Joseph Kraft pred 9-19-74 Thile, the CIA and National Security

Intelligence Agency in Chile is not really about that agency or that coun-try. It emerges chiefly from a deep suage this suspicion. will have to go out of his way to asto heal the country, President Ford of national security. If he truly wants general suspicion of the instruments The fuss over the role of the Central

Two major questions ought to be asked at all times about the CIA. The first engages the role of the agency in making and unmaking foreign govand subversion. ernments by the black arts of sabotage

leaked to the press. The letter purported to summarize testimony to a House subcommittee by William Colby, the present director of the CIA.

According to the letter, Colby testithe present stir over Chile. The case grew out of a letter written by Rep. Michael That issue seems to be central to Harrington (D-Mass.) and

ernment of Chilean President Salvador Allende. The letter said the funds were used in order to achieve the "destabili-zation" of the regime. The implication was that the CIA arranged the coup which overthrew Allende last year. opposition to the Popular Front govfied that the agency spent \$8 million between 1970 and 1973 to help the

small a sum—for \$8 million is virtually nothing in the modern intelligence mony. It is hardly thinkable that so was not used by Mr. Colby in his testi-In fact, the word "destabilization" Chilean government. g in the modern intelligence

> "It is as clear as it can ever be in this sort of murky business that the CIA did not play a significant role in the Chile coup."

new in it. at that time, saying he saw nothing Fulbright (D-Ark.), who received the letter in July, wrote to Rep. Harrington be in this sort of murky business that the CIA did not play a significant role in the Chilean coup. Indeed, Foreign Relations Committee Chairman J. W. democratic the money was used only to sustain most informed sources also say-that Ford said at his news conference what leaders. It is as clear as it can ever newspapers and political

The second big question turns on the responsiveness of the CIA to the elected leadership in the White House and the Congress. Everybody agrees that wishes of the Nixon administration. in Chile the CIA was obedient to the

testimony before various elements of the Senate Foreign Relations Commit-tee that the United States had foment-ed the Chilean coup. high officials—including Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and former CIA keeping Congress informed. Several What is in doubt is the question of

> committee. Even if they did not tell the strict truth about such operations to the Foreign Relaions Committee, in other words, Messrs. Kissinger and within established guidelines. of the agency are not revealed to the regular legislative committees of the Technically, those statements appear to have been accurate. Moreover, it is traditional that black bag operations Helms and the others were operating Congress but to a special watchdog

the general atmosphere of the past few years does. Throughout the Victnam war, the Congress and much of the country were systematically deceived about the operation of the CIA and Watergate case, President Nixon and those around him invoked the term "national security" as the justification for covering up common crimes. other instruments of national security policy. Over and over again in the Chilean case do not justify the fuss, However, if the particulars of the

people have come to believe that the whole apparatus of national security is Many intelligent and well-meaning

> Chile have excited such attention. bogus—a cover for something illegiti-mate and improper. That is why the apparent improprieties of the CIA in If President Ford is to end what he

tional security response straight out of the 1950s: "Our government, like other governments, does take certain actions in the intelligence field to implement foreign policy and to protect national security." ference Monday night, he gave a nastand the depths of the doubts about tioned about Chile at his news connational security. Thus when ques has called the long national nightmare, Unfortunately, he seems not to under he will have to soften these feelings

administration theory was that the curse would be taken off the pardon by the amnesty for Vietnam war resisters. Mr. Ford evidently did not dodgers. relatively trivial issue of the draft national security actions-not on the rested on deep general doubts about realize that the opposition to Vietnam the pardoning of President Nixon. The The same lack of understanding en-tered into the blunder committed in

have to try to understand the other side. Otherwise, he will end up, as his the White House. O.M.3 account of those divisions. try is seriously and deeply divided on President Ford is going to have to take fundamental issues of national security. The point of all this is that the counpredecessors did, limping out of

© 1974, Field Enterprises, Inc.