

# Man Who Says He Once Served C.I.A. Asserts Post Office Helped Agency Open Mail

By EVERETT R. HOLLIES  
Special to The New York Times

SAN DIEGO, Jan. 7—A man who identifies himself as a former official of the Central Intelligence Agency said today that when he resigned in 1959 the Post Office Department was covertly assisting the C.I.A. in intercepting and copying the mail of American citizens.

Dr. Melvin Crain, 53 years old, a professor of political science at San Diego State University, said C.I.A. officials involved in the "mail tapping" acknowledged to him that it was an illegal and unconstitutional invasion of privacy and in violation of the National Security Act of 1947, which created the intelligence agency.

He said his colleagues justified the operation, however, as being necessary to "achieve our mission" of safeguarding American security against the Soviet Union.


A spokesman for the Postal Service in Washington, Jamison Cain, denied that the service "has ever or is now" involved in opening the private mail of American citizens. First-class mail may be opened only by court order, usually in criminal cases and this occurs only on rare occasions, he said.

### The Summer of 1958

The only exceptions are opening sealed mail to search for an address for delivery or if the opening is specifically approved by the addressee.

Federal law on "obstruction of correspondence" set a maximum penalty of \$2,000 in fines and five years in prison for "whoever takes any letter . . . to pry into the business or secrets of another, or opens . . . the same."

The Post Office's alleged screening of letters written by Americans, mostly to relatives in



The New York Times  
Dr. Melvin Crain

A spokesman for the Postal Service in Washington, Jamison Cain, denied that the service "has ever or is now" involved in opening the private mail of American citizens. First-class mail may be opened only by court order, usually in criminal cases and this occurs only on rare occasions, he said.

### The Summer of 1958

The only exceptions are opening sealed mail to search for an address for delivery or if the opening is specifically approved by the addressee.

Federal law on "obstruction of correspondence" set a maximum penalty of \$2,000 in fines and five years in prison for "whoever takes any letter . . . to pry into the business or secrets of another, or opens . . . the same."

The Post Office's alleged screening of letters written by Americans, mostly to relatives in

arrangement among the C.I.A., the Post Office Department and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

A C.I.A. spokesman in Washington declined to comment on whether Dr. Crain had ever worked for the agency or on whether such mail opening was carried out.

The spokesman said that the C.I.A. was "not very forthcoming" about naming employees or former employees, and pointed out that the matter of possible domestic operations was under review by a commission appointed by President Ford.

Dr. Crain, who joined the C.I.A. in September, 1951, said the agency gave him a "staff D" clearance—a supersensitive or security clearance—in November, 1956, that made him aware of the highly secret mail interceptions. Thereafter, he said his office read about six intercepted letters from Americans each day.

### Letter From a Student

The equipment used in the New York and New Orleans post offices, according to Dr. Crain, enabled the C.I.A. to open, copy, rescan letters and send them on their way without any tell-tale signs of tampering. A similar mail surveillance unit was operated by the Washington headquarters of the F.B.I.

Most of the letters were in English, to friends and relatives in the Soviet Union, Dr. Crain added.

One purpose of the surveillance, he said, was to develop contacts with Americans who had acquaintances in Russia. "This was often a method for recruiting C.I.A. operatives," he said. He said he kept one of the in-

tercepted letters, written on Nov. 16, 1958, by an Amherst College student, apparently connected with the school newspaper, mailed the following day from Amherst, Mass., and opened and copied on Nov. 19 before being forwarded on to Moscow. The letter, he said, sought to arrange for a shipment of 1,000 copies of the college newspaper to the Moscow Committee of Youth Organizations.

"We were, in effect, building dossiers on these people," the former C.I.A. employe said. He said an official at "Staff D" briefing he boasted that letters could be opened, copied and sent on to their destination so quickly that "the normal flow of the mail was not disturbed" at the New York and New Orleans post offices.

Dr. Crain said he had carried his complaint to his superior, Richard M. Bissell Jr., deputy director of the C.I.A.'s office of plans, who said he was surprised and shocked and would take steps to end the mail surveillance, but nothing happened.

Mr. Bissell, who was in charge of planning for the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba for the C.I.A., left the agency in 1962. His office in Hartford reported late today that he was "in transit" to his home in East Hartford. Telephone calls there went unanswered.

Dr. Crain said that in May, 1959, in defiance of C.I.A. regulations, he went outside the agency and consulted Dean Achesson, then in private law practice in Washington after serving as Secretary of State in the Truman Administration.

He went to Mr. Achesson, he said, because the former Secretary of State was "one of my heroes" and he believed Mr. Achesson had extensive in-

fluence with members of the Eisenhower Administration. "I told him about the mail interceptions, that they were illegal and unconstitutional and should be stopped," Dr. Crain said. But he seemed disinterested and impatient during our interview. He said he would make a few phone calls to see what could be done. But he never got in touch with me and I never tried to see him again."

Dr. Crain, who is a member of the Democratic Central Committee in San Diego, and has run unsuccessfully for Congress and other public offices, said he went to the C.I.A. after serving as an Air Force intelligence officer assessing the Soviet Union's vulnerability to air attack in his Congressional campaigns he has identified himself as a former C.I.A. man, apparently without challenge.

At the C.I.A., he said, he served as deputy chief of operational research, a clandestine activity under the deputy director of plans.

Routine inspections. In describing how he first learned of the alleged covert mail inspections in November, 1958, Dr. Crain said: "I discovered some people in my department had information that could not be accounted for; it had no apparent source. One of the most important things in intelligence gathering is that all information be documented.

"When I questioned their sources they [the people supplying the information] declared I should be given Staff D clearance before they told me."

After obtaining the new clearance he was told, he said, that the C.I.A. with the help of the Post Office Department and the F.B.I. was routinely inspecting the mail of Americans corresponding with people in the So-

"Even though most of the writers were merely corresponding with relatives, you have to remember that this was during the early days of the cold war and anyone who wrote letters to the Soviet Union was immediately suspect. Officials of the C.I.A. told me they knew it was illegal and unconstitutional but it was needed to achieve our mission. They told me the Post Office and the F.B.I. were involved. They also told me the mail was being opened at two different post offices, one in New York and the other in New Orleans. "I tried to stop this operation from within the agency, then from the outside, but I was extremely limited. It was illegal for me to discuss the situation with anyone who wasn't and properly cleared. You were in

big trouble if you violated the code. The threat was held over us always." Dr. Crain said that his disenchantment with the tactics of the C.I.A. began several years earlier. "I had heard and seen other things which made me question the agency," he said. "You might rationalize the things we did outside the country as trying to overthrow the Communists, but not the invasion of the privacy of Americans." Asked why he had not resigned earlier, Dr. Crain said: "I felt intimidated because of the McCarthyism, his which hunts on in this country at the time. We were made to believe that the United States was being ringed by the Soviet Union and penetrated by subversives. You were in

Dr. Crain said that for years he has been telling his students in five political science classes he teaches at San Diego State that the C.I.A. has been illegally spying on American citizens but nobody was ever really interested until now."