CIA.	DOCUMENT RE PLAN OF	ATTACK ON CRITICS OF ARE
HUTESIG	GLASSIFICATION .	PROCESSING ACTION
1919 FAILE	1	MARKED FOR INJEXING
Chiefe, Certain Stations	a ned Rissee	
Fr.	nent Number 1035-960	00174 004715150 CECX
* '		CAN JUCCH LINDERING
i Jor FO	IA Review on SEP 1976	MICHOFILM
2 Countering Criticism of	the Warron Report	
DN NEQUINED - REFERENCES CUITED TO	HS WAS FULLED REGETTER BY	
PSYCH FILLS	VELOVE CONSTRUCTION INTER OF THE SOURCE MARTHAL, PROPA	WE FORNIGIED MEST
1. Our Concern. F	STATE SCALL AATHIAL, Profes	TTALE CASE, THE
there has been speculati	rom the day of President Kennedy on about the responsibility for	his murder Although
unis wis summed for a t	138 by the Warren Commission men	ant (which announce)
Commission s published r	4), various writers have now had report and documents for new pret	avtr for oursetleding
and there has been a new	wave of books and articles crit the critics have speculated as	inizing the Commissionle
Kind of conspiracy, and	often they have implied that the	Commission itenle www.
Commission's Report, a p	a result of the increasing chal ublic opinion poll recently indi	lenge to the Warren
American public did not	think that Oswald acted along a	mile more than hele as
Doubtless polls abroad w	t the Commission had left some o ould show similar, or possibly m	uestions unresolved.
including our organizati	plnion is a matter of concern to on. The members of the Warren C	ommission vere noturellar
chosen for their integri	ty, experience, and prominence. and their staff were deliberatel	They represented both
of the country. Just be	cause of the standing of the Com	missioners efforts to
impugn their rectitude a American society. Moreo	nd wisdom tend to cast doubt-on ver, there seems to be an increa	the whole leadership of
that President Johnson h	imself, as the one person who mi	ght be said to have
such seriousness affects	ay responsible for the assassing not only the individual concern	ed, but also the whole
reputation of the America involved: among other for	an government. Our organization acts, we contributed information	itself is directly
Conspiracy theories have	frequently thrown suspicion on	our organization, for
this dispatch is to prov:	ing that Lee Harvey Oswald worke ide material for countering and	discrediting the claims
of the conspiracy theori:	sts, so as to inhibit the circul bund information is supplied in a	ation of such claims in
in a number of unclassif	ied attachments.	a classified section and
3. Action. We do n	not recommend that discussion of	the assessingtion ques-
tion be initiated where :	it is not already taking place.	Where discussion is
ective however address	ees are requested:	
	GS COPY.	· · · · · ·
-	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	ATE
9 attachments h/w		4/1/67
1- SUTT,	CLASSIFICATION H	DESTROY WHEN NO LONGER
8 - Unclassified		NEEDED
6 II.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
·*		
14 V		
6	· · · · · ·	이는 사람이 가지 않는 것을 것을 것을 것을 수 없다.
·		
	(*) ^{(†} (*	
2	4	
	241	
		5 (1) 2)
	92 c	a second a s

