Letelier Convictions 6/90 By Laura A. Kiernan

Washington Post Staff Writer

The U.S. Court of Appeals yesterday overturned the convictions of three anti-Castro Cubans jailed for the 1976 car-bombing assassination of former Chilean Ambassador Orlando Letelier in a midmorning terrorist attack on Embassy Row.

The court ordered new trials for two of the men, Guillermo Novo Sampol and Alvin Ross Diaz. It said their convictions for murder were based on "major evidence" from jailmates who acted as government informants and the evidence should not have been allowed in the trial.

The court also said that U.S. District Judge Barrington D. Parker should have granted the request of

the third defendant, Ignacio Novo Sampol, for a separate trial because he faced lesser charges — perjury and concealing information about the crime from prosecutors. The court left it up to the U.S. Attorney's office to decide on a new trial for him.

"The whole thing is wiped out," said Michael Young, who along with other New York lawyers represented Guil-Iermo Novo and Ross.

Assistant U.S. Attorney E. Lawrence Barcella Jr., who prosecuted the case along with former U.S. assistant attorney Eugene M. Propper, said he was "tremendously disappointed" with the decision. Barcella said the government must first decide whether to ask the full court to review the case or whether to seek a hearing in the U.S.

See APPEAL, A14, Col. 4

Letelier Sentences Overturned

APPEAL, From A1

Supreme Court before it makes any determination on new trials.

Supporters of Letelier, who had been one of the most outspoken critics of the Chilean military dictatorship of Gen. Augusto Pinochet, yesterday attacked the appeals court decision and said it was based on "proce-

dural irregularities."

"The appeals court does not say the men are innocent; it confirms their guilt," said Saul Landau, coauthor of a book on the Letelier assassination and spokesman for the Institute for Policy Studies, where Letelier once

worked.

The six-week trial here in January and February of 1979 had capped the most notorious act of international terrorism ever committed in the nation's capital. Letelier, 44, and a colleague, Ronni Karpen Moffitt, were killed when a bomb attached to their car exploded as they rounded Sheridan Circle on their way to work at the Institute for Policy Studies, a leftist think tank.

In a trial marked by unusually tight security, the government had contended that the Chilean secret police, known as DINA, has orchestrated

Letelier's murder.

The government's key witness, American-born DINA agent Michael Vernon Townley, testified that he had recruited the Cubans to help carry out an assassination plot directed by

DINA superiors.

In August 1978, Townley, in exchange for the government's promise to recommend that he be paroled after serving the minimum amount of a 31/2- to 10-year prison term, had pleaded guilty to murdering a foreign official.

Much of the government's case rested on Townley's testimony and other evidence collected during a 22-month investigation that resulted in the indictments of five Cubans and three Chileans in August 1978.

The murder convictions overturned yesterday rested on eleventh-hour testimony provided by two informants .

put on the stand to bolster Townley's testimony. That is the testimony which the court said yesterday should not have been admitted into evidence.

The two informants, Sherman Kaminsky and Antonio Polytarides, both convicted criminals, spent time with Guillermo Novo and Ross at the Metropolitan Correction Center in New York City. Both men later testified in Washington that Guillermo Novo and Ross both made incriminating statements to them-at separate times-about their roles in the Letelier murder.

Kaminsky met Ross in the New York City prison in the spring of 1978 and the two men "began to talk ..." the appeals court noted. Kaminsky said Ross told him that he was involved in the murder of Orlando Letelier, that he provided two wires that were used in the bomb to kill him, and "said he was glad Letelier was dead," the appeals court wrote.

Polytarides testified at the trial that during a 1978 conversation, at the same New York City jail, Guillermo Novo had told him that the anti-Castro Cuban Nationalist Movement had "been betrayed by some people in my case but we will pay them back."

Basically, the appeals court said that Kaminsky and Polytarides acted as government agents soliciting information when they spoke to the two defendants in the prison.

The court said that a U.S. Supreme Court decision issued last June found that such statements are not admissible as evidence during a trial because they resulted from actions that violated the defendants' constitutional right to have a lawyer present during conversations with the government.

The court noted that Judge Parker at first had refused to allow Polytarides' testimony, saying that Guillermo Novo had been sought out by the informant. Later, however, Parker changed his mind and agreed to allow

the jury to hear it. Yesterday, the appeals court said Parker was right the first time and should have totally excluded Polytarides testimony.

Appeals court judges George Mac-Kinnon and Roger Robb participated in yesterday's decision along with U.S. District Judge Howard Corcoran, who was sitting on the appeals court by special designation. All three judges participated in writing the court's 124page opinion.

The court upheld the arguments of the third defendant, Ignacio Novo Sampol, that his defense was prejudiced by the judge's refusal to grant

him a separate trial.

The court noted that the "bulk" of the evidence during the trial involved conspiracy and murder charges against Ignacio Novo's brother, Guillermo Novo, and Ross. Although he faced much less serious charges, Ignacio Novo was nevertheless forced to try to counter the implications that must have arisen in the minds of the jury during the joint trial, the court said.

"He (Ignacio Novo) was not charged with the conspiracy or murders, but still he was required to sit in court while the emotion-charged testimony was unveiled to the jury. " the

court wrote.

In its decision yesterday, the appeals court granted Ignacio Novo's motion for release on bail pending appeals in the case. The court said the amount of bond would be left to the trial court. Guillermo Novo is currently at the federal penitentiary at Leavenworth, Kansas and Ross at the federal prison at Marion, Ill. Both men were serving two consecutive life terms in prison for the murder convictions.

The appeals court said yesterday that the government is prchibited from using the testimony of the two informants if it decides to conduct new trials for Guillermo Novo and