

Tom Tiede The CIA and citizen gossips

By TOM TIEDE

WASHINGTON - (NEA) - During the latter part of the 1960s, when a worried Lyndon Johnson was enlisting the aid of the FBI and CIA to probe domestic anti-establishment thought, I wrote a story that caught the eyes of the on-highs.

The article concerned the American Nazi Party. In it I told of the kinds of citizens attracted to the party, and mentioned casually of meeting two young graduates of The Citadel who were touring and complimenting the

ANP headquarters.

Shortly after publication, I received a call from a chap identifying himself as an agent for the Army's Criminal Investigations Division (CID) who wanted to know more about The Citadel soldiers. I said any more than had been published was privileged information, that I was surprised he'd think otherwise, but he persevered. We agreed to meet in a motel near the Kennedy Space Center in Forida, in a few days.

Before the meeting, I called the CID to verify the investigator's credentials. As I suspected, nobody by the name given was on the rolls. He wouldn't be FBI, said an Army friend, because they glory in proper identification; "He's probably CIA - they hide behind many badges.'

I agreed he was CIA. Even back then, the agency's "Domestic Contact Service" was an ill-kept secret. I was younger, less experienced, but not completely simple. When the agent arrived in my room, he said the "Army was interested in finding The Citadel soldiers because "we don't think they should be messing around with Nazis. He had a Citadel yearbook and asked if I would select the proper photos.

Well, had it been Ulysses Grant, not some bumbly spook, asking for such patriotism, I'd have declined. My job as a journalist is to observe, not to spy. As I recall I looked over the yearbook, gave descriptions of the soldiers entirely different from actuality, and concluded I could not associate any of the pictures

with the culprits.

The memory of that occasion returns now, with new charges of CIA domestic surveillance, because I think I did right in brushing off the agent and because there may be a greater lesson in the morality. If the charges of widespread intra-national CIA spying are true, it must be assumed they have been aided and abetted by citizens such as you and I. More than assumption, actually, it is a fact the CIA, with some 12 to 15 domestic bureaus, relies on ordinary citizens for some intelligence information. Mostly, the old Domestic Contact Service (now called "Foreign Resources") asked citizens going abroad to keep their eyes and ears open. Supposedly, though — witness the recent revelations — the agency has its at-home finks as well.

No doubt the agents acquire some informers by offering cash or the rhetoric of national duty. Others perhaps do not have to be coaxed at all, since Americans have a disturbing proclivity to gossip; many agencies besides the CIA have found that community wagglers are all too cooperative, if not always accurate, and woe betide the fellow who applies for a security job who has pinched a neighbor's wife or neglected to return a borrowed mower until after the first snow.

The truth of the matter, then, is far more important than whether the CIA committed illegalities; it's whether Americans will become disgusted enough with such dangers to do something about it themselves: mainly, shut up. Doubtless thousands of Americans, myself included I suppose, have had the opportunity to blow the whistle on domestic CIA snoops. We haven't, to now, to our shame. Perhaps the present controversy will convince us otherwise in the future.

kan perunakan kemunan darawakan merupakan bahan kemanan di pakaran bahar perunakan berandaran <mark>kanaran 1888</mark>

This isn't to say that the CIA should expect no citizen aid. I recall another personal experience when I was inexplicably detained (45 minutes) by Communist police at an East Berlin railroad exit, and later questioned about it by the CIA. Had I had any good information then, I would have divulged it — if only to help save others from similar detentions. My belief is that this kind of cooperation is humanistic and proper; but there can be no overriding reason why any of us should be talked into, or bought into, blabbing on one another

Intelligence agencies to be probed

WASHINGTON (AP) — Democratic senators voted 45 to 7 Monday to create a bipartisan special committee to investigate the entire U.S. intelligence establishment, including the Central intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The Senate's Democratic caucus placed no restrictions on the selection of panel members, a move that represents a sharp defeat for the small cluster of senior senators who traditionally have overseen CIA ctivities.

Senate Democratic leader Mike Mansfield said he would be very flexible in naming Democrats to serve on the Watergate-type committee and that some young senators will be named.

Mansfield said he will shortly confer

with Senate Republican leader Hugh Scott, who would name the GOP members. The resolution calls for a

and the second s

committee of either 7 or 11 senators with the decision on size left to Mansfield and Scott.

The caucus gave Mansfield authority to bring to the Senate floor a resolution creating the select committee with full subpoena powers, a \$750,000 budget and a nine-month mandate expiring Sept. 1, 1975.

One senator abstained from the vote and nine were absent.

The full Senate is expected to pass the resolution.

Meanwhile, the blue-ribbon panel headed by Vice President Nelson A. Rockefeller questioned former CIA Director John McCone, who later told newsmen he knew of no violations of the CIA charter that occurred during his term as director from 1961 to 1965.

McCone pointed out that he was one of the first to recommend a full-scale investigation of allegations the CIA engaged in domestic spying in violation of its charter.

McCone said there was "no question about the fact that Congress must be satisfied they are at all times informed about activities in the intelligence field."

He added that during his tenure "the select committees I worked with were fully informed."

Sen. John O. Pastore, D-R.I., chief sponsor of the Senate resolution, said he wants the investigation into whether intelligence agencies have overstepped their authority turned over to fresh faces.

"I wouldn't confine it to the old fellows who have done it before," he said. "I want something new, something fresh,I want members who have not been characterized one way or the other."