	, n.	DISP.ATCH					1
	made erit only ecn: Urge	as thorough iss are with plays into plays talk	an investig: cut serious i the hands of avcear to be	tion as human foundation, an the opposition	icing out the ily possible id that furth on. Point of	friendly elite of the Warren C , that the char her speculative at also that pa ? Communist pro hed and irrespo	ommission ges of the discussion gts of the
	this usef as a evid hast; In th strat Fleto Lane conto	purpose. Tr purpose. Tr pplicable, th ence was in, y and inaccur he course of tegy may be t cher Knebel a 's book is mu ested by inov	the unclassifi traterial for the criticity of the criticity of the criticity of the criticity (ii) politicity of the criticity of the criti	attre article ed attachment or pacsage to cs are (i) we ally interest research, or of the whole Epstein's th pectator piec	s are partic s to this gu assets. Our dded to theo ed, (iii) fi (v) infatua phenomenon o eory for atta e for backgro pstein': and	the attacks of ularly appropri- diance should play should puries adopted by nancially inter- ted with their f criticism, a ack, using the bound. (Althoug comes off badd re difficult to ad details.)	iate for provide oint out, efore the rested, (iv) own theories. useful attached the Mark
in sho	4.] attack puld be	In private or ing publicat useful:	media discus ions which ma	ssion not dire ay be yet for	ected at any theoming, the	particular vri Folloving arg	ter, or uments
	and E attac have (A be of 19 now b eithe but t	der. The ass bertrand Russe ks on the Wan been convinci- tter parallel 33, which son elieve was se r Nazis or Co	sassingtion i ell) with the rren Commissi ingly identif L, though an ac competent et by Van der ommunists; th re been much	s sometimes of Dreyfus case on have produ- Tied, and ther imperfect one historians (F Lubbe on his e Nazis tried	compared (e.g ; however, u ced no new e e is no agre , might be w ritz Tobias, own initiat	Commission did ., by Joachim unlike that cas vidence, no ne- ement among the ith the Reichs: A.J.P. Taylor ive, without ac blame on the Co cing the world	Joesten e, the w culprits e critics. tag fire , D.C. Watt) cting for
×	are le critic examine eyewit	ess reliable cism) and les nation of the	and more div s on ballist Commission' s are quoted	recollections ergent and ic, autopsy, s records wil out of conte	of individu hence offer and photograp	re others. The al eyewitnesses more hand-hold phic evidence. ow that the cor discarded by th	(which ls for A close
	ceal f royalt John H conspi hardly Senato on the a loca trol: assass	the United ties, etc. N F. Kennedy's racy. And a have held h or Russell wor part of Chi tion for a sl the route, f	States, esp ote that Robu brother, would s one reviewe is tongue for uld have had ef Justice We hooting where the speed of discovered.	. since informert Kennedy, and the the laster pointed out of the sake of every politic arren. A conserved the cars, the A group of we around the cars, the around the cars, the around the cars, the around the cars.	nants could e Attorney Gene t man to over t, Congressme the Democrat cal interest epirator more ended on cond t moving targ	be impossible expect to recei- ral at the tim clook or concea in Gerald R. Fo ic administrat in exposing an over would har litions beyond i et, the risk th rators could har	ve large e and l any rd would ion, ant y misdeeds dly choose his con-
4	light sion b way or an exc	on some theory ecause it did the other. ellent safegu licit tomsfor	ry and fall i i not always Actually, th Mard azainst	n love with i answer every e make-up of over-commitme robabilities	t; they also question wit the Commission t to any on	ctual pride: f scoff at the (h a flat decisi on and its star e theory, or ag ties,	Commis-
FORM 8-04 (40)	530	NILLI ALS	TION	CLASSIFICATION		X	TWO
			Contraction of the second s			the second s	

		ONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	· · ·	CLASSIFICATION	013	PAIGH SYMBOL AN	DINARIS	
				i.			7. 1.	
	Fi				er F		* *	
		 Oswald would conspirator. He and an unknown op 	i not have be vas e "lone quantity to e	en any sensible p r," mixed-up, of Ay professional	person's question	choice for able relia	r a co- ability	۶.
1		f. As to charge	a that the o					
		three months art the Commission to the pressure of coming from the putting out new	ied to speed irresponsible	up its reporting	, this wa	to the dea is largely	ree that due to	
1							2	
		5. Such vague a ously" can alway. viduals concerner mission staff que people, conductif large group, a ca Jones, cne of the peared on televis from heart attack a bridge, and one	d have for th estioned 418 5g 25,000 int ertain number e originators sion, it emer.	e most part died witnesses (the erviews and rein of deaths are to of the "ten mys ged that two of t	of natur FBI inter terviews) o be expen- terious de the deaths	y: e.g., al causes viewed fa , and in : cted. (W eaths" li: s on his]	the indi- ; the Com- r more such a hen Penn ne, ap- list were	-
	miss accor	 Where possibl ission's Report it essed by the care, ion worked. Revie unt the idea that 	e, counter si self. Open- thoroughness wers of other checking here	peculation by enc ninded foreign re , objectivity an books might be	couraging aders sho id speed w	reference uld still with which	to the be the Com-	
	supe	rior to the work o	f its critics		t itself,	they fou	nd it far	
			1					
			ļ			,	71 (*)	
							i.	
			5c					ang.
					E.			
2.4					£			x H
1 1 1 1 1 1 1			*		е Л1	а		ж н
191 1					6 1 2	9 8	् स हे कुर्ग	ж Э
					e Al Q Q	а 8 г	н 14 — В. Ф. П. 144 154 154	ж 2 2 2
н 1 1 2 7			÷		е 2 Э	а 8 - а -	् हे के कर 19 19	ж н г г
			t.		6 71 9 11 8 8 8	а 3 , ,	н В. в. (р. м. н	а 13 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
19 1 1			t.			а 8 	н 1 5 еп. 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	а 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
			ι,			а * * *	- - 	а а а
<u> </u>						а 3	4 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	а 2 2 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3
<u> </u>					6 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	а 3 (4) (4) (4) (5) (5) (6) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7) (7		
8 <u>1</u>			t.	* * *		3 3 (0) 4		
				25 25				
DRI 154	⁸ 53 =	USE PREVIOUE EDITION.		ASSIFICATION	/	NTINUED	PAGE NO. THREE	
- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	[₩] 53¤	USE PREVIOUE EDITION.		ASSIRICATION	/	NTINUED	1 Charles and the second	

