3/18/72

Hr. Julian Goodman, President National Broadcasting Company Rockefeller Center New Tork, N.Y.

Dear Mr. Goodman,

Doubleday is about to bring out a book titled "An American Death", represented as a study of the assaudination of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., and saying that Jamos Marl Bay was the lone assaudin. Reginning with a six-figure advance to the author, Geneld Frank, and including extreordinary advance book-club offerings, this conserval project counct succeed without the unpuid use of the electronic media, meaning the people air. It presents one, the official side, of a number of questions of national interest on which MBC has already refused to present the other side and had, extensively, presented this official side in the past. One of your licensees, for example, after presenting Willism Exactord Muis in a view indgitinguishable from Frank's, refused to permit me to air the other side.

Aside from the questions of fast, such as is the orime solved, did kay conmit the crime, there are other incues of national importance that daily assume greater significance in our troubled society. There is the broad question of justice in spectacular origes, did the system of justice work in this case; can an ungopular defendant get just then faced with a determined proscoution dominated by political considerations and, in reality, taken over by the federal government; can or does the commercialization of such crimes by those of financial and literary interests provent justice and frustrate the normal workings of the laws can defense coursel be dependent upon this commentical interest and not be hopelessly ridden by an irreconcilable conflict of interest 'the literary property vaporiging at any trial, which makes everything there adduced public domain); can society and its system of justice survive what assumts to a conspiracy to prevent a trial, a special form of plesbargaining; can a judge violate the standards of the bar with impunity and preside over this phen-bargaining and dictate the terms of the agreement and the sentence prior to the case reaching his in open court; can the federal government intrude fitself into a purely state orime without subserting the law and justice; can and should defense counsel first threaten the death of the defendant and then bribe his into silosce, especially in a orise of this nature and with its consequences, the greatest cost in damage in our history being but one; and many, many others I shall be glad to detail to you on request. I think these are more than amough to show the relevance of FCC regulations to this case.

There is also the question of the rights of the accused, defanation of him to which he cannot reply, and, whether or not it is NEC's intent, propaganda against and intrusion into his efforts to obtain a full, open and public trial, something there has not been in this case. This cannot but be adversely affected by presentation of Frank's partiann views. Hay is in jail and cannot defend himself. Even if this were not the case, because of pending legal appeals it is impossible. He has read ay published vermion of the orige and the functioning of society in it, the book PRANE-UP which NBC and its licenses refused to present to its audience and the only study on that side of this case and these issues, and he has althorized me to ask for equal time of you in his name and in his defense. He has deuled being the assausin and Mr. Frank says he was the lone assausin. He has nover admitted being the assausin. There is no possibility of percent profit to me in this, although that is irrelevant, because my study is a year old and not to my knowledge in any bookstore. For all of its extensive facilities and with the unquestionable competence of its professional staff, the complexity of this case are such that adequate understanding may well be denied NNC. It cannot, for example, use its own personnel to present the other side on all the many issues involved or in defense of the rights of Hr. May. Acquising command of the fact required in itself makes this impossible. "hus, in my view, with the best of intentions in the world NHC cannot air Mr. Frank and mosts its oblightions as the WOC has interpreted than without the presentation of an expert on the side opposite Hr. Frank's, of whom I am the only one. In the past NHC has refused to present what I may call "my" side of all these issues, ranging document from such not shows as "Today" to local licensees. Thus it would seem that the same standards should be applied to the elaborately-orchestrated presentation of the official version represented by Hr. Frank and his book.

I realise it is unusual to make a request like this prior to the airing of Hr. Frank. But I hope you also realise that once he is aired without simultaneous presentation of the other side, it is not possible for the view and representation of fact other than his to catch up with what he will have to say to defend his work and sell it or to reach the same audience. I suggest that raising this with you in advance of siring provides you with the opportunity to seet your obligations more fully and fairly.

With the precedent it has set of refusing to air me, the only expert on my or Ray's cide, I think it would be wrong for NBC now to air Mr. Frank and the official view which has been presented extensively and exclusively. But if NBC decides to again air the one side of this case, I do think it should simultaneously present the other side, which I alone an competent to do, being the only one who has done the requisite work and investigation. Thus I ask of you that should NBC present Mr. Frank in any way, it make it possible for me to appear in confrontation so that the people may have both sides simultaneously.

There is no possibility of gain for me in this, as there is for Mr. Frank. I recognize that I may be helping him financially where the same is not possible for me. But I think the over-riding issue is the access of the people on their air to all sides of the many questions and issues of national importance that are, inevitably and inextricably, invelved in any airing of any aspect of the overall subject.

I do hope you will agree with mo.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg