

Dear Jim,

5/27/84

In addition to Phillips' Night Watch during my morning therapy I've completed Joe Smith's Portrait of a Cold Warrior, Ballantine edition. I'll be sending you xeroxes of some pages after I make the copies and mark them up. I'll annotate them separately, by subject, for your ease in filing ^{if} of any interest you.

My purpose in this separate ~~memo~~ memo is to generalize and offer a suggestion.

I think that these books and others, including Hunt's apologia, are of possible usefulness to you, Bud and other lawyers who have CIA FOIA cases because a) they represent official CIA disclosures and b) because these official disclosures are of precisely the information the CIA swears in FOIA litigation it may not disclose. It has sworn thus in my litigation and in other cases where I've read decisions.

Perhaps you lawyers could get your clients to read and make copies of the appropriate portions that the CIA has cleared for publication, officially. These would include the countries in which it has stations and bases; its official sources within those countries; its people, by name, like Madam Nhu (whose case agent called her The Dragon Lady, according to Smith) and Magsaysay in the Philippines; its projects and its cryptograms^{7/4/85}. With regard to the latter, Smith discloses the meaning of digraphs, MK representing internal security.

Smith also reports the existence of a domestic intelligence division, yet the CIA swears that it is prohibited by law from disclosing any part of its structure. E. Howard Hunt wound up in this domestic component when he quit the Bay of Pigs, as I told you long ago. (Helms swore falsely about this before the Watergate committee because Hunt was with the Mullen agency while he was still CIA, had an office there and used its cover address.)

Smith also spells out how it lies, as for example about its involvement in the overthrow of the Chilean government.

In connection with its lies I have its in camera affidavits in the Heine case, in which it prevailed based on those affidavits.

Smith also spells out the involvement of the Counterintelligence Division in domestic intelligence. (In my King records case it withheld this identification and that of its operator against Dr. King, Jay Richard Kennedy, and then the FBI disclosed both to Dave Garrow.)

On the Mexico City Oswald intercepts, pertinent in Mark Allen's requests^{CIA and mine} and my own field offices case now on appeal, where Phillips pretends they were so understaffed the wife of a CIA man had to transcribe the tapes, Smith indicates the exact opposite, that they had large numbers of people transcribing the tapes and that the taping was so extensive its extended to the bedrooms of suspected KGB people. (In my case this also involves a specific appeal, rather several, including

62-109060-287a, unclassified until up for disclosure, when it was classified Top Secret. Those are Dallas records not referred to the CIA. Everything has been disclosed except the exact wording of the intercepted conversation(s).) *

In Smith's account of his disclosures and withholdings, he is not limited to official disclosure of names. He gives the real disclosed names with ^{out} the "official" qualifier.

In Smith's detailed disclosures of those involved with the CIA in Indonesia, names included, he does not include what I'd hoped to find, some account of the Sukarno overthrow. Oswald was a radar operator in at least one, Operation Strongback, and I'm pretty sure another. I'm far from certain that it was called Operation Haylift. ^{Smith} ~~he~~ does ~~not~~ identify cooperating foreign agencies by name.

* Mark Allen and I have ignored requests for this from CIA for at least 8 years. My request is more inclusive.

I may not be able to xerox those pages and annotate them today. I'll hold this to include all of that.

Best,