4 January 1967

Background Survey of Books Concerning the Assessination of President Kennedy

1. (Except where otherwise indicated, the factual data given in paragraphs 1-9 is unclassified.) Some of the authors of recent books on the assassination of President Kennedy (e.g., Joachim Joesten, <u>Osvald</u>: <u>Assassin or Fall Guy</u>; Mark Lane, <u>Rush to Judment</u>; Leo Sauvage, <u>The Osvald</u>: <u>Affair: An Examination of the Contradictions and Omissions of the Warren</u> <u>Penort</u>) <u>had</u> publicly asserted that a conspiracy existed before the Warren <u>Commission</u> finished its investigation. Not surprisingly, they inrediately bestirred themselves to show that they were right and that the Commission some of It conflicting or misleading when read out of context, they have had little difficulty in uncovering items to substantiate their own theories. They have also in some cases obtained new and divergent testimony from witnesses. And they have usually failed to discuss the refutations of their early claims in the Commission's Report, Appendix XII ("Speculations and Rumors"). This Appendix is still a good place to look for material countering the theorists.

2. Some writers appear to have been predisposed to criticism by anti-American, far-left, or Communist sympathies. The British "Who Killed Kennedy Committee" includes some of the most persistent and vocal English critics of the United States, e.g., Michael Foot, Kingsley Martin, Kenneth. Tynan, and Bertrand Russell. Joachim Joesten has been publicly revealed as a onetime member of the German Communist Party (KPD); a Gestapo document of 8 November 1937 among the German Foreign Ministry files microfilmed in England and now returned to West German custody shows that his party book was numbered 532315 and dated 12 May 1932. (The originals of these files are now available at the West German Foreign Ministry in Bonn; the copy in the U.S. National Archives may be found under the reference T-120, Serial 4918, frames E256482-4. The British Public Records Office should also have a copy.) Joesten's American publisher, Carl Marzani, was once sentenced to jail by a federal jury for concealing his Communist Party (CPUSA) membership in order to hold a government job. Available information indicates that Mark Lune was elected Vice Chairman of the New York Council to Abolish the House Un-American Activities Committee on 28 May 1963; he also attended the 8th Congress of the International Association of Democratic Lawyers (an international Communist front organization) in Budapest from 31 March to 5 April 1964, where he expounded his (pre-Report) views on the Kennedy assassination. In his acknowledgments in his book, Lane expresses special thanks to Ralph Schoenman of London "who participated in and supported the work"; Schoenman is of course the expatriate American who has been influencing the aged Bertrand Russell in recent years. (See also para. 10 below on Communist efforts to replay speculation on the assassination.)

3. Another factor has been the <u>financial revard</u> obtainable for sensational books. Mark Lane's <u>Rush to Judgment</u>, published on 13 August 1966, had sold 85,000 copies by early November and the publishers had printed

CS COPY.

(Cont.)

