Crs

4 -----

Uncomfortable doubts **Bullet proof?**

R. Phelan, Nov. 23) now conthat will not die" by James simply have the uncomfort-able feeling that we do not know the whole truth and urally grows. It is not a quesnot even mention), of the imfacts of the [Kennedy] case aware of the contradictory people. As we become more movement of the American stitute a sort of grassroots the critics [of the Warren sible polemics of the critics. tion of our being prey to the with the Warren Report natof the visual evidence of the by the official investigators, plausible conclusions drawn (many of which Phelan did Report] native interpretations, but Most of us cannot offer alter-Zapruder film, dissatisfaction absurd theories and irresponclusions that violate evidence, fact, and straining after conthat the Warren Commission "Presentation of theory as... an charges the critics [with] has been guilty of what Phe-It should be obvious that ("The assassination

logic and common sense." KRISTIN W. HENRY

&

udices and biases concerning tinuing series of deliberate cover-ups by Warren Comport are so obvious as to other Government sycophants is, namely, the latest whitequickly recognize James R make any reasonably intelthe Warren Commission Renews media. and some members of the mission defenders, apologists, wash attempt in a long-con-Phelan's article for what it igent and objective individual The New York Times's prej-

ginning, and his major purgations and conclusions of his article from the very bemade up about the major alledrawn by the Warren Comby many intelligent, sincere individuals about various asareas of quite reasonable does not discuss the many and castigate Warren Compose was to viciously attack tion and the subsequent invespects of the J.F.K. assassinadoubt that had been raised mission critics. His article with a series of statements that set forth conclusions tigation. Rather, Mr. Phelan had his mind it begins

NY THINKS MAGAZING Ann Arbor, Mich 1-4-76

> and they never dissected the they performed the autopsy, President's throat at the time ence of a bullet hole in the completely missed the pres-Kennedy testified that the two that "the three doctors who sions. For example, he states and accuracy of those concluto challenge the thoroughness mission without any attempt statements be afforded the bullet track through the Prespository." Those pathologists behind and above him-from shots that hit him came from performed the autopsy on medical conclusion? dignity of a final unassailable the direction of the Book Deident's body. How can their

> various materials, calls and I also sent him tures, but it ran out of space photographs with captions. Mr. Phelan in numerous phone suffered so little damage tenable. For the bullet to have single-bullet theory write: "Dr. Wecht emphasizes onstrates that the single-[see below] which clearly demarticle for all kinds of pic-The Times had room in its that point in arguing that the Phelan has the audacity to scientific farce. And yet Mr. bullet theory is a forensic when it came time to reprint improbable. But it is not imthe composite bullet photo I spent several hours with including is un-5



(3) one fired into an animal carcass to simulate Connally's chest Kennedy's upper back and throat and all of Governor Con-Comparisons: (1) Bullet which inflicted the wounds in President nally's wrist wound; (5) one recovered from Maj. Gen. Edwin wound; (4) one fired through a cadaver's wrist to simulate Connally's wounds; (2) two test bullets fired into cotton wadding; Carcano rifle. And the other two? his life. All test bullets were fired from Oswald's Mannlicher-Walker's Dallas residence after an April 1963 attempt on

2

course, that is why the Warpoint to your readers. would have demonstrated that caption data that I sent him findings depicted in this comthe composite photo with the possible." It is impossible, posite photo in 1964, and Mr. staff who were aware of the ren Commission members and Coroner, County of Allegheny this article, obviously had to search and investigation Phelan in his journalistic re-**James Phelan replies:** bullet theory. the credibility of the singleignore it in order to maintain CARL H. WECHT, M.D., J.D. Pittsburgh and for Q

a syndrome many of the Wared out that Jacob Cohen, examof my article but simply imdoes not fault the accuracy be part of a conspiracy. He ately held beliefs, one must not agree with their passioncame to an opposing concluining the same photographs, is widely known and quoted. tion of the single-bullet theory pugns my motives. His rejecren critics display; if one does convinced that either is neces sion. I am not irrevocably but summarized it and pointdid not "dismiss" his opinion Dr. Wecht's letter follows

sarily right 🗮