Attorney Charges U.S. Patent Office Intercepted and Answered His Mail

By Stuart Auerbach 3/30/66 Washington Post Staff Writer

An attorney complained to a Senate subcommittee yesterday that his client's mail had been interceptedand even answered-by the U.S. Patent Office.

Louis Grossman, the attor.* ney, backed up his charges by presenting to the Senate Jucedure copies of answers the Patent Office sent to persons who had written his client.

He called the "mail tamper-Bar with the Assistance of the U.S. Patent Office to do away with people who help inventors." At which point the committee chairman, Sen. Edward V. Long (D-Mo.) comented, "They seem to operate a closed shop over there."

Later he promised a close look at Patent Office procedures.

A representative of the hearing, Solicitor Joseph

ŝ,

Schimmel, refused comment diciary subcommittee on ad- when invited to make a stateministrative practice and pro- ment. He did say he, would answer any questions put to him by the committee, but none was,

Later, he refused to coming . . . a scheme by the Patent ment to a reporter when asked about Grossman's charge.

Grossman said his client, H. Lawrence Blasius, operates the Washington Patent Office Search Bureau at 711 14th st. nw. and serves as an adviser to inventors seeking patents. He was a registered patent agent for ten years, but lost his registration when he con-

Patent Office present at the tinued advertising in spite of See PATENT, A7, Col. 1

mail on the fact that the

Patent Office maintained an

the Patent Office rented space

"They were lying to you?" interjected Sen, Long. "That's right," said Gross-

office, 1426 G st. nw.

to its present address.

at 1406 G st. nw.

Exhibits Letter

man said.



United Press International LOUIS GROSSMAN ... charges letter-tampering

new regulations prohibiting man. "It was a deliberate registered agents from plac-falsehood." ing ads.

Pulling letters from a well-"Much of our client's mail stocked briefcase, Grossman is being deliberately missent exhibited one sent to a Michito the United States Patent gan man who wished to use Office before being delivered Blasius's firm. It came from to its (his client's) office, even a member of the Patent Office though the attention of the staff identified as S. William postal authorities has been Cochran, a law examiner. brought to this fact," Gross-

In it, the Patent Office returned a check meant for Post Office officials, he Blasius and wrote the Michiadded, blamed the missent gan man:

"You would be well advised "overflow office" in the same to obtain a registered patent building as Blasius's former attorney or agent."

The letter also included a A check showed this to be list of patent attorneys or false, Grossman said. He said agents in his state.

Grossman said he com-plained to C. W. Moore, who I has since retired as solicitor t of the aPtent Office. Moore, Besides, he added, letters to Blasius's firm continued to end up at the Patent Office even after the business moved dot what it had again if needed

'Similarity' Blamed

Grossman then pulled out letters received during corres-K pondance with J. Herbert Hollomon, assistant secretary of

commerce.

In the first letter Grossman read to the committee, Hollomon blamed the similarity in names and addresses between the Patent Office and Blasius's firm for the missent mail. Once in the Patent Office, Hollomon continued, it is hard to stop the letters from being opened.

But he assured Grossman that no more letters meant for Blasius's company would be answered by Patent Office officials.

Then Grossman wrote back and asked if Moore would be punished for his past activities.

Hollomon answered that no disciplinary action would be taken because of Moore's 40 years of service to the Patent Office.

Events Took Place in 1964

"This hardly seems enough justification to warrant and condone such outrageous conduct," said Grossman.

"That's typical of the brotherhood that exists among certain bureaucracies," answered Long.

Grossman said this "mail tampering" took place in 1964, while the U.S. attorney for the Southern District of New York was investigating Blasius for a possible mail fraud indictment.

This never was brought, but Blasius told a reporter that an information is pending in the Federal court charging him with unauthorized practice as a registered patent agent.