I had a visitor when the radio news was on and caught only part of the report of Colby's counterattack ch criticiam as unpabtiotic and dangerous to the national security.

Iil has to see Hr. Maio, so we're accepting a delayed dinner Invitation. 'his means I'll miss the IV nows, which might show me his face and have more of his words and the Establishmentarian attitude.

I think we need the full text, not just the excerpts a reporter or deak man believe newsworthy. Bapecially not restrioted to what the Post will use. If you can get it without much trouble there may be many uses. Or needs.

It was inevitable, so we can $t$ blame it on the nuts who have wormied us. Their value to the sppoks and their partigans lies elsewhere, in giving a semblance ofs credibility to auch complaints.

When Rockefeller/Belin issue their whitewash this nuttiness may be and I believe will be more important.

But if I were Colby I'd be using it now - unless they have reason to expect more of it - to help the partisans by giving them more than "national security" to chop with.

It is conspicuous to me that there has been no more from Gregory/Robert/Schoenman. They had promised more. I know it was to have included the Ex $133 \mathrm{~A} \& \mathrm{~B}$ work Newcomb did for me. I have seen no such atory. As recently as today, when he discussed the forensicstiances meeting he covered, Finley had nothing new from them. Except Gregory's unavailability. Larry has not gotten any response to numeroust calls asking for an interview.
(He may interview me on this if Gregory will fot be interviewed, with the angle this is inevitable when there is no real investigation. 音e metioned this in a letter covering more of the paranoidal, subject to my approval. I made no reference to it after getting the letter and he didn't ask.)

Off the top, if anything like this eventuates - and I've not had time to think it through - I think the line might well be why have then not responded to WW IV?

Why are tey ailent in the face of auch charges?
Why do they leave such questions unadiressed?
When they do not address such significant questions what else are people to do but assume the worst? What other explanation is there for the layman? Etc.

Have you any 1deas, assuming one has a chance to be heard?
8:30 p.m. Fred Thompson was in the midst of his angled blast against the NYMimes on All Things Considered, NPR's evening news, when we got in the car leaving Lill's office. At the ond the anncuncor actid Harrey Idebencott, ore of Thompon's we minority counasl, had also had his say. We didn't hear HI and only heard part of Thompson, enough to get the line. This was followed by Lofton's ridicule from the right, a Cregorian variation about a guy who clatmed the CLA had let a four-foot humanoid from outer space diex and had kept 12 on ice at Uright-Matterson field after their craft crashed. (Ike had also rouched it.) Ipso facto all these nutty and extreme attacks on the CIA are endsigering all national security, etcetcetc.
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