pr. John Lattimer, CRE-TV a.m. news 1/10/72(s.comd-day story, aired night before)

Chi announcer... "Precident Remady was murdered 8 years ago, someone outside the government has seen the herays and other pictures taken during the autopay. He's floctor John Lattimer of Columbia University's College of Physicians and Jurgeons. He's a prologists. He treated bullet wounds an an army doctor in World War II and piace them studied assassination by gunshot. He talked with David Culhane in New York.

C. Dr. Lastimer, you are in fact the first non- official person who was allowed to view these documents, the A-rays and whatnot. Bid you find anything there that was radically different that what you would have expected?

Lo ho, I didn to The findings that have been published have been challenged so much, however, that I think we've all sort of had a feeling of insecurity about whether the warren Com ission was really telling us the truth and there were so many points of fact that seemed to be reasonable that they could know been invastigated that would show up on these photographs and h-rays that I was very interested and asked if I could be permitted to see them when the restrictions that the family had asked for were lifted, and I think that the most immediate and obvious thing, point of interest that I observed was the fact that the one-bullet track that was alleged to have gone into the back of fresident kennedy's neck and then come out the front and then know gone on through werener Commally, was, indeed, in a position where it seemed such more likely or much more believable than I had been led to believe by the drawings which was in the warren Com ission Report.

C. For the - the conclusion that you reach is what? That the Warren Consission saying that there wax one assassin is true?

h. Yes, I tidnk that there is no evidence/other/intering there's plenty of evidence that the rifle that Oswald had did, indeed, fare this bullet, which went through President Kennedy and Connally or maybe just Connally, but in any case it was fired from Oswald's rifle without any doubt. I mean, there is no possible doubt at all about that point and nobody argues about it. And there were three empty cartridges that conform to the type use: by Cowald. Furthermore, his handprint was on the wifle and there's just no way that I can see that anyone could have fired such a bullet into a bala of cotton, retrived it, anticipated where Fresident Kennedy was going to be, went around there and drop ed it into that location perhaps on the stretcher that location perhaps of the stretcher that to indicate a transverse bullet passage, and of course again, this is one of the things that people have conjectured, that another bullet course have been fired from the front or from the aide, although I myself sat on the box where Oswald fired from and had then gone around and stood on the so-called Grassy knoll , on the railroad treatle, and I can't see how any skilled nerskaan would assume such a position and how he could possibly hit anybody in just that way, whereas the place that Cawald picked and the arrangement he mule, where the automobile was going downhill, away from him, directly, almost directly in his line of fire, made it so that between each operation of the bolt of the ricle the car moved very slightly and it was really not, not difficult at all. I went in looking very intently for any evidence, for example, of a transverse bullet wound -

- C. Which would come from another directions, from another person, really? -
- L. Yea; yea, and, ch, -
- C. Other than Osweld.

L. Right. Exactly. And I spent a great doal of time looking at this. I sent a great deal of time being my one devil's advocate, reging now suppose I was arguing on the other side of the coin, what could I claim? Or how could I refute these arguments? And after spending the untire day going over these trings with a fine-tookh coah, beckward and forward, and plaguing the poor men writter at the national archives to rerun and review and let me see it again and here's accepting I didn't register the first time, which they all did with great putlance, under, in a locked room and soforth, I could not rind anything wrong. And, Next amountment, "It's now 16 minutes before the hours"

to call this did interview with national and to describe him a morely "incrededle" if to felly for short of the reality, here is a can who has just seen that prize secret evidence, and no interest of the first of the short of the same of courts what there is a lire event belief, saying exactly the op of its of but he has always said on some things one, one to make that is not the cause for example, "we've all had a feeling of insecurity about whether the factor one is into use, telling the truth." This is dissourcedly op one to to poor him own written, which may that there is no question one he has none.

the saw and could see no track, do pito what he mays, there having be not chosection and a-rays not showing builtet assays through soft tisase.

The drawings are, to his incolledge, ireelevant. This is a cheapsante of ort to make some thing of hisself ason; his poers, naming what also is not the case, that the drawings are distor at that the testicony, who testicony, to his knowledge, is 100, identical with that he cape, an elecuhore I have him anying this in writing.

with routhene's question for eathiner's answer (second) relates to this "new evidence". that follows is gropaganda. It is the longest simple response containing nothing from what he and just seen and is irrelevant to what he had seen. It is, in fact, for the most part Talso, being saidly the repetition to a untionwide madience of the fletion he creat a in lds writings for total publications on specoles. The arribator that this pullet could hav hat "haybe yest Consuly" is in itself destruction of the credibility of the Apport, hein requires a special career for that bullet. Amont now of west so says coals have b and threshold by that markers is in that material, him the sullet, the phalin, the box, etc. Large his talk not used could have have sat on the box". The business of the ball of cotton isk propeld have be a to- much for a high-school fre han to tote, but the canded it. hy would a bulk t to ac planted not be fired until after the as adminition, or the operation have mai to be the work of the assaucin or may on be moon? As he unes "transverse" it come "accross". Bids in a fiction of hiscreation, that not being on an about objections wisca. meandale, he so me to still be tables about a single culies ame it is officially associate the three were stared. To now receives reducen a to the second, been a checkness, the car e of death. Now moont amother bulker fro, the back rather than side? or which galificates a abot from the should be docum't may and nothing in what he may docu-

The there or not he was his own devil's advocate -and he not only had no much intention, he was without the cambility - all of this paragraph is worse that false, it is a maing self-revelation, proving he has never done the most basic serious work. And he lies, "I spent the contain day his caphanic coin; over these things", he was there, according to the archivist, a total of four hours only. The "basicward and forward" and "revenue and re-view" and "let me see it a prin" and all the rest can refer only to what is not in the tracterial, a motion plature. - has that after all the rest can refer only to what is not in the tracterial, a motion plature. - has that after all the rest can refer only to what is not in the tracterial, a motion of seem the Magrador movie. This regne he was locking at it for the first time when he was supposed to have been locking at the suppressed evidence! and that out of the four hours in all that he was at the archives! He wonder he couldn't find anything wrong, He didn't and stall doesn't know anything:

All he has done here in reiterate his own earlier writing to protein it is validated by the contract exterials. It is not only not true; it is not possible. He has repeated his own version of his the Couris ion said and proteine it is what he had any been the first person without official consection to see.

wi such in the singmon.