

1/22/67

Dear Mrs. Brussell,

Your letter is a good one. I took a different approach, including a couple of challenges in it, like debating Liebeler in front of their student body, called him the fox who got himself hired to guard the chicken house, and asked how much more we can expect impartiality from him now than when we paid him for just that.

If you come across anything on Masferrer, I'd appreciate it. I think he may yet become an important figure in all of this. It is strange the trouble the government went to to permit him to escape, how little interested they were in capturing him when the press had free access to him.

The fact you've had no answer to your letter is perhaps the best measure of its effectiveness. The answer I got was mixed evasion and nonsequatur, and my reply to it is unanswered.

Good luck on Marina. I agree with your description of her as a person~~x~~ but not of her function.

If your files go back to 1964 and you have the New York Times story on the leaked interpretation of the FBI's Reports, especially on the President's wounds, appearing on page 56 of the issue of 1/26/64, I'd appreciate a copy. I loaned this and several other clippings and they have not been returned.

Too many letters that require and warrant answer and too many public appearances that cannot be turned down because they offer the opportunity to inform so many people have put me far behind schedule on WHITEWASH III and a critique of Manchester's work I've agreed to do.

Thanks for your call and the enclosures.

Sincerely,

Harold Weisberg

Mon. Jan. 16, 1966

Mrs. A. W. Brussell, Route 2, Box 3050, Carmel, California 93921

Dear Mr. Weisberg,

It was nice talking to you the other day. Enclosed is the letter I sent to Chancellor Murphey, the Dean of Law at UCLA, and Liebeler.

I told you how I had to send duplicates because "due to very rare circumstances they were signed for and not delivered."

Mr. Liebeler is a devil. Please drop just a note and tell me what you think of the letter. I purposely tried to bring in all new material. There are meaningless answers ^{excuses} for the Zapruder fiasco, hidden autopsy x-rays, etc. My purpose was to tell Mr. Murphey and Mr. Liebeler that my intention is to go on and keep giving them more each month.

Also enclosed is that Dan Se article in N.Y. Times about Governor Kirk. Very important.

Also enclosed are the two articles about the

couple
alled
ich
nat.

the
the

sure of
reply

ist

on

loened

Mrs. A. W. Brussell, Route 2, Box 3050, Carmel, California 93921

enlarged CIA. One came from editorial page in LA Times. The other is from the N.Y. Times. How did it happen that without a whisper, the doors were open? I told you I saw Sen. McCarthy late Oct. I gave him 7½ pages of Volume numbers and page numbers of what I thought was proof that Oswald had been a CIA agent. Amongst them was the testimony of Lt. Col. Folsom with regards to Oswald's Russian Exams in the Army while in the Marine Corps. Also included was all the details of Oswald's pay checks and jobs from the time he came home until he was killed-how he got the jobs and the circumstances of who was not called and why relating to this employment.

As I told you, my concern right now is to write for the next few months on only Marina and her relationship with the Russian Community of Dallas. If you have any material other than the Hearings- regarding interviews or relationships with them, please let me know.

Thankyou

Mrs. Brussell

Mrs. A. W. Brussell, Route 2, Box 3050, Carmel, California 93921

P.S. The articles I have about the "invasion of Haiti" last week are from the N.Y. Times, Jan 4. The following names were mentioned;

Fifty-one men were booked in jail in Miami.
Comapny commander- Anton Constanzo

Rev. Jean Georges.
Masferrer.

There were two long articles, but these are the only names mentioned.