

See FBI-Kennedy 12/7/76 releases, critics

Dear Paul,

1/10/76

Your 52-86 of 18 copies of FBI 12/7/76 releases.

First, I've just heard from Jim that the second batch, today's, is of 18 cartons each two feet long.

53, Serial 62-2606, says see note page 3. Only 2 pages sent. Jim and I are still in court on Tise's pictures in KKK case. Can use anything on Tise.

54- 62-109990 unrecorded 3.8.64: Can you read all of Hoover's note? I think I can take out Guttman, who then was in Justice, I think press office, now L.A. Times. They not only have Buchanan listed as Internal Security-C, but the record was also routed to SUBV. CONTROL.

58-serial 2632- note they were careful to omit how long it took to reassemble the rifle.

Note your notations of their files seems to be wrong. You omit part of the file number. You have this as 62-2632. There is another part of the main file number after 62, like 109990 or 109990, the the number 2632, which is the serial within each file.

59- can you read all of Hoover's note at the end?

69 - on such a Zapruder film. Naturally there was no point to the FBI in using the 2 film as a time clock and they saw no point in the Commission's wanting to know where the car was with each shot.

70 when this matter is on the Director's "Special List." I do not recall ever seeing this before. It could be important in FOIA work if you see any more, to establish places not searched.

71-Can you take out Hoover's note?

76 is quite important to us in the spectro case in any way. I have already discussed this with Jim. It says there are more pages but you sent the first only. This says there was a resubmission to the Lab and gives some results. Have you any knowledge of any such lab reports or any prior knowledge of any resubmission? Is it the shell that had been only in this rifle? All had been chambered before. It is in 76, I think but I am not taking time to check now.

It is my belief, which may be wrong, that some of this material should have been provided in response to the original requests, other under discovery. I have no clear recollection of what we asked for under discovery now. Probably Jim does.

Thanks and best wishes.