4/7/74

Dear "Well Let He Ask You a Watered-down question" (aka George Herman),

If the local young gentlemen between 5 and 10 years of age had not spent so much time asking me what one frog is doing on the back of another and why are there so many frog's eggs and why are some of your fish red and then orange here and white there and what is this thing (once a salamander and then a redent I could not identify) I'd have gotten more out of today's show.

I did, between these weighty matters of juvenile state, form a high opinion of the work you and your panel did.

And once again I would appreciate a transcript.

Your collective efforts were really very good.

But Alexander still conned you.

There is fraud. Criminal as well as civil. And conspiracy. At least/two agencies are involved and I thank three.

Back in 1970 F introduced you to a young lawyer who then had not yet taken his bar examination. If he can now find the time I will attempt to do something about this fraud.

Too bad IRS no longer gives a 10% finders' fee. But good that you people got from Alexander what " saw/heard between frogs and fish and rodents and such.

I'm a bit disappointed that nobody has wondered why sixon was so enzious to bins himself to the decision of the tax compittee when he had to know it could cost maybe a half million.

Me, I'm not wondering. I think I know.

If you speak to the UNW people and they are interested, I'll be away this coming Wednesday and Thursday, the 10th and 11th.

liony thanks,

larold Weisberg