Harold Weisberg Rt 12, Frederick, Md. 21701 8/21/75

Ms. Kay Wright, Director Audience Services CBS Broadcast Group 51 W. 52 St., New York, N.Y. 10019

Dear Ms. Wright,

Whether or not your latter dated the 19th is a form it makes me indignant.

It opens with an inaccuracy, that I wrote "concerning Daniel Schorr."

You then assure that "All of us are naturally interested in the reactions of our audience. Were appreciate your having taken the time to share your thoughts with us and hope you will understand that Daniel Schorr cannot reply personally because of a very heavy schedule of assignments at CBS Hews. However, be assured that your message was brought to his attention."

I'm reasonably certain I did not write a fan letter.

And I'm glad that Mr. Schorr has a "very heavy sechedule of assignments."

I regard him as one of the better reporters if also one who was recently conned and by permitting this without the chemicing possible deceived his large audience.

I know something about his facilities for checking because those who do this not too long ago asked me to make a trip to Washington (for which I was not paid and asked no pay) to give him evidence he was too incompetent to use (for which I also was not paid but he was degent enough later to confess his failing).

In the recent past a number of CBS employees, who are paid, have taken days of my time, for which I am not paid. Only yesterday I phoned one who was supposed to have made an appointment to come here this week to consult me without payment for my otherwise unpaid time and work. When I could not be free tomorrow and I had not heard from him I phoned him yrsterday, knowing he would not come unannounced today, to tell him I'd not be free tomorrow.

You do not in any way identify the letter to which you allegedly respond so I don't know what you are talking about. My certainty is that you are not talking about any letter I did write.

If this is the CBS attitude, there really is no point in Ernie Leiser's coming here. I'll not talk to him unless I get something I can consider a response to whatever letter you do not respond. I'll consider an invitation to indulge in sexual self-gratification more of a response than what yousent. I do not start work at 4 a.m. to work free for CBS and then get this kind of insulting non-response.

In time and in mynown writing I will get to whatever I did write about. If it is what I suspect it is, there is no honest treatment of it that hr. Schorr will have any reason to believe is one of his finer journalistic achievements.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

## CBS/BROADCAST GROUP

CBS Inc., 51 West 52 Street New York, New York 10019 (212) 765-4321

Kay Wight, Director Audience Services

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

August 19, 1975

I am replying to your letter concerning Daniel Schorr.

All of us at CBS are naturally interested in the reactions of our audience. We appreciate your having taken the time to share your thoughts with us and hope you will understand that Daniel cannot reply personally because of a very heavy schedule of assignments at CBS News. However, please be assured that your message was brought to his attention.

Cordially,

Mr. Harold Weisberg

Route 8

Frederick, Maryland 21701