your 1 tter of letter ot th: 20 th , could not be vetter. Thad cose $t$ the ond of a chapter in editing sow old work, had worked cleaning up our road front for winter until windod, then got the rail as the rural carrier cane, and yours is the only loter requiring answer, so befor returning to work I'll answer it in haste.

Aran ly, ind forgotion your offer of the use of your Long beach home. because at some point I'd like to resuce my 1.0 . investications, I wonder if Interitate 10 has been completed to tiw point where the travel-tinc is not now too ureat? Or if it is secure enoush shoula I be able to accept your offer, for longer, weekend-lone, perhaps, interviews? Should it be possible, there are sone I'd like to be able to conduct in this dapthe Possibility also includes my havis nougl time, should I go there and should I we abl to et a car, which I'd not be able to rent. Last tiae dia it dom thre ad for only two days and a 200 mile trip, it cost me over $\mathbf{8} 50$.
 and handlo and pay postage and inouranc for only 50 adied.

You ary have an important point in ichtt intler's havin. made a separate tape. I think I heard of this betore, posuibly from you. You are correct in all details in your appraibal or butler, but you nay underestimate a bit. I have no proof, no more than a suapicion, but i think he also finked for the State Unamerican conitee in racial matiers. I have met sow luitminger who say he hung out with them rpior to his right-wing public identification, prior to Licn days. Which really neans just beior Uswald's reappearance, I'd osti:ate. They never kept their promise to scarch than recol ections and fil ac in.

There could be legitinate reasons ior havine Rehtt alake a separate tape, one ocing editinc and another in gart what you suspect and in part a continuine dialogue after the tapine of the show. However, whatever the reason, your hunch is worth fol owing and could produce worthuhile information, the one question, aside from rieir wilingness to tell you, bein; their willincness or erhaps ability to tell the truth. In the one confrontation I had with him, I did get Butler to adrist he is a liar. I would add nonstop liar. we makes thing: up as he soes as they sem likely to be what ne'a line to be true.

L've forgotten which of the recoris I have. I believ it is the I. Wh one. I also have, I believe, the unedited tape of the show. I' a probably hav trouwl finding it now. and recall and $t$ ink $i$ discussed in $U$ is in the has le over the pustmassassination debates record and a suit over one.

Is it posible he and Uswala talke vefore the show: s'robable, the question being the loneth os tine. vot unusual at all. nost people do not get to stations at the Last minute. I do not recall anyone considering the possibilities that thett's tape might hoid. but with uutler not having ased it, i'd suspect that cither it is, as he sees 1 t , of no value, or, i he just forgot an they listen who you ak, they'li not aiv and may nil uee themselve - I'd not worry about the latier posibility were I you. I'd try for it.

I'd seen the Logss quote buore. Bthers hau the Cowismion idea, jncluaine a DC radio station, which aired it. It was one of the ouvious alt matives. fortas seems to have hanclec this for ible.
 is no nore dupendabie on politicel fareers than butler. foweve there is no doubt about three thince: Butier did ap ear berore Dod's Con ittee, it has not publiched (an will not
 likely possibilities is that it is too terrible, for futler knew nothine, and wat he wisht have inagined wi, ht have terrificd everyone. In writing a blurb, aside frow his political bias, עodi could just have asue an honest aistike. But it is interesting that B was remindel' of Van Der Lubie, which I'G forgot ten.
rour inerpretation of the neichstanfire afiair is essentially correct, but it wa: not art of an anti-lifier plot.
$1 f 1$ do not have the learlet in wich sutlex clains to have oen called vesore the darren vomassion, l'd aprociate a copy. I'd then ab for the raports or transcriot, which I trink wollu show he lier, not existing he trip was be ore the wo was apointed, unless
 I have seen nothine to incicate they got wy tape from hin. I recali amporo nodriguez
 fron .DiJ, as soon as it was aired if not af er taping.

It is possible to take jacketblumos too literally. fhey are ficquontiy ane up by artists who mow little about the content. jence there is the possibility that rei. to
 But I doubt ver, much if sutler aid hot arrange this trip. I think it wes his ticket to what for hin is fane and he usod it ovory way he could.

 what to tim cost ani bil. you for an rest. I see no powibility man could be luse truthful that $3 u t i e r$. I think thi b eter than uritine to hin. If she is umproductive, you micht, then take it up with hime $\alpha$, to answ $x$ you question, you are not oli the track and
 about anythine bet me evaluate any reeponse for you. for do 1 know of an proof that he and hio iet on any other occasion. It is not joposible, but i heve no reason t spspect it. ...llow do you know fil got Cla 30 ?...In those days, nost Liva ma fron Ocisner... Butler should have nown about the calips bofore the $7 / 31 / 63$ raid because they had beon reorped, includine by stuakey...I do not olieve "thet suthor krew about andor supported the plans for the assassination".

