His Cooperation in Domestic Spying Inquiries

Colby Says His Dismissal as C.I.A. Chief Arose From

By SEYMOUR M. HERSH

William E, Colby says in a memoir to a published in May that he believes resident Ford removed him as Director Central Intelligence in late 1975 because he chose not "to stonewall" but a cooperate with the Congressional and requiring inquiries that year into wrongcrecutive inquiries that year into wrong-coing by the Central Intelligence Agency.

"To say the very least, most of the hite House staff and, for that matter, much of the intelligence community, were nenthusiastic about what I was doing,"
ir. Colby writes in "Honorable Men: My
Life in the CIA;" to be published by
mon and Schuster.

Among those who directly expressed oncern to him, Mr. Colby writes, were enry A. Kissinger, then Secretary of ate; Brent Scowcroft, then the head of the National Security Council, and Vice resident Nelson A. Rockefeller, who at the time was chairman of a Presidentially pointed executive commission that was vestigating alleged C.I.A. abuses.

Mr. Rockefeller denied, in a statement, sued late yesterday through an aide, at he had ever asked Mr. Colby to istruct the commission's inquiry.

Mr. Colby's subsequent dismissal as irector of Intelligence was publicly deribed as being essential to a reorganizaon of 'the national security structure, the according to Mr. Colby, that was not be main reason: "I believe I was fired cause of the way I went about dealing ith the C.I.A.'s crisis. My approach, agmatically and philosophically, was in nflict with that of the President and a principal advisers."

Portions of Mr. Colby's book were pro-ded by Simon and Schuster to a New ork Times reporter today after Newseek magazine, in its current issue, pub-

hed some details ina column.

Richard E. Snyder, president of the
blishing house deployed in an interwhat he characterized as a "front-ge mentality" that was making it dif-cult to circulate advance proofs of orks such as Mr. Colby's "This is not the hard news," Mr. Snyder said: "Some-

e breaking the embarge can't say it's e public's right to know."

By printing without permission, the blisher said, "you are denying a pern's right to a fair gain." Mr. Colby, who submitted the manu-ript to the C.I.A. for clearance, re-unts his career as a C.I.A. operative Scandinavia, in Italy and in Vietnam, here he later became director of the

cification effort. But much of the book mission, charled by Vice President Nelson als with what Mr. Colby calls "The Rockefeller, he drew me into his office ar of Intelligence," the 12-month riod after the December 1974 publication his most charming manner, Bill, do



William E. Colby

tion of an article in The New York Times describing the C.I.A.'s domestic spying. Mr. Colby was convinced, he writes,

that the initial report in The Times contained "distortions" and "exaggerations" that could be countered only by attempting "to cooperate with he investigations and ry to educate the Congress, press, and public, as well as Is could, about American intelligence."

Within a few days, Mr. Colby writes, he was excluded from the day-to-day dis-

he was excluded from the day-to-day dis-cussions among President Ford, Secretary Kissinger and key White House advisers over how to handle the allegations re-ported in The Times "Their preferred approach, bluntly put," he writes "would have been to stonewall, to disclose as little as they could get away with, and to cry havoc to the national security about what they couldn't deny-in short the exect on couldn't deny-in short, the exact op-

posite of m no".

Mr. Colby describes the White House's approach this way:

"The White House decided to try to

contain the crisis by forming a blue-ribbon commission to investigate. Soon afttr my first testimony before this com-mission, chaired by Vice President Nelson

you really have to prtsent all this material to us?"

"And at one of our private meetings to discuss intelligence activities, after I had become a regular performer before tht oSenate Select Committee, Kissinger, in a sarcastically teasing reference to my Catholicism, cracked, Bill, you know what you do when you go up to the Hill? You go to confession.'

"Snowcroft, with his Air Force back-ground and fierce loyalty to the Presi-dential command structure, didn't try to be witty about it; he flatly said I should

refust to reply to the questions the Congress was asking."

The Rockefeller statement yesterday said, "Because the President had limited the commission's investigation to questionation to the document of the commission's investigation to describe the document of the doc the commission's investigation to questions relating to the domestic activities of the C.I.A., as chairman I endeavored at all times to keep the focus of the investigation on the designed assignment."

