Behind the CIA Crisis Inside Report ... By Rowland Evans and Robert Novak

THE RABORN CRISIS in the Central Intelligence Agency has become a top concern among Johnson Administration officials who deal with the Nation's security.

Stated bluntly, the crisis is one of confidence in the head of the CIA appointed last April: Retired Admiral William F. Raborn, who deserves his reputation as the Navy's hero in the development of the vital Polaris missile.

But developing the Polaris has nothing to do with running the cavernous, multifaceted CIA-the world's largest intelligence appa-Unfortunately Raratus born's administration has raised fears about the CIA's future. By gradually losing is high place in the Washington bureaucratic strucure, there is question whethr the CIA will recover anyme soon after Raborn aves.

Indeed, right now the CIA is losing influence to the Pentagon's DIA (Defense Intelligence Agency), a Kennedy Administration merger of Army, Navy and Air Force intelligence units.

SO LONG AS a strong personality-old intelligence hand Allen Dulles or industrialist John McCone-ran the CIA, its world-wide intelligence reports had priority over sometimes less re-liable DIA studies. Furthermore, a right-wing element in DIA was kept under tight control by the influence of Dulles and McCone in the President's highest councils. But the President, surpris-

ingly enough, has not even called Raborn in to see him since his gall bladder operation two months ago. Accordingly, what ought to be the relentless impact of CIA thinking on the President (voiced by the chief of the agency) has been absent.

Furthermore, Raborn is neither intimate with international politics nor familiar with the business of intelligence. This inevitably



Novak Evans

means that Raborn lacks the vital, enthusiastic interest in intelligence reports from the field-the heart of the CIA operation. Displaying little curiosity about the intelligence craft himself, Raborn can scarcely convey it to the President-a necessary element of his job.

> In addition, a lack of sensitivity has shown up in Raborn's dealings outside the CIA. In Congress, some of those briefed by Raborn after the Dominican intervention were shocked when he indelicately suggested the possibility of "14 or 15 Dominican Republics" throughout Latin America before the problem of Communist subversion could be solved.

> On another occasion, Raborn was supposed to be briefed by high Government officials on how to deal with the interlocking community of U.S. intelligence agencies. However, he showed little nterest in this basic proband instead gave em engthy irrelevant recital of his Polaris experiences.

> ALTHOUGH these events occurred several months ago, those concerned with the future of the CIA believe the situation is little improved today. The decline the agency's morale in Washington hasn't hampered operations in the field yet, but some intelligence ex-perts are deeply worried. For instance, if CIA's place in Washington con-

tinues to give way to DIA, the absolutely essential in-terchange of intelligence with friendly foreign powers (sometimes as many as 30,-000 documents a month with Britain's famous MI-6) conceivably could be compromised.

Why did President John-son select Raborn in the first place? Partly as a caretaker to calm the agency's often stormy relations with Congress, to leave after a relatively short period.

Supporting this idea was his remarkably warm and friendly relations with Congress during Polaris days. With popular "Red" Raborn at the helm, it was thought, demands for a congressional watchdog committee over CIA would lessen. It is

ironic then that Raborn faces an increasingly incessant demand for a congressional watchdog - spawned by the Raborn-inpartly duced CIA crisis.

YET, the real problem is not Congress. It is the usefulness of the CIA itself.

After the Bay of Pigs, the gency went through an gonizing crisis of confi-gence but recovered with a rilliant performance (based -2 spy-plane pictures of oviet missiles) during the October, 1962, Cuba affair. But the newest crisis in the agency is internal, not external (like the Bay of Pigs). For that reason, it

may be harder to cure. © 1965, Publishers Newspaper Syndicate