There are possibilities in folowing up leads on iutler thit, in every int rest, I should not alort you to. I don't want you to be in the position of colne out and looking for tinner to est blish my conc pt on a posibility ais is not the way to investigete at this juseture. it rould bo nuch botwes if what + believe posible cane out
 can be the lact. It noula not be hurtful to butler vere it true.

Guess that's all lor now. suain thams anc sood luck.

SEPTEMBER 20,1971
dear Hal,
SORRY IT HAS BEEN SO LONG SINCE YOUR LETTER. I WAS GOING TO WRITE YOU ABOUT MY WORK IN NEW ORLEANS RE THE RAY CASE, BUT 1 WANTED TO WAIT UNTIL MY COPY OF FRAME UP ARRIVED TO SEE IF YOU ALREADY HAVE WHAT I GOT OR TRIED TO GET. I DON'T KNOW WHY ITS TAKEN SO LONG, BUT IT SHOULD GET HERE SHORTLY. In the meantime, / have run across AN ITEM IN RE-READING MY FILE ON INCA + BUTLER, WHICH YOU MAY NOT HAVE. I'VE NEVER SEEN IT ANYWHERE AND 1 DON'T THINK ANYONE IN NEW ORLEANS EVER CAUGHT THIS AS FAR AS I KNOW. IF YOU'LL RE-READ THE NEW ORLEANS MAGAZINE ON BUTLER I SENT YOU (I'LL TRY TO SEND EXTRA COPIES IF I CAN--TO MAKE IT EASIER), YOU'LC NOTICE BUTLER SAYS HE TOOK HIS BROTHER RHETT AND A TAPE RECORDER TO THE RADIO STUDIOS TO DEBATE OSWALD. THIS IS THE ONLY PLACE I'VE EVER SEEN ANY MENTION OF RHETT BUTLER OR A TAPE RECORDER. IT IS NOT IN STUCKEY's TESTIMONY, OR BRINGUIER'S TESTIMONY, BOOK, OR LITERATURE. NOW THE COMMERCIAL LP RECORD ALBUM DISTRIBUTED BY INCA ("OSWALD: SELF-PORTRAIT IN RED"), OF THE de bate, indicates on the jacket that it is a REPRODUCTION OF WDSU's TAPE. SO-- WHAT WAS RHETT BUTLER DOING THERE AND WHAT IS ON HIS TAPE? INTERESTING QUESTION, ISN'T IT? ED BUTLER HAS TAPED INTERVIEWS WITH HUNDREDS OF REFUGEES FROM SOVIET-BLOC AND LATIN

DICTATORSHIP COUNTRIES. ALSO, HE HAS DEBATED DOZENS OF RADICAL REVOLUTIONARY SPOKESMEN FOR FASCIST-SPONSORED OR COMMUNIST-FRONT CAUSES. AND HE USUALLY CONFRONTS HIS ADVERSARY BEFOREHAND, A SHARP TACTIC WHICH TAKES THE WIND OUT OF THEIR SAILS. IS IT POSSIBLE HE DID THAT WITH OSWALD? IS THAT WHAT IS ON RHETT'S TAPE? DO YOU KNOW OR HAVE YOU EVER CONSIDERED THIS BEFORE OR KNOW OF ANYONE WHO HAS??

IT GETS WORSE. ON THE ALBUM ITSELF, CONGRESSMAN HALE BOGUS SAYS "JUST A FEW HOURS AFTER PRESIDENT KENNEDY'S DEATH, $/$ SAT IN MY OFFICE IN THE CAPITOL WITH ED BUTLER AND LISTENED TO THIS RECORDING..." (EMPHASIS MINE). BOGUS SAYS THEY DISCUSSED A BI-PARTISAN PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION (NO DOUBT IT WAS BUTLER'S WISE IDEA!), AND IN N.O. MAG BUTLER SAYS BUGS INVITED HIM TO SERVE ON THE COMMISSION, BUT SINCE BUTLER ISN'T A LAWYER, THE MATTER WAS DROPPED. (THANK HEAVEN! THINK HOW MUCH WORSE IT MIGHT BE!) ALSO,

BUGS AND PRES. JOHNSON WERE VERY CLOSE FRIENDS $~$ BOGUS MAY IN TURN HAVE SUGGESTED THE IDEA TO LBJ.

