These came from Document Addendum to the Warren Report..David Lifton's book which I am sure that you have. It is a must, one of my most important books.

Summary of SELECTION OF WITNESSES, AND

"mutingo of

HOW THE ASSASSINATION WAS TO BE "investigated"

CONSCIENCE

Archives of first meeting; Dec. 16,1963

57

3

At the first meeting, it was brought up by warren that the State

of Texas intded to have its Board of Inauiry into the assassination --

Attorney General of Texas, Waggoner Car, invited his attorney Leon Jaworski, to BE THE LIASON BETWEEN THE STATE OF TEXAS AND THE WARREN COMMISSION ONCE DECISION ON WASHINGTON COMMISSION.

- a) Houston CIA Director to represent State of Texas at hearings before Commission.
- b) Attorney for Attorney General-of Texas also attorney for Houston CIA foundation.

Being as almost all witnesses came through Houston, and DeMobr. relatio nship to funds and assignements from Houston, interesting to know the ties between Dallas and Houston.

Original Meeting of Commission, stated that BOB STORY, and Leon Jaworski TO SERVE TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY.

CIA represented upon decistion of which witnesses, what to presented in evidence.

Waggoner Carr, with attorney Jaowrksi, and Story, to work with Commission

Texas Board of Inquiry could PICK ITS OWN JURISDICTIONAL OFFICER. """CAN MAENTAIN ORDER AND ANSWER QUESTIONS THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WAGGONER CAR, with Jaworski, CETERMINES AND ASKS QUESTIONS AND EXAMINES WITNESSES. Attorney General SELECTS ANY JUDGE OF JUSTICE OF PEACE TO CONDUCT INVESTIGATION.

BELECTION OF WITHEBEES

Warren's objection to the TEXAS BOARD OF INQUIRY WAS THAT "IF THERE WERE IRRESPONSIBLE WITNESSES BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND GAVE SENSATIONAL TESTIMONY TO THE PUBLIC, NO FINDINGS THAT THEY ARE UNTRUE OR ANYTHING, WE WOULD HAVE THE JOB OF ALLYING THE PUBLIC FEARS FROM THAT KIND OF TESTIMONY. I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY BAD INDEED. CIA and Commission Maworski

CIA, Commission Actorney General of UBexas

Minutes of Meeting, Archives book Dec 16,1965 page 57

57 Dec.16,1963

4

- 6)

8

(Waggoner Carr)

Warren; Chairman; "I HAD PROPOSED to talk to the Attorney General this after non, after our meeting, and invite him and his attorney. A MAN BY THE NAME OF JAWORSKI, so bears an excelled reputation in his state for all purposes, and ask himto come down here and visit with us temporrow and talke about the matter of LIAISON BETENSEN THE STATE OF TEXAS AND OUN COMMISSION.

From what I have learned from the Attorney General, and from Mr. Jammski I am satisfied that it will be forthcoming and we can do business with them on a very fine plane.

First meeting of Commission Dec. 5,1963 5. First meeting of Commission ;Dec.5,1963

> Warren: The President, I'm sure,1s right in trying to make sure public given all the facts. Our job is essentially for the evaluation of evidence.

I heard THE STATE OF TEXAS INTENDED TO HAVE A COURT OF INQUIRY. The DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE HAD CONVERSEATIONS WITH THEM, AND THEY have shown inclination to cooperate with us.

They have two very fine men. They HAVE ENGAGED BOB STORY, who everyone in the legal profession knows as an outstanding man, AND THEN A GENTLEMAN BY THE NAME OF JAWORSKI/

Katzenback; LEON JAWORSKI FROM HOUSTON

Warren: Mr.Jaworski has, agreeed that FERHAPS they can withold their hearings on this Board of Inquiry and IN THE MEANTIME COOPERATE WITH US. To have one investigation would be a great deal more helpful.

THE STATE OF TEXAS, UNDER THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, WAGGOMER CARR, INTENDED TO HAVE HIS OWN BOARD OF INQUIRY. JAWORSKI IS HIS ATTORNEY, FROM HOUSTON, AND INTENDED TO WORK WITH BOB SUCRY.

Katzenback.THIS BOARD OF INQUIRY CAN PICK HIS JURISDICTIONAL OFFICER, Who is there to maintain order and answer questions. THE PERSON CONDUCTING THE INQUIRY.THE ATTORNEY GENERAL (with CIA attorney Jaworski) WOULD DETERMINE, WOULD ASK THE QUESTIONS AND EXAMINE THE WITNESSES.

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL CAN ALSO SELECT ANY JUDGE OR JUSTICE OF THE PEACE THE STATE WANTS TO CONDUCT THE INVESTIGATION.

WARRENS OBJECTION TO TEXAS BOARD OF INQUINY WAS THAT: I would be happy if they witheld such hearings because AIF THERE WERE SOME IRRESPONSIBLE WITNESSES BEFORE THE COMMISSION AND GAVE SENSATION TESTIMONY TO THE PUBLIC, NO FINDINGS THAT THEY ARE UNTRUE OR ANYTHING, AND WE WOULD HAVE THE JOB OF ALLAYINT THE PUBLIC FEARS FROM THAT KIND OF TESTIMONY I THINK IT WOULD BE VERY BAD INDEED.