

LINE By George Lardner Jr. , branch inquiry as chairman of the Washington Post Staff Writer ency.

Presidential Commission on CIA Ac-Former Central Intelligence Agency ; tivities Within the United States. Director William E. Colby says he bed ^{21,5} In his, book, entitled "Honorable lieves President Ford fired him in the ' timen; My Lite in the Cra. Consy says fall of 1975 because of Colby's ideter ' Secretary, of. State Henry A. Kissin mination not "to stonewall" congress ger and Brent Scowcroft, who headed signal and executive branch investigat lieves President Ford fired him in the "Men: My Life in the CIA." Colby says innation not: to stoneward toug a Ford's National Security Council, also sional and executive branch investiga. Ford's National Security Council, also tions of CIA wrongdoing. Ford is the congressional inquiries proceeded. In an autobiography scheduled to In a prepared statement, Rockefel-be published in May, Colby says here for denied a brief account in News, be published in May, Colby says here ler denied a orier account in Yews, got a number of warnings from the Yweek imagazine's Periscope column outset that he was being too candid i saying that Rockefeller had asked Col-including one from then Vice Presi-by to "stonewall" Rockefeller's in-dent Rockefeller in 1999 (1994) westigating commission. But the for-Ford named Rockefeller in January is mer vice, president declined yester-

yond that and comment on the de tails in Colby's book 1999 mortuant The former CIA director said that after his second or third appearance before the Rockefeller commission in early, 1975; the vice president drew him aside "and said in his most charming manner, 'Bill, do you real-ly flave to present all this material to us?' According to Colby, Rockefeller con-tinued, saying, "We realize that there Tare secrets that you fellows need to keep, and so nobody here is going to take it amiss if your feel that there are some questions you can't answer. 1975 to preside over the executive day through a spokesman to go be See COLBY, A12, Col. 1 quite as fully as you seem to feel you have to.'

Colby said he "got the message quite unmistakably" and didn't like if. The vice president of the United States, he said, was "letting me know that he didn't approve of my ap-prCach," and that he would rather Cotby begin "fending off investigations by drawing the cloak of secrecy" around the CIA in the name of national[°] security, The former CIA director said he "mumbed something appropriate" to Rockefeller and then "went on to give the commission what it needed to get a fair picture of CIA's history." item about Colby's forthcoming book appeared this week, The New York Times published additional detail in yesterday's editions.

-It also quoted Richard E. Snyder, president of Simon & Schuster, which is publishing the book, as deploring a, "front-page mentality" that is making it difficult to circulate advance proofs of works such as Colby's.

The Washington Post then decided to publish an article based on a proof copy of the book in its possession. Snyder could not be reached for addifional comment. ...

& Colby recounts in some detail why he chose to oust CIA counterintelligence chief James Angleton in December 1974, just before publication of The New York Times story that prompted the investiga-tions of the agency. According to Colby, Angleton, had an "ultraconspiratorial turn of mind," full of tortuous suspicions that Soviet intelligence agents were planted in the heart of allied and neutral nations and notions about false. defectors being sent here to undermine American policies.

What really turned him off, Colby said, was the discovery that good CLAofficers were being hurt as the result of such theories. On one occasion, he said, the head of a friendly liaison service in a foreign capital "drew me aside on a visit to confront me with the fact that Angleton had told him that our chief of station there was a Soviet agent." Colby said he checked, and found that the matter had been thoroughly investigated years earlier, and, the officer "given a totally clean bill of health."

Asked for comment, Angleton expressed alarm last night that Colby had been permitted to make such disclosures. He also said that he made no allegation of his own, but merely conveyed information from a "sensitive source" with whom the Foreign Service was familiar.

Charging that Colby was being permitted to give out "some of the most sensitive counterintelligence" information, Angleton protested that "there'll be at least a dozen people [in Moscow] reading his book against their [KGB] data computer machines." The former counterintelligence chief deplored that the CIA, which reviewed the manuscript, had permitted such information to be published.

For his part, Colby said he felt the agency had made too many excisions. In his book, written with former Time magazine correspondent Peter, Forbath, Colby says he felt quite lonely in his attempts to be candid about the misdeeds of his agency. He writes that most of the White House staff and much of the intelligence community would have prefer-red "to stonewall, to disclose as little as they could get away with 江植 In the furor that followed publication of The New York Times story on Dec. 22, 1974, Colby dictated a report for President Ford, saying the misdeeds had been ferreted out, and halted months earlier and suggesting that his report on the incidents "all be released to the press immediately,"

but his advice was ignored Instead, as he continued on his own courses of testifying on Capitol Hill, especially before the quickly created Senate Intelligence Committee, Colby recalled being chided by both-Kissinger and Scowcroft

"Kissinger, in a sarcastically teasing reference to my Catholicism, cracked, 'Bill, you know what you do when you go up to the Hill? You go to confession.' Scowcroft . . . didn't try to be witty about it; he flatly said I should refuse to reply to the questions the Congress was asking." Other factors, such as a new look for the agency, may have figured in his dismissal by Ford, but Colby writes: "I believe I was fired because of the way Lwent about dealing with the CIA's crisis. My approach, pragmatically and philosophically, was in conflict with that of the president and his principal advisers."