Morton H. Halperin

IA's Campus Recruitment: Secrets From Whom?

at their universities. cruitment of foreign students studying with university professors and adminrent CIA activities on university camistrators to assist the agency in the repuses, it wanted to report the simple When the Senate Intelligence Com-mittee headed by Frank Church (Dfact that the CIA had covert relations Idaho) completed its 1976 review of cur-

purposes." The committee put the sentence in italics, noting that it gave less than a complete description of what the committee was concerned about. making "introductions for intelligence agency. The committee's final report stated that some university officials assisted the CIA by, among other things, security. The committee, anxious to complete its work, gave in to the that to do so would jeopardize national mation to be made public, claiming The CIA refused to permit that infor-

the university under the Freedom of Information Act. In both cases the CIA There the committee stood until Har-vard University issued guidelines that sought the files of the CIA's relation to dents at the University of California sought to stonewall gaging in secret recruitment and stuprohibited university officials from en-

> cruitment openly. ments for research purposes. On the question of recruitment, he wrote only field Turner wrote back expressing op-position to another section of the guide-When Harvard sent a copy of its guidelines to the CIA, Director Stansthat the CIA conducts all of its staff relines dealing with consulting arrange-

to get Turner to admit that there was It took Harvard a year of negotiation

ACLU Project on National Security. for National Security Studies and of the The writer is director of the Center

ask them to become secret recruiters licly identify themselves and to secure the permission of the individual before giving his name to the CIA. The CIA, he wrote, would continue to feel free to and to engage in covert operations approach Harvard faculty members to rules, which require recruiters to pubthat it was abiding by the Harvard that the CIA would not give assurances ever done so. He did, however, state on the Harvard campus or that it had CIA was conducting secret recruitment would not confirm directly that the an issue of secret recruitment. Turner

of the students (Gardels v. CIA). response to a lawsuit filed by the ACLU Project on National Security on behalf mation Act appeals process and in the CIA. The agency maintained that fornia, the agency began by refusing to position through the Freedom of Inforbetween members of the faculty and documents relating to covert relations confirm or deny the existence of any In the case of the University of Callits

with faculty members, who assist the admitted that it has covert relations connections between the University of ed that the agency file an affidavit ex-California and the CIA. In response, the CIA filed an affidavit in which it finally plaining why it cannot confirm or deny the existence of files revealing covert Project counsel Jack Novik demand-

students with the covert assistance of made it clear that the ongoing program related to the recruitment of foreign tion of University Professors (AAUP) nual meeting of the American Associaagency in foreign intelligence activity.

Turner, in a speech before the anuniversity personnel.

to permit the Church committee to make Thus, the information the CIA refused

abroad, another activity prohibited by public was not officially admitted by the the Harvard guidelines.

agency. "Assist in making introductions court or, as far as one can tell, by direction of the White House. lic without being ordered to do so by any studying on American campuses. Admitcovert recruitment of foreign students lawsuit, but it made the information pubsure from Harvard and the California tedly, the CIA was subject to some presfor intelligence purposes" means the

stages of the administrative process and litigation). where similar requests are in various taking the same position with schools at the University of California (and it is had any covert contact with professors be required to state whether it has ever court to sustain its position that it not telling Harvard that it will not obey its regulations, it has asked the Gardels now refusing further disclosure. While should surprise no one that the CIA is censor its report, persuade a congressional committee to the CIA warned of, and that it used to There is no sign that the damage that has occurred.

the professor is, and many academics there will be pressure to find out who reasoning: If it becomes known that there is secret recruitment at a campus The agency has now spelled out its will refuse to cooperate with the agency in covert recruitment if the fact of their involvement is made public. Thus, the agency admits that the secret is to be kept not from foreign intelligence services, but from Americans for fear they will end the spying on their campuses if they learn about it.

That is precisely the point. A university has the right to prohibit its faculty from spying on its students, whether Americans or foreigners, for the purpose of determining if they might in turn spy on their own governments for the CIA, and it has the right to prohibit the passing of information about a student without his or her permission. The Harvard guidelines do precisely that. The AAUP has adopted a similar position, and the University of California is moving in the same direction,

The CIA's position is that it will not confirm that such activity is going on for fear that it will be stopped, and that it will not abide by university regulations that prohibit it. It is now easier to understand why the Church committee said that ongoing CIA activities threaten academic freedom and that the CIA does not feel constrained by anything other than the fear of embarrassment when it operates on the university campus.