

Bizarre 'Plot' Is Denied

A CIA Non-Caper Inside British Labor

By Bernard D. Nossiter

Washington Post Foreign Service

LONDON, Jan. 22.—The bizarre tale of CIA agents protecting Britons from their own security negligence today blew up in the letters column of The Times of London.

The destruct button was pushed by Miles Copeland, American source of the original account and a self-described "consultant" for the Central Intelligence Agency. Copeland wrote The Times, "I had no facts of my own to corroborate the information" he gave the paper. But, he went on, if his story was not true, it should be.

The curious caper began last Friday when The Times ran at the top of page one a story headlined: "CIA men in Britain checking on subversion." The tale, essentially an interview with Copeland, disclosed that "between 30 and 40 extra American intelligence men have been drafted to Britain since the present state of emergency was introduced." Their mission, Copeland told Christopher Walker, The Times reporter, was to ferret out "subversives, particularly in British trade unions."

"Rightly or wrongly," Copeland was quoted as saying, "the top men in the CIA be foreign news and former

lieve that the present spate of

strikes in Britain has far more sinister motives than the mere winning of extra wages. They believe that the aim is to bring about a situation in which it would be impossible for the kind of democratic government you continue to enjoy here. . . . There is no doubt at all that it [the CIA] has agents operating inside the British labor unions. The CIA has been trying to convince the British for some time about the power of subversives within the unions. . . . The present state of Britain makes it a professional troublemaker's dream."

The Times did not report that Copeland, 51, makes a living in London advising what he says are multinational American corporations on subversion.

"The tale, essentially an interview with Copeland, disclosed that "between 30 and 40 novel entitled 'Black September' for which, he says, Simon & Schuster has paid an advance of \$70,000. When The Times story appeared, the American embassy here said that it "is so outside the area of truth that it must be denied categorically."

The next day, Louis Heren, The Times' deputy editor for foreign news and former

confessed that his tale was a throw classic case of the wish fathering the thought.

He wrote:

"On the evening of January 16, I reviewed with Christopher Walker the information which provided the basis for his story on CIA men in Britain. Although I had no facts of my own with which to corroborate the information, it made sense to me in the light of my background knowledge of 'the war of the spooks' . . .

"I have chilling suspicions that the United States embassy might be speaking the truth in that pompous denial it issued on Friday and that the CIA really is in this instance as delinquent in the performance of its assigned duties as the denial claims. I hope my suspicions turn out to be unfounded. . . . Both publication of 'The Game of Black September' and the IRA have boasted that 1974 is to be the year of the killing."

Miles Copeland

copied the signed front-page article describing such denials as "automatic and understandable."

Washington correspondent wrote a signed front-page article describing such denials as "automatic and understandable." Both publication of "The Game of Black September" and the IRA have boasted that 1974 is to be the year of the killing."

More prosaic intelligence sources here never took Cope-

land's Yarn seriously. They said that even the CIA which

was no longer a man-

agent specialist for the agency, sometimes working on behalf of the CIA in Egypt and elsewhere in the Middle East.

Today, Copeland says he

sometimes acts without consideration political consequences, must know that industrial action by coal miners times working under contract and railway engineers here for a prominent management does not reflect a plot to over-

