By Morton Mintz

Staff Reporter The Supreme Court has obtained, police obtained a there was a world of differ-indicated, in a case from gambling conviction. The ence. In Jacksonville, it con-Florida, a further restriction Supreme Court set it aside tended, the apartment had on electronic eavesdropping The Court ruled that been neither invaded or pene-The Florida case began six tronic eavesdropping is in-tilating shaft was wholly inyears ago this month when special agents of the State an unauthorized physical in- many apartments. The State Sheriff's Bureau went to an apartment house in Jackson-apartment house in Jacksonville.

in apartment 607 two men no meaningful legal distinc-

went to the apartment ventilating shaft in Jackson ing the restrictions on elecdirectly above that of the ville. suspects.

There they removed a grill from an airshaft and lowered a microphone, suspending it opposite the grill in 607.

Upstairs, the hidden police used electronic equipment to overhear conversations between William H. Cross and Floyd L. Cullins.

With the evidence thus ob-tained the officers got a warrant, entered the suspects' apartment, searched it, arrested the pair and obtained lottery convictions.

Unable to overturn the convictions in the state courts, Cross and Cullins turned to the Federal Courts. Last February, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit held that the prohibitions of the Fourth Amendment to Federal Constitution the against unreasonable searches invalidated the electronically obtained evidence as a basis for getting the warrant.

The Appellate Court re manded the case to the District Court. In the meantime, the two men became legal paupers.

The State of Florida asked the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. Involved in its request was a decision handed down by the Supreme Court three years ago in the case of Julius Silverman.

Silverman lived in a row house here. Police went to the adjoining house and inserted a foot-long spike into the common wall, making it contact a heating duct in dwelling. At-Silverman's tached to the spike were a microphone, an amplifier, a

.power pack and earphones. circumstances such as to vio- property of the tenants, but

The officers believed that The Court of Appeals saw fire escape.

In its brief to the Supreme | With the evidence thus Court, Florida argued that late the Fourth Amendment. was used in common, like a

On Oct. 12, without comwere carrying on a gambling tion between the spike-mike ment, as is customary, the operation. With the consent of the Washington and the micro grant review. The effect was building manager, the agents phone dangling freely in the to let stand a ruling tightentronic snooping.

> Thursday, Oct. 22, 1964 THE WASHINGTON POST A 42

Electronic Eavesdropping Receives New Setback From Supreme Court