## The Country Team

he Nation has learned the identities of the C.I.A. station chiefs who have directed the U.S. and Guatemalan agents working inside the Guatemalan Army's notorious G-2. If Congress is serious about investigating the U.S. role in Guatemala's holocaust, it should call these men to testify—publicly—along with various higher-ups.

V. Harwood "Vinx" Blocker 3d (who served from 1977 to 1980) can speak on the early years of the Gen. Lucas García regime, when the Guatemalan Army, with U.S. backing and support, staged a series of high-noon assassinations that decapitated the popular movement. His interim successor, Barry Royden (1980), can also speak to that theme, as can Robert Hultslander (1981-83), who arrived at the height of the urban terror and who ran the station as the Guatemalan Army ravaged the Mayan countryside. Vincent M. Shields (1983-84) and Jack McCavitt (1984-86) can testify to the General Mejía years, when the army perfected its web of clandestine torture centers and persecuted the relatives of the disappeared. Rafael Mariani (1987-88) can discuss the crimes of Gen. Héctor Gramajo Morales, a C.I.A. asset (Mariani told me that Gramajo had "an excellent reputation"), as can Alfonso Sapia-Bosch (1988-91), who ran the station during the assassination of anthropologist Myrna Mack, the gang-rape and torture of Sister Dianna Ortiz, the massacre at Santiago Atitlán and the execution of innkeeper Michael DeVine. Frederic Brugger (1991-93) and Dan Donahue (1993-95) can talk about the Bámaca case and also the more than 1,000 other assassinations carried out during their time. (The agents-some of whom have previously been named in print as C.I.A. men by myself and others—were identified through interviews with U.S. and Guatemalan officials and through written sources, including U.S. pay manifests.)

The point of calling these men would not be to use them as fall guys for Washington's crimes (the policy, after all, has been made by the White House, the State Department and Congress itself) but rather to illuminate—with firsthand details—how the U.S. terror system works on the ground.

Mariani, the only one of the station chiefs willing to speak to me at length on the record, inadvertently made a telling point when he said that, in Guatemala, the U.S. role was "rather normal." What Guatemalans experience as U.S. or management of a killer army, is, in U.S. Embassy terms, bus ness as usual. Asked, for example, about U.S. relations wit the G-2, Mariani said, "As always, they were good." Though e claimed that during his stint the officer payroll was no really that big, he said that, in practice, he could not reca "any aspect of the Guatemalan military being renegade or ol streperous or a problem for the U.S." (As to internal embassy operations, Mariani said: "At the country team meeting I attended [presided over by the ambassador], I don't remember any sort of division. . . . We're a pretty orderly government. It gets boring at times.")

Mariani's theme of comity was echoed by General Gramjo. Gramajo, the mass killer recently found by a U.S. feder district court to have "devised and directed . . . an indiscririnate campaign of terror against civilians," told my colleage Eric Verhoogen that he was introduced to Sapia-Bosch (wiwhom he "did official business") by Ambassador Thom Stroock (just as, he believes, he was introduced to Maria by Stroock's predecessor, James Michel) and that for hithere was no real distinction between the C.I.A. and the reof the embassy. As he put it, "It's part of the country tear not separate identities." (Gramajo also said that he first m Blocker at Fort Benning, Georgia, while taking the 1960 Ran er Course at the U.S. Army Infantry School.)

The C.I.A. men are civil servants in a global U.S. terr net; if questioned, under oath, they could shed light on oth similar operations. Among them: Chile (Royden), Argen na (Blocker), El Salvador (Brugger, McCavitt), Hondur (Shields) and Nicaragua (Sapia-Bosch), as well as the inv sions of the Dominican Republic (1965, Blocker) and Ea Timor (1975, Shields). Sapia-Bosch worked in the White Hou from 1982 to 1983 as President Reagan's chief adviser on Ce tral American affairs. During the early 1980s Brugger was t deputy chief of station in El Salvador, where, according Ricardo Castro, a Salvadoran death squad officer he recru ed, Brugger oversaw (and sat in on) interrogation training so sions (Castro was the translator for a North American C.I. instructor) that at times included instruction in electrosho torture techniques [see Nairn, "Confessions of a Death Squ Officer," The Progressive, March 1986].

It certainly appears at the moment, though, that Congre does not want such a probe. A senior investigator for the  $S\epsilon$ ate Intelligence Committee says, for example, that he "hope the C.I.A. will "come to us" if it happens to notice that it h anyone "suspicious" on its payroll. But he said the comm tee does not plan to review the full payroll itself. Likewise more senior investigator scoffs at the report (passed on Representative Robert Torricelli from people inside the agenthat a colonel in the National Security Agency has been o stroying files. He says the committee has to give the N.S. "a little leeway." "We'd rather let them collect [the documen first, then piggyback on their efforts." The shredding repe might be, he said, the idle charge of a spiteful neighbor: guy down the street who the [N.S.A.] colonel compla ALLAN NAI doesn't cut his grass."

Allan Nairn has written extensively on Guatemala and military since 1980.