Esther Kartiganer did al me this monring to ask if I had changed my mind on appearing on the show. My keer to Rather had been forwarded to New York, she presumed, because he is now sed there.

She put it nagatively, esuming I had not changed my mind. "Carrect," I responded.

She interpreted your reconse as a threat to sue CBS only. I corrected her, saying that while I had not ad what you filled it is an "Opposition" in which you appose the CBS effort but as understood it your view is that CBS can go about this in a manner that confros counsel with a decision as to whether or not to sue.

She apologised for not wing copies of all the court papers. I said that I am prepared to keep my word and sad them to see if my judgement is correct without reading them I can have no bds.

She did not say that she ould have copies sent to me. She did say she d call me again in a couple of weeks

When she asked what is m I updated her on your situation, being careful to note that the need to respond to the CBS move caused you to get that much farthur behind.

It was not an unppeasant conversation.

However, I do believe the not sending me copies of the papers can be meaningful. If they want me to appeal and if I have declined on the basis that their action confronts me with a collict of interest because their move is prejudicial to Ray's interest, they much out to want me to read the papers if they do not have reason to believe that reading them will not persaude me to change my mind.

Another way of putting the is that they and do understand how I do find what they have filed to be prejudical, as a outlined to Eather earlier.

Still another way is that they agree.

Best.