## $2 / 9 / 70$

Dear Dick,
Your letter to Howerd and note to me of the 4 th errived todey.
hs the enclosures reflect, I enticieted your busgness. I had alresdy asked whether your Ifnences, interests and other comitments would permit your coming tere during your spring break. If you know for sure you wili rot, lat the rnow, for I intend putting on end to the 308 question, es I cen with pictures. I intend doing two things if, witil no further promptine from me, I do not get whet I esk: I will go there end supurvise the taking of new pictures for me and I will elso take my own (and very good) Foleroid, with closeup lenses, and get them to take additional ones fith that. If I take a roll of piz of various sngles, fith different lighting conditions, thet ebould do it.

One thing in the Howerd letter interests me very much. he bas not mentioned it to ise. Under N.O. testimoray you say, "I do not intenu to go for Iftion's offer, for the cost is too much..." To whet does this refer? As I shoula anve told you, I went to know everythine otout what fifton is up to. Gery has indidetion he is actually making money on suprlyine coples of wiat he gete fres ond of other perople'g meterials.

I slen told niomerd not to fret sbout the 398 flake, telling him at the seme tine to learn from the experience.

While ${ }^{+}$heven't your trnowleige of the behavior of bullets of verious sorts, I heve tried to learn, and wat you toli Howord, owcept for the newer weapone en learn fron you, not me, vecsuse i could be wrong. There shoula be no dustlike particles from a inlitary load of the kind alleged, not, certsinly, in thet number - else why the convention?

I seksd the hrehives for $8 \times 10$ Elossys of the pleture Curry used (p.88) ond another, so, neturaliy, I got xeroxes todey! No pix. But I sm satisise d thet even if there is resson to Tonder about somex of the Tipoit work, Paul ond Hosrd are right about that being a button.
$\mathrm{I}_{0}$ word from ${ }^{\text {John }}$ yet.

