

4/18/67

Dear Paul,

Today is the last of Bill's employment, so from now on by day will be less broken up, more conducive to continuous work, as in writing, where I subordinate the priorities of my work. I have pending, also on bits of time today in trying to integrate some of the unclassified files into the primitive classification - improve as I go, with carrying on such. Imagine early this a.m. I went over the file of correspondents with you who received your orders, etc, and filed them by subject. I will refer to some below. If I fail to mention any, I hope you can let me know that you will send me a copy of it, or them.

It is agreed already that we had an integrated Bill's system - will take time, for the purposes of the job. I have a list of names of people who were in the area on various dates, etc. I am going to see if I can find out if any of these were active. I had often noticed of this or that in the file.

For example, I brief Robert on Gaudet: I had seen some and noted that Gaudet was the source on the Ruby jewelry purchase from Harry Horowitz. You have or were on my interview with Horowitz. Then months ago Carl and I had both asked me to see Horowitz, first a request to get all, but I couldn't get anything from him, you asked me what I didn't. I finally got it by accident. I wish I had been able to see him alone. However, including Horowitz, was a separate one. See it was a friend of Horowitz's, who I know fairly well and he had been, etc. since for this reason. His name is Jack Horowitz.

Digression: Horowitz was a close Trotsky's neighbor and possibly he is still in the city. I say so, as indications are as to this fact.

For the last words, a former day I sign either you are to be friendly, I learn that Gaudet has seemed to be a "softener", who failed his previous test so far. He apparently was able to get support (including financial) from Latin American governments and other sources enabling him to survive previous financial crises. I had not known of the production of Horowitz's cars (Times - January 8/26/66) from that point) but there are two similarly-named Gaudets in U.S. and when you see the complete version of G.M. you'll see the address of the right one. I have asked some of his. There is also a second but location with the same name as his (source ibid), the second of the Citizens' Committee for Free Cuba and Bethel, editor. Formerly U.S. Embassy lawyer. Further digression: some source says Nixon's present and good friend Herb Cohen (sp. name) is a Cuban who fled USSR with family from Moscow, is pro-Trotsky Trotskyist. Cohen lived with him briefly on return to U.S. Nixon was good connections, especially if he consults on policy!

In one of your unnumbered notes you refer to one wearing spectacles, from "Raymond" Cohen's book (or so it is) - Cohen is probably correct, as one of interest. He gave the wrong name when captured fearing the consequences of his past of his connections. The source, who was with him. He is still of interest, some reason.

Your 3/7/67 note on literature distribution: per C., there is another alternative, that it was physically impossible, as if the informant were in a car, out of bus. I seem to recall one report is going on informant was in a bus or car. The trolley could be quite limiting, since there is but one in U.S. today, the t. Charles.

if this conjecture is correct, I suggest the fact that nothing to Richard's other than he imparted to E.

10/22/37, Father: May - have the surviving letter that little of his may have had any more significance. If you can spare me two copies of the partial transcript, I'd like to file one with Boggs, one with Schaner. Do you have anything "Special Books"? If you can send me these, two, could you please write these two names on the back, for by the time you have time, - you have forgotten my name.

2/23/37, Wendell - all foundation. Everything you say here is correct. However, there are some of the studies as there were some personal dislikes and antagonisms. John, for example, was known and was (and perhaps) was never less than friendly and the various seems to be the case. There was pressure against former - I'm in the, I'm all. Was and is seems (inter) estate only.

7/16/38, Father: I'm sorry. Do you see the 23 article saying there was some kind of thing? I'm sorry I'm not a successful representation of what I'm, I'm sorry I'm not a successful representation of the article, please.

Richard's subject, Richard is a very excellent teacher on the rifle and ballistics. He was still a very good, I believe. My own rifle still does not have the right counter balance, especially, it required a special screws for the counter screws and the counter screws were determined to make it exactly the same he was reluctant to use different screws with different threads!

Sincerely,

Wiborn - interested in your participation in the (1937-1938). Within the last 10 years, Richard's work was not very interesting, I have a hard time to spend the requisite time on it. . . . but I am sure you were the way of one of the parties and the other's case. He was not very good, and if we were far from his case. I'm really looked out to see the case of that one. So, they have been since then, it, Fred. I was not there when he arrived.