## 11/1/69

Dear Jay.

Many thanks for yout thoughtfulness in sending me a copy of the Butler swill. I had heard of it and have asked friends in California to learn for me whether it is actionable under California law. This is the grossest and most deliberate misrepresentation, in which Butler has become professionally competent. He is a reforming extremist, male-model-turned-Bircher, who abandoned that fringe when he realized there was no money there. He is firmly latched to the radical-right test and has milked the assassination as no one else has been able to, as I will sometimes tell you if you are interested. He is, in fact, part of the story, for in his lack of scruple and commercially-motivated self-aggrandizement he was the indespensible ing redient in giving Uswald the false complection of a "red".

I hadn't been to bed the morning I taped the Tyne Show with him, having done an all-night call-in show, beginning after a lengthy interview. I have nower been given the promised unedited and edited tapes, but I did have someone with me. he freely admitted he was lying, completely without emberrassment. He was no more troubled when forced to adknowledge he was manufacturing. He is that kind of man. What he compleins he couldn't question me about is fiction, about which it is not easy to question. The firing to which he fefers (blackmailed out of Marshall by hill anti-Semites, as one of your predecessors knew ac well and tried, unsuccessfully to do something about) was part of a pogrom. As I recall it, the evil genius was an antiCemite named Barger. This misuse of the "bought forged letters" bit is more grevous, for when he pulled that one he was clobbered with what really happened, that Martin Dies had paid for the forgeries and the forger had gotten two years, whereas the grand jury, despit considerable pressure, refused to indict me, especially since I had committed no crime.

Butler is a man of genuine, dedicated evil, as unprincipled as possible, pretending the loftiest belief, with no scruple to impede his milking of the frightened moneyed. Your presumption is correct. Frawley is his engel in LA, as Ochsner is in New Orleans. He promotes and provokes trouble, has written a book, in fact, saying tais is his "profession". He is active in YAF and is organizing rightwingers on the compuse to oppose those he considers left, which is almost everyone else. There seems to have bit a split within this minute fragment, between those opposed to any violence and those who apparently realize that small as they are they can attract no a ttention any other way. He has given them their name, "squares". If you see anything also about him, whether or not it refers to me, I'd appreciate a copy, because saide from the fact that he is a fascist, enough to interest me, he assumes more and more possible significance in one as act of the work I'm doing. Meanwhile, if there is libel here and I can get a lawyer, I'll be happy to include the man in ultimate responsibility, Frawley, who has paid for too much of this hate preachment already.

I know Fensterwald. He is sincere and I believe engaged in a futility. I would not join his group because of some of his directors, with whom I will have no conscious association. However, I like him personally. He was formerly counsel for Senator (Missouri) Long's subcommittee that investigated wiretapping and produced the Freedom of Information Act. If there is anything else you'd like to knows ask.

When you are again in touch with the Balt, wounty men, please see if you can get my tape back and anything they may have learned of the voice. Thanks and best,