## Composition of aredering, w. 21701

## 19/27/ 57

State of the state of the state of

min length of the method the market sery from home recention, line ferthur and the services of the services of the services of the services and the services are services.

ాట్ల కేట్లు కేట్లు కేటుకు కేటుకుంటు కేట్లుకుంటు కేటక్ కా మీరుకు కేటుకు ప్రాంతి మీరుకు మీరుకు మీరుకు ప్రాంతి ప్ పైకా కాట్లు కైటుకుంటు ఉన్న కాటకు అంటే మీరుకు పైయా కాటాలికేటుకు పట్టింది. మీరుకు మీరుకు ప్రాంతి కాటకు ప్రాంతి పైలుకు పైలుకు ప్రాంత కాటాక్ట్రికేట్లు పైలుకు కేట్లుకు తెక్కుకు మీరుకు మీరుకు మీరుకు పోట్లు కాటకు ప్రాంతి ప్రాంతి పై అంటేకి కొట్టి మీరుకు అంటే మీరుకు ప్రాంతి మీరుకు మీ

From the theorem we have the matter of the property of the property of the property of the property  $\sigma C$ 

payer year a trunce by the street time year to concern and action as the least of the least action of the least action. It is the least action of the least action of the least action of the least action. It is the least action of the least actio

in the second of the second

1 01 011

## Mr. Weisberg:

I wanted to draw your attention to a little matter concerning the recent photos in the Post and in Life. That is not Margis running up the hill in Willis and the later Bond photos. First of all, that it could be Margis is contrary to his explicit statement that he "never saw a picket fence." Margis said he ran back up under a light pole where a man and child lay on the ground, near a small masonry wall, returned, get on his cycle and rode off under the everpass, returned in a few moments and took up a position in front of the TSBD. The radio reports him back about 12:54.

In the first Bend phete, that is undeubtedly Margis coming up behind his cycle. He has stepped in midrun teward the cycle from behind, ebviously. He has plainly been semewhere else, he plainly did not jump off his cycle after being hit with a "shower" of blood, tward the presumed place of the shot that caused it, that is, up the west knell, at least not first. He jumped off his cycle and ran semewhere behind it, which would accord with his testimeny that he ran up behind a light pole where a man was on the ground with a child. I think that is Margis in the Mark Bell phote in Life, the running efficer. Life is simply wrong that the efficer is running toward the knell. The efficer could be running either toward the knell or into the street. And the fact that his badge glows brightly on his left chest proves that he is in fact running into the street after having been semewhere on the knell. His direction is from the vicinity of the light pole where the man and weman are on the ground.

Besides, the cycle of Margis disappears from the later Bend and Willis photos. It is not there when the efficer is running up the west knell toward the fence and railroad yard. This alone proves it is not Margis. Another cycle has appeared up against the north curb of Elm Street just in front of the Fert Worth Turnpike sign. You can see his helmet in Willis #6 and the cycle up against the north curb. It is this efficer who ran up the knell by the fence and climbed into the railroad yard, depicted in later Bend and Willis photos. This would almost certainly be Maygood. He testified that he was back on Mouston Street when the shots were fired, that he spurred up, rede around to about where the car was when the shots were fired, tried to jump the curb and failed, left his cycle and ran up the knell toward the railroad yard. He did not run up there because he saw semething but because he thought it a likely place.

People do not study these pictures properly, but seem to look at them only to see what they want to see. Thus, though it sounds convincing to say that here is a picture of Margis after getting spattered with blood from the direction of the west knoll, jumps off his cycle and runs up there. That is just not the case. We jumped off his cycle and ran in the opposite direction as both his testimeny and a study of the pictures show.

There is only one man who went immediately up the knell and that is the man whom Bowers and Helland and many others saw ride up it. This man is always paired with Hargis who immediately dropped his cycle in the street. It is obviously one of the men riding the right trunk of the limesine, no doubt the ever-missing Mr. Jackson (if he rode his assignment that day.)

I know that this ride does not show in any of the available pictures; and I know that many researchers have decided that Bowers and Holland and the others were wrong, that the ride never happened. I think it did and that it has been suppressed from the pictures in some manner. Bowers and Holland witnessed the ride from widely different angles, both gave a vivid description of it, both make it clear that the man rode up the knoll, others say he rode up near the steps, and all pair him with the man who immediately dropped his cycle in the street (Margis).