140, 000 copies by that date, in anticipation of sales to come. The 1 January 1967 New York Times Book Review reported the book as at the top of the General category of the best seller list, having been in top position for seven weeks and on the list for 17 weeks. Lune has reportedly appeared on about 175 television and radio programs, and has also given numerous public lectures, all of which serves for advertisement. He has also put together a TV rilm, and is peddling it to European telecasters; the BBC has purchased rights for a record \$45,000. While neither Abraham Zapruder nor William Manchester should be classed with the critics of the Commission we are discussing here, sums paid for the Zapruder film of the assessination (\$25,000) and for magazine rights to Manchester's Death of a President (\$669,000) indicate the money available for material related to the assassination. Some newspapermen (e.g., Sylvan Fox, The Unenswered Questions About President Kennedy's Assassination; Leo Sauvage, "h: Oswald Affair) have published accounts cashing in on their journalistic expertise.

4. Aside from political and financial motives, some people have apparently published accounts <u>simply because they were burning to give the</u> <u>world their theory</u>, e.g., Harold Weisberg, in his <u>Whitewash II</u>, Penn Jones, ' <u>Jr.</u>, in <u>Forgive Ey Grief</u>, and George C. Thomson in <u>The Quest for Truth</u>. Weisberg's book was first published privately, though it is now finally attaining the dignity of commercial publication. Jones' volume was published by the small-town Texas newspaper of which he is the editor, and Thomson's booklet by his own engineering firm. The impact of these books will probably be relatively slight, since their writers will appear to readers to be hysterical or paranoid.

5. A common technique among many of the writers is to <u>raise as many</u> <u>questions as possible</u>, while not bothering to work out all the consequences. Herbert Mitgang has written a parody of this approach (his questions actually refer to <u>Lincoln's</u> assassination) in "A New Inquiry is Needed," <u>New York</u> <u>Times Magnzine</u>, 25 December 1966. Mark Lane in particular (who represents himself as Oswald's lawyer) adopts the classic defense attorney's approach of throwing in unrelated details so as to create in the jury's mind a sum of "reasonable doubt." His tendency to wander off into minor details led one observer to comment that whereas a good trial lawyer should have a sure instinct for the jugular vein, Lane's instinct was for the capillaries. His tactics and also his nerve were typified on the occasion when, after getting the Commission to pay his travel expenses back from England, he recounted to that body a sensational (and incredible) story of a Ruby plot, while refusing to name his source. Chief Justice Warren told Lane, "We have every reason to doubt the truthfulness of what you have heretofore told us" -- by the standards of legal etiquette, a very stiff rebuke for an attorney.

6. It should be recognized, however, that another kind of criticism has recently emerged, represented by Edward Jay Epstein's <u>Inquest</u>. Epstein adopts a <u>scholarly</u> tone, and to the casual reader, he presents what appears to be a more coherent, reasoned case than the writers described above.

.

(Survey Cont.)

Epstein has caused people like Richard Rovere and Lord Devlin, previously backers of the Commission's Report, to change their minds. The New York Times' daily book reviewer has said that Epstein's work is a "watershed book" which has made it respectable to doubt the Commission's findings. This respectability effect has been enhanced by Life magazine's 25 November 1966 issue, which contains an assertion that there is a "reasonable doubt," as well as a republication of frames from the Zapruder film (owned by Life), and an interview with Governor Connally, who repeats his belief that he was not struck by the same bullet that struck President Kennedy. (Connally does not, however, agree that there should be another investigation.) Eputein himself has published a new article in the December 1966 issue of Esquire, in which he explains away objections to his book. A copy of an early critique of Epstein's views by Fletcher Knebel, published in Look, 12 July 1966, and an unclassified, unofficial analysis (by "Spectator") are attached to this dispatch, dealing with specific questions raised by Epstein.

7. Here it should be pointed out that Epstein's competence in research has been greatly exaggerated. Some illustrations are given in the Fletcher Knebel article. As a further specimen, Epstein's book refers (pp. 93-5) to a cropped-down picture of a heavy-set man taken in Mexico City, saying that the Central Intelligence Agency gave it to the Federal Bureau of Investigation on 18 November 1963, and that the Bureau in turn forwarded it to its Dallas office. Actually, affidavits in the published Warren material (vol. XI, pp. 468-70) show that CIA turned the picture over to the FBI on 22 November 1963. (As a matter of interest, Mark Lane's <u>Rush to Judgment</u> claims that the photo was furnished by CIA on the morning of 22 November;

the fact is that the FBI flew the photo directly from Mexico City to Dallas immediately <u>after</u> Osvald's arrest, before Osvald's picture had been published, on the chance it might be Osvald. The reason the photo was cropped was that the background revealed the place where it was taken.) Another example: where Epstein reports (p. 41) that a Secret Service interview report was even withheld from the National Archives, this is untrue: an Archives staff member told one of our officers that Epstein came there and asked for the memorandum. He was told that it was there, but was classified. Indeed, the Archives then notified the Secret Service that there had been a request for the document, and the Secret Service declassified it. But by that time, Epstein (whose preface gives the impression of prolonged archival research) had chosen to finish his searches in the Archives, which had only lasted two days, and had left town. Yet Epstein charges that the Commission was over-hasty in its work.

