir, a counterterrorism adviser to the Israeli prime minister. At that time, Nir was assisting North in the arms sales to Iran. According to notes taken at the meeting by Bush's top aide, Nir told Bush at the meeting of a new plan to deliver arms to Iran in return for the release of American hostages.

Asked if he recalled Nir talking about the finances involved in the arms shipments, Bush replied: "I don't. I don't think—if this thing [his aide's notes] reflects it, I don't. I mean I haven't looked back at this item in detail, but I don't recall it."

Near the end of the nearly fivehour session, prosecutors asked Bush about his activities on the weekend prior to the Nov. 25, 1986, public disclosure of the diversion of arms profits to the contras. "Do you remember that weekend whether or not you discussed with anyone the subject of the Iranian arms sales?" Bush was asked.

"No," Bush replied, "I would have to prompt my memory. I would have to look at a personal schedule and then I could tell you."

Bush did not disclose, nor was he asked, whether he had a diary covering that period. As Bell pointed out in his report, Walsh's prosecutors "failed to ask Vice President Bush during his January 1988 deposition about the existence of any additional materials, including diaries, that might be relevant to Iran-contra."

Bush dictated the diary entries into a tape recorder, and they were not transcribed until months later by an aide in Houston. They place Bush in the midst of Iran-contra backbiting to which Reagan seemed oblivious. Bush recorded that he "couldn't sleep all night" after learning about the diversion, which he said he knew would be "a major flap."

The next day, after Poindexter and North were ousted, Bush dictated that a presidential commission to investigate the matter "is not supposed to find out who did what. It is supposed to find out what ... how we should handle foreign policy in the future, etc." He suggested that he might head the panel himself, but he saw "the problem being that I am so close to the administration that some might say coverup."

Bush also awarded an "A for John Poindexter and Ollie North, too. Both patriots—both decent and honorable men. Both walking the plank."

See Ary

Bush Diary Says He Knew

IRAN-CONTRA, From A1

Walsh publicly accused the president of "misconduct" for failing to produce the notes earlier, Bell said he "identified no misconduct on the part of President Bush or any White House employee with regard to the delay in producing the relevant transcripts."

Walsh's office issued a terse statement calling yesterday's release "selective... Because our investigation is ongoing, we are not free to comment on the accuracy or the completeness of [Bell's] report," said Walsh spokeswoman Mary Belcher.

In a 14-page report accompanying the notes, Bell said the diary's Iran-contra contents were not discovered until last September when a career White House employee, Patty Presock, found them in a safe on the third floor of the White House and brought them to Bush's attention.

"President Bush did not believe that the transcripts had any relevance to Iran-Contra, but Ms. Presock believed that they did," the report said. "As a result, the President asked [White House counsel C. Boyden) Gray, who was unaware" of the diary, to review it "for relevance."

Gray reviewed the diary between Sept. 25 and Oct. 1 and determined that various passages were relevant to Walsh's requests. However, Gray decided "unilaterally" not to do anything about the relevant entries or tell Walsh of their existence until after the presidential election, Bell said.

Bell said Gray's decision was based partly on "the need to interview individuals who might have had knowledge of why the transcripts were not discovered earlier." Bell said Gray also felt the delay justified because Walsh himself had postponed an interview with Bush because of the possible publicity it might produce in the midst of the campaign.

Gray waited almost a month before discussing the diary with his White House colleagues, and another week went by before an attempt was made to notify Walsh. Walsh's office was told about the entries on Dec. 11 and began a review with the first delivery on Dec. 14.

Ten days later, Bush pardoned former defense secretary Caspar W. Weinberger and five other Irancontra defendants, a step he had once recommended against as vice president on the grounds that "a pardon imputes guilt." The action prompted Walsh to reveal the belated disclosure of Bush's diary notes and accuse the president of "misconduct."

Walsh plans to question Bush, Gray and others about the failure to tell him about the diary's existence until last month. The Iran-contra prosecutor also wants to interview Bush about the coverup of the scandal that Walsh says began in November 1986.

Along with the diary entries, the White House yesterday released the 174-page deposition that Bush gave to Iran-contra prosecutors on Jan. 11, 1988, while he was vice presi-

Bush Officials Ordered to Preserve Copies of Computer Records

By Matt Yancey
Associated Press

computers before deleting the materials from the machines. records stored in their personal to make portable backup copies of National Security Council officials ordered departing White House and A federal appeals court yesterday

er electronic records are preserved tronic messages-E-mail-and othcourt ruled in the Clinton administration, the be inherited by their replacements nal hard drives of machines that will officials erase them from the inter-Only after the millions of elecfull on backup disks or tapes can

of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the ly overturned lower court rulings Thursday and last week forbidding District of Columbia Circuit partial-The order by a three-judge panel

> the White House from cleaning out or writing over the computer data before President Bush leaves office next week.

preserved in identical form ... "
said the appeals court panel headed
by Chief Judge Abner J. Mikva and or alter such information as they see fit, so long as the information is including Circuit Judges Stephen F. Williams and David B. Sentelle. "Defendants may remove, delete

However, the panel said the backups must "faithfully replicate user directories and any other inall information removed, deleted or formation contained in the original altered, including message logs,

yesterday it would hold off destroycy appeal seeking to overturn ing any electronic records while awaiting the results of its emergen-The White House had said earlier

previous orders of U.S. District Judge Charles R. Richey.
In seeking to reverse Richey's orders, the White House had said in court papers this week that Bush's incoming administration's staff members." to create 'clean' user space for the user data at each work station on all en a Jan. 15 deadline to "write over national security staff had been givpersonal computer systems in order

defiance of his order of last week to the administration to "take all nec-essary steps to preserve, without erasure, all electronic federal rec-ords" generated by the National Security Council's staff and other White House offices Richey had looked upon that as a

for the public interest groups whose 1989 suit brought about the spate of court rulings in the past 10 days, said Michael Tankersley, an attorney

documents, in the case.

"If they adhere to the [appeals court] order, everything will still be around," he said. "Our concern is that not everyone will strictly adhere to this. So there's going to be a lot of watching the next few days."

The administration had asked the

mises Bush's right to keep certain appeals court to reverse Richey's

the appeals court decision leaves intact the thrust of Richey's orders. "The window the court of appeals

still required to preserve the stuff," collects declassified government curity Archive, a private group that said Tankersley, who represents Public Citizen and the National Segave them is very narrow. They're

presidential records confidential.

The case is the first to apply the electronic 50-year-old Federal Records Act to communications.

> long as paper copies were made of such E-mail, the electronic versions in the computers could be erased White House had argued that as

printed copies seldom contain all the information that was in the a memo and when it was received named recipient received a copy of computer, such as who besides the Richey, however, noted that

ment officials know and when they tions, but also in the Watergate" probe, said the judge, who was apnot only the Iran-contra investigaknew it has been a key question in Richard M. Nixon. pointed to the bench by President "The question of what govern-

only the final versions of policy state to paper, denying investigators, journalists and historians an opportunity to see how they evolved. ments and memos are often reduced The plaintiffs also contended that

1 the section of the section of the sections of the section of the

4) 17