

ML

Monday, Jan. 2, 1967
105 Wall St.
Rockville, Maryland

Mr. Harold Weisberg
Hyattstown, Md. 20734

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Enclosed is my check for \$10.10 which should cover cost of one copy of WW II, already mailed to me, and the cost of the third book when it is available. I chose to use my own order blank because I wanted to keep the blurbs on the yellow sheet.

Seems as though, in contrast to the old adage, you have become a prophet with honor in your own land, to judge from the recent SENTINEL. But the article was so procedural (and a bit trite, I might add, from the writing standpoint) it didn't get into the substantive matters, nor even categorize you as now the number one ~~main~~ critic of the report. Seems they could have stuck their neck out a little for someone in their own back yard, but I gather from past columns in the paper that they buy the WR, leak, steck, and sucker. but it was pleasant to see. But who needs them?

I saw WW II in the Discount Book store before the mailed copy arrived, and naturally I couldn't, like the book itself, "wait." So I have two, and will give the other ~~way~~ as a belated Christmas present. But I definitely will wait for the mailing on No. #3, since it will be more expensive in the stores, I take it, because of the photographic reproduction.

Just finished WW II at any rate, and thought it a marvelous job, though there seems to be some material that could have been left out without harming the thrust of the whole any. I have been looking in vain for reviews of it, in any papers or periodicals. Do they assume privately printed books do not exist, as you have said somewhere? Such a shame. I have a long review in conception, in which I would not having access to the files myself, try to pick out every flaw a layman reader would cavil at, then sweep them all aside and say -- in spite of all this, we cannot quarrel, etc. I'll send you a copy if I ever get ~~any~~ it written. I would gladly offer it to any publication in the country, in a shortened form.

The picture at the end is magnificent. It is the most convincing thing of all the ~~at~~ evidence. ~~that~~ you can see with your own two eyes!! Wouldn't it cause a sensation if millions of copies of this picture ~~could~~ could be printed up and distributed throughout the country? Add fuel to the fire for reopening the investigation.

next year, Congress should investigate, Baker, Powell, the WR, the CIA, the FBI and then turn on Johnson himself. What a pipe dream.

I have lots of other things but will save them for when I may get a chance to stop by, maybe in the spring. I know you will be very busy from now till publication of the WW III.

By the way, the I am no fan of Hoover, WW II sent me off to read a book I have long owned, but not gotten around to reading, my fellow Menmouth County^{ian} Fred Cook's book. It is certainly eye-opening. I think Hoover ought to be psychoanalyzed and the results released to an idolizing nation!

One burning question I have had is, what credence do you put in the prophecies of Joanne Lixen, especially with respect to the assassination? She sees the hand of Cubans or Castro in it, but definitely thinks Oswald did it, with a plot. Why do you try to prove Oswald innocent rather than just that the Commission criminally neglected to seek out a plot he may have been connected with. Or is it all part of the same thing?

Glad to be relieved that the three volumes by LeBeuf do not exist, even the a check with information disclosed there is a Randal Le Beuf living in Long Island!

I am surprised at Ramparts for taking such a speefing tone with something so serious, instead of the tone you take.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cossaboom
Bruce Cossaboom