8. Aside from such failures in research, Epstein and other intellectual critics show symptoms of some of the <u>love of theorizing and lack of common</u> <u>sense and experience</u> displayed by Richard H. Popkin, the author of <u>The Second</u> <u>Oswald</u>. Because Oswald was reported to have been seen in different places at the same time, a phenomenon not surprising in a sensational case where thousands of real or alleged witnesses were interviewed, Popkin, a professor of philosophy, theorizes that there actually were two Oswalds. At this point, theorizing becomes sort of logico-mathematical game, an exercise in permutations

(Survey Cont.)

3

and combinations; as Commission attorney Arlon Specter remarked, "Why not make it three Oswalds? Why stop at two?" Nevertheless, aside from his book, Popkin has been able to publish a summary of his views in <u>The New</u> <u>York Review of Books</u>, and there has been replay in the French Nauvel <u>Observateur</u>, in Moscow's <u>New Times</u>, and in Baku's <u>Vyshka</u>. Popkin makes a sensational accusation indirectly, saying that "Western European critics" see Kennedy's assassination as part of a subtle conspiracy attributable to "perhaps even (in rumors I have heard) Kennedy's successor." One Barbara Garson has made the same point in another way by her parody of Shakespeure's "Macbeth" entitled "MacBird," with what is obviously President Kennedy (Ken O Dunc) in the role of Duncan, and Fresident Johnson (MacBird) in the role of Macbeth. Miss Garson makes no effort to prove her point; she merely insinuates it. Probably the indirect form of accusation is due to fear of a libel suit.

9. Other books are yet to appear. William Manchester's not-yetpublished <u>The Death of a President</u> is at this writing being purged of material personally objectionable to Mrs. Kennedy. There are hopeful signs: Jacob Cohen is writing a book which will appear in 1967 under the title <u>Honest Verdict</u>, defending the Commission report, and one of the Commission attorneys, Wesley J. Liebeler, is also reportedly writing a book, setting forth both sides. But further criticism will no doubt appear; as the <u>Washington Post</u> has pointed out editorially, the recent death of Jack Ruby will probably lead to speculation that he was "silenced" by a conspiracy.

10. The likelihood of further criticism is enhanced by the circumstance that <u>Communist</u> propagandists seem recently to have stepped up their own campaign to discredit the Warren Commission. As already noted, Moscow's New Times reprinted parts of an article by Richard Popkin (21 and 28 September 1966 issues), and it also gave the Swiss edition of Joesten's latest work an extended, laudatory review in its number for 26 October. Izvestiya has also publicized Joesten's book in articles of 18 and 21 October. (In view of this publicity and the Communist background of Joesten and his American publisher, together with Joesten's insistence on pinning the blame on such favorite Communist targets as H. L. Hunt, the FBI and CIA, there seems reason to suspect that Joesten's book and its exploitation are part of a planned Soviet propaganda operation.) Tass, reporting on 5 November on the deposit of autopsy photographs in the National Archives, said that the refusal to give wide public access to them, the disappearance of a number of documents, and the mysterious death of more than 10 people, all make many Americans believe Kennedy was killed as the result of a con-The radio transmitters of Prague and Warsaw used the anniversary of the assassination to attack the Warren report. The Bulgarian press conducted a campaign on the subject in the second half of October; a Greek Communist newspaper, Avgi, placed the blame on CIA on 20 November. Significantly, the start of this stepped-up campaign coincided with a Soviet

(Survey Cont.)

(demand that the U.S. Embassy in Moscow stop distributing the Hussian-language edition of the Warren report; <u>Hewsweek</u> commented (12 September) that the Soviets apparently "did not want mere facts to get in their way." ×. 2 5 (Survey) ·