I had a visitor when the radio news was on and caught only part of the report of Colby's counterattack of criticism as unpastiotic and dangerous to the national security.

Idl has to see Fr. Maio, so we're accepting a delayed dinner invitation. This means I'll miss the TV news, which might show me his face and have more of his words and the Establishmentarian attitude.

I think we need the full text, not just the excerpts a reporter or deak man believe newsworthy. Especially not restricted to what the Post will use. If you can get it without much trouble there may be many uses. Or needs.

It was inevitable, so we can t blame it on the nuts who have worried us. Their value to the spooks and their partisens lies elsewhere, in giving a semblance of credibility to such complaints.

When Rockefeller/Belin issue their whitewash this nuttiness may be and I believe will be more important.

But if I were Colby I'd be using it now - unless they have reason to expect more of it - to help the partisans by giving them more than "national security" to chop with.

It is conspicuous to me that there has been no more from Gregory/Robert/Schoenman. They had promised more. I know it was to have included the Ex 133 A & B work Newcomb did for me. I have seen no such story. As recently as today, when he discussed the forensic-stances meeting he covered, Finley had nothing new from them. Except Gregory's unavailability. Larry has not gotten any response to numerous calls asking for an interview.

ability. Larry has not gotten any response to numerous calls asking for an interview.

(He may interview me on this if Gregory will be be interviewed, with the angle this is inevitable when there is no real investigation. He mentioned this in a letter covering more of the paranoidal, subject to my approval. I made no reference to it after getting the letter and he didn't ask.)

Off the top, if anything like this eventuates - and I've not had time to think it through - I think the line might well be why have then not responded to WW IV?

Why are tey silent in the face of such charges?

Why do they leave such questions unaddressed?

When they do not address such significant questions what else are people to do but assume the worst? What other explanation is there for the layman? Etc.

Have you any ideas, assuming one has a chance to be heard?

8:30 p.m. Fred Thompson was in the midst of his angled blast against the NYTimes on All Things Considered, NPR's evening news, when we got in the car leaving Idl's office. At the end the announcer said Harvey Idebengott, one of Thompson's WG minority counsel, had also had his say. We didn't hear HL and only heard part of Thompson, enough to get the line. This was followed by Lofton's ridicule from the right, a Gregorian variation about a guy who claimed the CIA had let a four-foot humanoid from outer space dier and had kept 12 on ice at Wright-Patterson field after their craft crashed. (Ike had also rouched it.) Ipso facto all these nutty and extreme attacks on the CIA are endangering all national security, etcetcetc.

House Establishes Special Panel to Probe Ci

By George Lardner Jr.

Rep. John B. Anderson (III.), Clory (III.), David C. Treen an obligation and a responsi Senate committee has a Sept washington for the voted yesterday seats on the committee as a lord tits own investigation of the Central Intelli lilegal or improper intelli pence Agency and the governing gence Agency and the governing ender activities under Democratic makeup of the safter beating down a Republican bid for a bigger voice plored.

House Republicans, led by Ariz.), are Reps. Robert Mc and the majority have report by next Jan 31. The Rep. John B. Anderson (III.), Clory (III.), David C. Treen an obligation and a responsi Senate committee has a Sept bility to lead, Giaimo added. I deadline for its report, but He said this would be almost the panel is atll in the organ devel by the said this would be almost the panel is atll in the organ middle with ably have to seek an extended and the proposal ments ofter intelligence agencativities under Democratic makeup of the panel of the proposal cativities under Democratic makeup of the proposal proposal ments of the proposal committee would make the in proposal that the for heavier GOP representations by the formation of the House inquiry was publican by the formation of the House inquiry was publican by the formation of the formation o

menu's comer meaning down a Republican locatic as well as Republican bid for a bigger voice plored.

The Democratic majority respected by a virtually consistent that was rejected by a virtually conseven Democratis to the select committee that will be in charge ongressmen joined the Republicants to the select committee in charge ongressmen joined the Republicants.

Rep. Lucien N. Nedzi G. H. Gommittee in charge committee in charge chalf in the House consultants. The House authorized cree Ronald V. Dellums (Calit), and the republicans, was repetted by a virtually congressmen joined the Republicants.

Rep. Lucien N. Nedzi G. H. Glaimo want to have any cover-up of clairman.

The House authorized cree Ronald V. Dellums (Calit), and charged that the plans to the committee in charge cree picked by the Democratic landary in the plane in the House in quiry you are proved on geven between both ments the observation administration that exercity in the parties, they would have oved cepted by the Democratic landary heads a the committee in charge committee to the search that way head and three straints that way head and three straints that way head and three straints that way head and postators in the plane in the House committee on the proved on the tone straints that way head and three the House of the consultants. The bear of the committee in charge (Conn.): Don Edwards (Calit), and creating the committee in charge (Conn.): Don Edwards (Calit), and the restrict of the spokes has indicated that he plans to repeat the plane of the committee in charge of the committee in charge of the committee in the plane of the committee in charge of

and other abuses of power, both by the CIA and the FBI.
As one of those who pressed for the House investigation, that ingron had suggested that inquiries were prompted by news reports and allegations of lilegal and improper surveillance of American citizens was supposed to be oversec-ing CIA operations in the past. The House leadership, the chairmanship ought to go to a neutral third party rather than someone like Nedzi who Nedzi a solid congressman and however, reportedly considers a posible counter-balance to

Sen. Church, whom friends of the CIA look upon with

ess enthusiasm