"Accordingly," the statement added, "I sought to avoid the commission's being drawn into issues that were beyond its assignment."

Later in his memoir, however, Mr. Colly, writes that of all the commission.

Colby writes that of all the commission members, only Erwin Griswold, the for-mer Solicitor General and former dean of Harvard Law School, "was anything that could be called aggressive in his

questioning of me." As for his brief talk with Mr. Rocke-feller, Mr. Colby writes: "I got the mes-sage quite unmistakably, and I didn's like is "The Vice President of the United

States was letting me know that he didn't approve of my approach to the C.I.A.'s troubles, that he would much prefer me to take the traditional stance of fending off investigations by drawing the cloak of secrecy around the Agency in the name of national securtly."

In response to Mr. Rockefeller, Mr. Colby writes, "I mumbled something ap-

propriate."

Despite such comments and the pressures that led to President Ford's decision late in the year to dismiss him, Mr. Colby writes: "I do not now, nor did I then, regret what I did. I remain more convinced than ever that not only was it the right way but it was the only way."

'Mr. Colby's thesis, restated throughout the memoir in various forms, is that the C.I.A. would gain the public's support and good will only if it became "an integral part of our democratic process, subject to our system of checks and balances among the Executive and Con-gress and the Judiciary."

In Mr. Colby's view, his decision to be responsive to the investigationg groups proved correct when those groups concluded in their public reports that, as the Rockefeller Commission said, "the great majority of the C.I.A.'s activites comply with statutory authority."

But the television report by Daniel Schorr of CBS that the C.I.A. had engaged is foreign assassination attempts ended any chance for balanced treatment by as to the new priority was considered by the press, Mr. Colby writes. "A hysteria a few of those devoted to Chaos to be a

seize Washington," he writes; "sensation came to rule the day."

Elsewhere in his memoirs, however, Mr. Colby candidly writes about events that raise profound questions about his inability, as a high-level C.I.A. official, to control agency activities and the inability of the various investigating groups to learn all there is to know about any C.I.A. operation or activity.

For example, Mr. Colby writes that, upon his return from a Vietnam assignment to C.I.A. headquarters in 1972, he quickly became aware of the illegal C.I.A. domestic spying program, which had the code name Chaos.

A number of C.I.A. officers, he writes;

were all aware that a most secret project. was lodged in that most secret of agency crannies; the Counterintelligence Staff, and that it had a great deal to do with the antiwar movement." He went on "And the main concern on the part of these young agency employees was whether the C.I.A. was engaged in an activity that was clearly outside its proper charter-domestic inteligence.

After an investigation led by himself, Mr. Colby writes, Richard Helms, then the Director of Central Intelligence, ordered the Counterintelligence Staff to turn Chaos away from the antiwar movement to the threat of international terrorism:

'It wasn't until more than a year later that I realized that Helms's direction

cover story-a publicly acceptable explanation of their work while they continued to seek counterintelligence targets within American domestic dissent," Mr. Colby writes.

But Mr. Colby adds that he did nothing about it. "By the time I learned of this," he says, "I was already in the process of dismantling Chaos, so I did not try to ascertain how this misconstruction of Helms's instructions might have been refelcted in actual operations."

Mr. Colby also dealt with the C.I.A.'s decision not to send its own evidence of illegal domestic spying to the Justice-Department for possible prosecution.

Mr. Colby writes that he was ques-tioned by Lawrence Silberman, then the Acting Attorney General, shortly after The Times's publication of the initial domestic spying articles. He told Mr. Silberman, he says, that The Times had apparently obtained some details from an internal list of alleged incidents of domestic wrongdoing, which had been compiled during the Watergate crisis in 1973 but had not been turned over to the Justice Department.

Mr. Silberman declared, he writes, that

Mr. Silberman declared, he writes, that Mr. Colby "was obliged to turn such evidence over to the public authorities."

"In withholding that evidence for a year and a half, Bill," Mr. Colby quotes Mr. Silberman as saying, "you may have committed a crime yourself."

Mr. Colby writes that the thought of

Mr. Colby writes that the thought of reporting the matter to the Justice Department "never crossed my mind."