THEN, ON INCA'S and ALBUM, "OSWALD SPEAKS", THE LATE ERSTWHILE SEN. THOMAS 4 . DID SAYS BUTLER PLAYED THE TAPE FOR HIM, "JUST 24 HOURS AFTER THE ASSASSINATION... AT A TIME WHEN OSWALD WAS STILL ALIVE." (EMPH. MINE) BUT IN N.O. MAG. BUTLER HIMSELF SAYS

THAT ON THE AIRPORT BUS TO WASHINGTON HE HEARD THAT RUBY HAD KILLED OSWALD. THAT CERTAINLY CONTRADICTS TWO HIGHLY HONEST AND TRUTHFUL MEMBERS OF CONGRESS (JACK ANDERSON NOTWITHSTANDING). INCIDENTALLY, - BURER SAN IT REMINDED HIM OF THE VAN DE LUBE CASE. MY READING OF HISTORY IS THAT VAN DE LUBE WAS AN INNOCENT COMMUNIST WHO STOOD TRIAL PUBLICLY FOR BURNING THE REICHSTAG, WHILE IT IS PRETTY CLEAR THAT HIRERS OWN PEOPLE WERE RESPONSIBLE (EITHER AT HIS DIRECTION OR TO OVERTHROW HIM). WHY IN THE WORLD WOULD THE CASE OF A COMMUNIST SCAPEGOAT WHO TOOK A BUM RAP FOR NAZIS - OCCUR TO BUTLER? (UNLESS HE KNEW MORE THAN WE THINK HE DID!)

FINALLY, ONE LEAFLET INCA SENT ME CONTAINS THE STATEMENT: "BUTLER WAS CALLED TO WASHINGTON WITH THE TAPE [RHETI'S OR WDSU'S?] TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE WARREN COMMISSION. THIS IS IN ONE OR TWO PAMPHLETS OF INCA's. THE TAPE AND HIS TESTIMONY ARE CERTAINLY NOT REFERRED TO IN THE Z $V$ VOLS. IN YOUR RESEARCH IN THE ARCHWES, HAVE YOU COME ACROSS ANYTHING WHICH INDICATED AN APPEARANCE OR TESTIMONY BY BUTLER WITH A TAPE?

THE MORE YOU FOLLOW THIS LINE, THE MORE INTRIGUING IT BECOMES. ND. MAG SAYS HE WAS CALLED NOT BEFORE THE WARREN COMMISSION, BUT RATHER THE SENATE INTERNAL SECURITY COMMIIIEEE. AND ON THE "SELF PORTRAIT" LP, NARRATOR MARSHALL PIERCE SAYS IT WAS THE HOUSE FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITTEE. IN VICTORY,

IT SAYS "MR. BUTLER WAS CALLED TO WASHINGTON LESS THAN 48 HOURS AFTER THE CRIME TO CONFER WITH TOP OFFICIALS ON THE CASE, SINCE HE WAS THE ONLY PROPAGANDA SPECIALIST EVER TO INTERVIEW OSWALD." (EMP. ADDED)

NOTICE IT SAYS INTERVIEW, NOT
DEBATE? AND WHAT'TOP OFFICIALS" WERE" ON THE CASE...LESS THAN 48 HOVITS AFTER THE CRIME"? IN ANY CASE, IT ALWAYS SAYS "CALLED" (THE ONLY CONSISTENCY), SO THAT THE TRIP WAS EVIDENTLY NOT OF HIS OWN MOTIVATION OR VOLITION.
at This point, I have two POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVES:
(1.) I COULD WRITE TO MRS. BUTLER AT SQUARE HDQRS. IN LOS ANGELES, AND ASK HER WHAT WAS ( HOPEFULLY IS) ON RHETT'S TAPE + IF 1 COULD HAVE A COPY, AND ALSO COPIES OF ED'S TESTIMONY BEFORE THE WARREN COMMISSION, THE SENATE INTERNAL SECURITY COMMITTEE + HOUSE FOREIGN AFFAIRS COMMITRE. THERE ARE TWO ADVANTAGES I SEE TO THIS:
(A.) / HAVE, AS YOU KNOW, PREVIOUSLY CORRESPONDED WITH HER, VERY SUCCESSFULLY. SHE SENT ME ALL that stuff I gave you copies of. I COULD REMIND HER THAT SHE DIDN'T SEND ME A \#3-REFUND SHE PROMISED FOR MY SUBSCIRIPTION TO SQUARE (NOW DEFUNCT), NOR A MEMBERSHIP CARD IN INCA (FOR WHUCH I SENT \#10). AC SO, SHE'S

