Helms Backs CIA Action. Cites President's Concern

By Michael Getler Washington Post Staff Writer

Richard M. Helms, the former director of the Central Intelligence Agency, testified yesterday that it was concern over possible foreign links to rising unrest in the United States in the early 1960s, plus the "express concern of the President" at that time, that led to CIA involvement in domestic intelligence opera-

Helms, now ambassador to Iran, headed the CIA for 6½ years until early 1973, the period in which most of the controversial CIA activity that has come to light in recent weeks took place.

The former intelligence chief, issuing his first public statement yesterday on the expanding month-long CIA controversy, conceded no wrong-doing by the agency during his tenure.

Instead, Helms sharply criticized some elements of the press for what he called an "irresponsible attack" on the CIA, "the principal allegations of which," he charged, "remain unsupported."

Helms testified behind closed doors yesterday before the Senate Armed Services Subcommittee on Central Intelligence and a copy of his committee. gence, and a copy of his open-



RICHARD M. HELMS . . . criticizes press

ing statement was made available to reporters.

The current CIA director william E. Colby, who on Wednesday made available to another Senate committee an unprecedented accounting of CIA intelligence activities carried out in this country over the past several years, also testified yesterday.

See CIA, A16 Col. 1

a clear violation of what charter was." esterday, Helma suggested that in 1947 President Johnson did express concern to the CA that it help in gathering data on the antiwar movement. But neither Helms nor Colby who made similar claims— mis far have provided specific timentation of such a presidential request.

The said within the CIA's and within the CIA's and an arms of the said.

- NOTE TO A STATE OF THE SECOND SECON

come within the purview of our foreign intelligence operations. That happened only when evidence appeared of their involvement with subversive elements abroad. Then he went on came the sudden and quite dramatic upsurge of extreme radicalism in this country and abroad in the late 1950s and early 1960s. Helms claimed that by itself, this violence, this sent, this violence, this isent, were of no direct concerns to CIA. It theremakes only in the degree, that the trouble was inspired by or co-inclinated with, or in a ded by, and american, subversion mechanisms above.

mechanisms abroad,

mechanisms abroad

real a clear and proper function to perform, but in collaboration with the FBL The agency did perform that function in response to the express concern of the Presdient," he said.

Helms made no mention of CIA infiltration of groups tais, country.

Helms claimed that "into matton was indeed developed, largely by the FBT and Justice Department, but also from some foreign sources as well, that the agitation here did in

fact maye some overseas connections."

[Relms is the first official to make such a claim of linkage publicly. There have been sonflicting reports about this made privately by other officials. cials, and Colby referred several times only to "possible"

In a related development yesterday, it was learned that another member of columnist.

Jack Anderson's staff, Least Whitten, was among the four journalists placed under sur veillance by the CIA during 1971-1972 in what reportedly

was an effort to ring out wno was giving these reporters altegedly classified information.

Aside from Anderson and Whitten there are indications whiteh there are indications that a third member of Anderson's staff also may have been watched by C.A. agents.

Helm's said in his statement that the press should have a

healthy skepticism of things done secretly. But he also contended that segments of the press don't understand the role of the CIA and that these role of the CIA and that these c if r e.n.t "fresponsible at tacks" on the seency "if the could be seen the seency of the could seriously damage," the could seriously damage, and and anderminist morale at the

"He claims it fook "respon-sible" elements of the press not identified some atwo-weeks to make the subtle distinctions in the CIA's role. but did not explain why the administration itself made no attempt during those weeks after the initial press reports to try to put the agency's problems in perspective.

CLA From A1

ha violated the agency's charger restricting it to collecting foreign intelligence.

Those charges were leviled at the CLATIN a New York Times article of Dec. 22 that tolched off the controversy and numerous, congressional induiries.

Colby's statement acknowledged that the CIA did maintain fills on some 10,000 UB that fills of the controversy and the controversy and that the controversy that the controversy and that it that if the district that it had infill traced some antiward groups, on the controversy and staged that the controversy period to year white generally defending the actions by his predeces.

Colby conceded that at e actions by his predeces-Colby conceded that at t some of them in hind-t, may be questionable.

elms, høweyer, made no ha acknowledgement and the imbassador appears certain to be headed for some touch duestioning in Congress. Rep. Paul Findley (R-III.)

said in a House speech yester div that Helms should not be permitted to return to Iran until the is cleared of any woongtoing and also charged that Heims hawkgreatly companied his effectiveness" as anthassador.

Freign Relations Committee to determine if his testionary to that panel in 1973 is his confirmation to the his confirmation to diblomatic post is in conflict with new testimony.

At a February, 1973, closed thating, Heims was asked about any earlier CIA activity aimed at keeping tabs on U.S. antiwar protesters and whether White House had re-cuested the agency to get in-vitred

Helms, according to the transcript, replied: "I do not reall whether we were asked by we were not involved because it seemed to me that