If Bowers and Wolland are mistaken about this, then they described vividly something that never happened and none of their testimeny is credible. I think they were honest and accurate witnesses and I trust their testimeny more than I do the pictures. Both men intruded this into their testimeny since it supported their stories. Molland said the man rode toward the place where he saw the puff of smoke. Bowers said the man rode toward the direction where the two milling men had been, the ones who somehow drew his attention.

You know I think the man belted when Mrs. Kennedy came over the right trunk of the car. However, you do not have to agree with that to agree that if this ride took place it is important for anyone who thinks there was a sniper on the knoll, since the man rode directly for the spot where Holland and Bowers saw suspicious activity. And this ride has been suppressed from the evidence. In other words, Jackson is still the man to be questioned. And he is utterly missing from the case.

You might be interested to know that I have determined to my own satisfaction that I was not driving my car when that wreck happened and I get into so much trouble and bad publicity. When I received my car back, about a menth age, there had been \$1000. damage to the right front repaired, but the inside had not been touched. The dash beard on the passenger side is bent out of shape, the metal part beneath the padded part almost half an inch on the passenger side, and the carpeting is tern loose deep in the passenger's foot compartment, right where there is a large belt, just the size of the scar I bear on my left instep. Since it was a right side accident, and since I had on asoft shee that absorbed the first blood, I must have moved my feet to the driver's side to try to get out. There was bleed all ever the driver's side fleer, but none at all on my right shee er right shee sele; and long drip marks on the left shee, very plain to see. Thus neither of my feet were ever in the blood on the driver's side flow. One foot hung off there and bled. The crack in the windshield was a spreading from a preexistent defect. One thing disturbs me mightily - I had a bad bruise on the left side that nothing fits but the seat belt on the passenger side when was put in se that the belt buckles on the left side. This exactly fits my bruise. And I never use seat belts. I am convinced that I must have been strapped in there.

I new think that you were right the first time, I must have had a fixed drink. (An Oklahema State pelice veteran who has heard the whole stery says there is no doubt in his mind that this is what must have happened. He said the metive would be sex. ) However, I know that I was not molested; not robbed, so the metive cludes me.

Also, since I know to my satisfaction that there was a passenger, it is a virtual certainty that it was me and that the early report heard by the ambulance driver of semeene running must have been correct and that the driver left the car immediately and ran. Thus the behavior of the police is mystifying. They said that they were in pursuit of the car, rounding a corner when it crashed in the middle of the block. They were therefore upon it immediately, and must have known that I was not driving - which I told them repeatedly though I could not say whe was. They risked a suit for false arrest and one for libel (which they would and will get if I ever get hold of a shred of proof) just in erder to arrest and publicly slander me when they must have known for a certainty that I was not even driving. The fact that the first one en the scene and the one that insisted on the arrest was the friend of the young woman driving at my last memory makes the whole thing still semewhat sinister. They could not have known, were they innecent of any prior knowledge, that I would not remember and be able to prove that I was not driving. As a matter of fact the city presecutor was very nervous about the whole matter and the newspaper dropped the story from all subsequent editions, since I was not charged with what I was accused of, no doubt ... that is running from the police and being drunk.

At this point, it begins to look to me as if the motive may have been exactly what was accomplished. I was fed a fixed drink in order to be taken for a wild ride. The motive made accely have been blackmail since I would have been given it in a stationary place and pictures made or something. Attention was drawn to the car throughout the evening so that the police were looking for it. It was reported "coming into town" on a certain street, the police found it nicely waiting at a closed service station on Main Street, it ran when they appeared and the crash occurred. It looks as if the car was waiting for the cops—one of them—her friend—to find it, so this wild run and pursuit could occur and give someone a chance to do some damage to my reputation.

The ambulance driver, interestingly enough said that my clothes were unbettened and unzmipped; yet I know that I was not melested, nor in any sex siutaion voluntarily, so I think that it is possible that was arranged too. I was simply to be found in the condition most damaging to a woman of my age and occupation. It has even occurred to me that the report of the "young man" running might have been a fake and originally intended to serve the purpose of damaging me but been dropped when it turned out I was not drunk and could express myself. I know one or two young men better than I want to - they keep hanging around - and it is conceivable that someone wanted to pin me to some kind of carouse with an unknown youth - which would be very damaging. Since both of these young men are queer, there is nothing there, needless to say: but someone might think they could make it look like it.

At any rate, it is still a dense mysterious event; and to me still sinister and alarming.

Box 546 (296) Bapter Spring, Kanson (6913)