PROBABLY NOT AS BUSY AS HER HUSBAND AND COULD AFFORD THE TIME TO ANSWER KNOWLEDGEABLY + TRUTHFULLY. ALSO, SHE MAY BE A LITTLE MORE INNOCENT THAN ED ABOUT OSWALD.
(B.) I COULD WRITE TO BUTLER DIRECTLY. IT WOULD NOT BE AS DIFFICULT AS WITH BRINGUIER, FOR ME TO BE COURTEOUS AND DEFERENTIAL. AM mint ALTHOUG IT MIGHT SEEM UNFAIR TO PRESS HIM FOR DETAILS OF THINGS OVER EIGHT YEARS OLD, HE IS DEFINITELY IN A POSTITON 70 WANT TO PRESERVE HIS INTEGRITY, AS HIS ENTIRE REPUTATION AND SUBSEQUENT CAREGIZ WERE BUILT ON HIS DEBATE WITH OSWALD. I WOULD EXPECT A COURTEOUS + PERHAPS ENLIGHTENING REPLY, I'M SURE.
IF YOU FAVOR EITHER OF THE ABOVE, I MUST FIRST ASK YOU:
(1.) AM I TOTALLY OFF THE TRACK HERE? DID YOU OR ANYONE IN NEW ORLEANS EVER LOOK INTO THIS OR CONSIDER RHET BUTLER'S TAPE?
(2.) BUTLER IS OPPORTUNISTIC AND A PROPAGANDIST, BUT IS HE A LIAR? COULD I GET AN HONEST ANSWER OUT OF HIM? OR WOULD YOU RATHER I NOT TIP HIM OFF IN CASE WE MIGHT BE ON TO SOMETHING HERE?
(3) BUTLER SWEARS UP AND DOWN THAT HE ONLY MET OSWALD AT THE STUDIOS BEFORE THE DEBATE, AND NOT BEFORE NOR SINCE. THEIR ONLY CONTACT HE SAYS WAS A HANDSHAKE - (OSWALD'S HAND WAS CLAMMY), AND WHEN BUTLER MENTIONED TO STUCKEY A "PROGRESSIVE" BUSINESSMAN'S ADVERTISING, OSWALD SMIRKED DISGUSTEDLY FOR HIM USING A REVOLUTIONARY TERM IN A CAPITALISTIC CONTEXT. AFTER THE DEBATE, OSWALD ASKED BUTLER HIS NAME ${ }^{\circ}$ HIS ORGANIZATION. (HE WROTE "JOHN S. BUTLER', INEORRECTY). AND BUTLER SAYS THAT IS ALL THEY EVER SAW OF EACH OTHER.

IS THIS TRUE?ORID BUTLER AND OSWALD MEET ON OTHER OCCASIONS?
(4) \& BUTLER ALSO INSISTS INCA LAS NEVER GOTTEN GOV'T FUNDS, ALTHOUGH SUCH PEOPLE AS MANUEL, GIL DOUBLE-DIPPED ON INCA'S AND THE C.IA.'S PAYROLL. ALSO, RADIO FREE EUROPE ADMITTED RECENTLY IT LAS GOTTEN COVERT FUNDS FROM THE C.I.A.D IN OSWALD IN NO., YOU SAID YOU DIDN'T KNOW, BUT DO YOU NOW, WHETHER INCA ALSO GETS SOME COVERT FUNDING? (IT HAS NEARLY GONE UNDER SEVERAL TIMES IN SPITE OF RICH RIGHTIST BACKERS LIKE PATRICK FRAWLEY. LORD KNOWS WHAT SAVED IT .- IF OSWALD WAS C.I.A., THEN YOU MIGHT SAY IT DID GET GOV'T HELP ONCE!!)
(5) DO YOU THINK BUTLER KNEW ABOUT THE TRAINING CAMPS?
(6.) DO YOU BELIEVE (OR KNOW) THAT BUTLER KNEW ABOUT AND/OR SUPPORTED

THE PLANS FOR THE ASSASSINATION OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY?

I LOOK FORWARD TO SEEING YOU AGAIN (SOON, I HOPE), TO READING FRAME-UP, AND TO HEARING FROM YOU. ALTHOUGH THIS LETTER IS A SHADE LONG, PLEASE DON'T FEEL COMPELLED TO TAKE TOO MUCH TM ME AND TROUBLE AWAY FROM YOUR OWN GREAT WORK. ENVELOPE IS ENCLOSED + PLEASE NOTE THAT ADDRESS IS STILL UNIV. OF SOUTHERN MISS. THAT invitation to my home in long beach, Miss., WHERE I LIVE BY MYSELF, IS A STANDING ONE, SO WE WILL JUST HOPE. UNFORTUNATELY, MY PLANS TO RETURN TO WASHINGTON HAVE BEEN RUINED AGAIN AND AGAIN, BUT I IL WRITE. AND ONCE MORE, IF THERE'S SOME WAY I CAN BE SHARING THE BURDEN, I WOULD BE DELIGHTED. UNTIL WE CAN GET TOGETHER SOME HOW, PLEASE TAKE GOOD CARE


