THE WASHINGTON POST Sunday, March 12, 1967

First Line of Defense The CIA Ignores Criticism and Blunders Along Effectively and Efficiently

By Drew Pearson and Jack Anderson

THE LATEST barrage of bad publicity has driven the faceless men of the Central Intelligence Agency deeper into their closed order and has tightened the padlocks on their steel filing cabinets.

From dismayed insiders, however, we have learned a little about what is wrong with the CIA. The constant attacks have made our embattled cloak-and-dagger men (who really wear narrow-shouldered suits and carry ballpoint pens) supersensitive to criticism.

"The receipt of some unwarranted criticism," explains one source, "has eliminated receptivity to any criticism.

Being privy to the whis-pers of the world, which the CIA invariably overemphasizes and overclassifies, gives

a feeling of omniscience. Thus all criticism is dismissed as coming from less privileged mortals who don't have the same access to the hidden "truth" and therefore possess only an imperfect understanding of what is really going on.

The CIA is obliged, of course, to give an accounting to a few select congressional and presidential watchdogs. But the CIA chiefs, who spe-cialize in the arts of deception, have little trouble raz-zle-dazzling the outsiders with a few spy stories.

SECRET KNOWLEDGE is secret power and CIA Direc-tor Richard Helms sits in the high policy councils like King Arthur's Merlin ready with his black magic. There is a reluctance to contradict him, an unspoken sense that he possesses some mysterious insight.

In part, this stems from the never-mentioned knowledge that he engages beyond our borders in activities which would be considered immoral within our borders.

There is a compulsive fascina-tion with unethical behavior that can be justified on patriotic grounds-what Secretary of State Rusk has called "the back alley struggle." Those who enter this sub-terranean world are some of the most dedicated and devoted, if sometimes misguidd, people in Government. In its insatiable quest for "intelligence," the CIA has supported many corrupt and unpopular politicians around the world. Their political operations have received CIA financing actually as payment

for the "intelligence." Thus, all too often, the CIA has made the United States a partner in corruption, and we have found ourselves sup-

> porting an unpopular govern- tant anti-Communist statement.

B7

1.23

inside the CIA with sophisti- curity screen. Of . course, cated diplomacy, a lack of many successes also have understanding of the social never been disclosed because ferment which is building up of security-a fact which CIA stream in many underde-spokesmen constantly point veloped countries. Some CIA out to counteract the mistakes men have difficulty distin- which do leak out. guishing, say, between a demguishing, say, between a dem-ocratic Socialist and a Marxist Communist.

are corrupted," claims one in- has also scored some impressider, "by the participation of sive successes. It is loaded professional anti-Communists with incompetents who hide who know what they are their against but not what they are for."

Such people, unable to cope with the complexities of indirect political action, have understand. In the name of our anti-communism, the CIA has should ever fail to produce supported overseas leaders whose repressive policies have created the conditions find some other machinery. which have caused internal strife and have nourished communism.

FOOLHARDY operations line of defense. have been justified with mili- © 1967, Bell-McClure Syndicate, Inc.

ments, then the failures have There is also an impatience been concealed behind a se-

blunders so colossal that they an only be described as na-"Even positive operations monal disasters. But the CIA their incompetency behind security labels. Yet on the whole, the CIA has been both effective and efficient.

There are some facts, such as Soviet nuclear and missile a tendency to adopt paramili- capability, that are absolutely tary measures which they do vital to national survival. If intelligence apparatus this information, the President would be compelled to

Meanwhile, in today's dan-gerous world with its weapons of mass destruction, the CIA has become our first