1/18/68

Dear Miss Franson,

I have sent your interesting memo to Mich Spregue, who has done very good work Colowing behind me on the pictures. Thile we are not in entire ac ord, we do, sgree, substantially, and on almost all things. A copy of my note to him is enclosed.

I've been every. Each time I om I get ferthur behind in my work.

I have not seen Paul Scott's column in the Tulsa world of 1/2 or enywhere else. If you have a coly 1'd appreciate it. Or, I can copy it and return. I take it he had something nice to say about hompson, or not nice about me.

I know little bout Hicks that I can tell you. I do know some things I cennot. Sorry I have to be cryptic.

You are right about the name similarity with the Hargises. Interesting.

and you own ffleir is indeed a mystery!

It does seem that there will now be no untoward consequences, has it increased your busines, by making you some kind of local character?

Thanks lots.

Sincerely,

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Enclosed is the paper you wanted. I think the evidence is self-sustaining, so have added very little commentary. It should demonstrate not only that Thompson is wrong but that a minimum of research into the evidence would have set him right. -I haven't read his book, just the article in the Post, but I've seen the ads with praise from such as Max Lerner and the Saturday Review - praise for his formidable logic, of all things.

An example of this formidable logic is his contention, incredible to me, that the threat wound was caused by a bone fragment forced out by a hit to the head. Thompson claims the President was first hit in the head at 313. Yet 227 shows him clutching -clawing - his threat. Do you suppose Thompson is running that film backwards?

No also accepts as for real the Mannlicher-Carcano and #388. No must think these assassins were hard up if they could afford only 20 dellars for a gun to shoot the President -an old gun and atypical ammunition. A schoolboy can provide himself with a better weapon.

I wead Paul Scett's celumn yesterday in the Tulsa Werld -things like this will be seen, historically, as high praise. The press is afraid of you. And they are dishenered...dishenerable - since Nev. 22, 1963. Why can't you be safe like Thempson? Why must you write beeks that have real results in the real world?

Re Micks: it is impossible to make heads or tails of this from the press reports. Garrison is said to have asserted that Micks had knowledge of the "planning". Yet he is one of those who supposedly came forward immediately to say ashot whizzed over his head from the knoll. (It should have popped, not whizzed, breaking the sonic barrior.) If Micks had prior knowledge and then came forward to say that there was a shot from the knoll, this bears out my contention that we were originally supposed to think shots were fired from the knoll. -Newever, it is not certain from the news whether Garrison thinks this knowledge of the planning was guilty or innocent. And the suggestion is that Nicks waspaid off by means of a good job. I suspect this is all planned confusion on the part of the press.

I suggested ence before that Margis, Bebby Gene, could be related to Margis, Billy James, the Christian Crusader from Tulsa. Billy James is 43 years old and bern in Texarkana, Texas. This would put him right to be a brother of Bebby Gene. Maybe a cousin. I den't knew how to check that out. There may be a million Margises down there. I hope not. Two's enough. -Margis wasn't asked a word about his family or birthplace when he testified, unlike many others who were asked all kinds of unnecessary questions. Maybe this is poetic: Bebby Gene and Billy James sound like brothers to me. I think it would be very interesting if the man sporting the flash of light in the Parallak Altgens should turn out to be a brother of Billy James Margis.

You were kind enough to ask if I had found out more about the people involved the night of my accident. I have. It is an involved example story worthy of a book length attempt, so I won't inflict it on you right now. Suffice it to say that one of the sisters hasn't worked since the night this happened. Quit or lost her job as a teacher. Now on the town. Spending a lot of time in saloons. Talking. And things drift back to me from more sources than these two women would know about. One of the most suspicious things, of course, is that they now seem to avoid me, whereas before they gave me such a come on. I feel that they were no more than a lure or come one, in short, that they were used. I could perhaps believe that they were unwittingly used were it not for the fact that they have not been in touch with me since this happened.

Would you believe -even the car I was accused of hitting has turned out to be a mystery. It was parked in a residential neighborhood more than a mile from the oldress given as the owners. None of the neighbors knew anything about the car or its owner. He didn't come rushing out of a house nearby to see who hit him. My insurance adjusters were unable to find him at this address. To this day none of them has been able to contact him or even find out what part of his car was damaged. About a month after the accident I received a form letter from a north Missouri company requesting \$149.00. The police told me that night that I had demolished this car, and I believed that it had been demolished until I had that form letter. The address given for this man was one that turned out to be a room over a saloon a block from the police station and a block from Opal's bar behind which my car was seen during my blackout period while the young woman driver talked to a cop.

There is only so much coincidence one can swallow, as you know from the Kennedy case. -There is now no part of my personal mystery, from the black out to the wild ride to the cop behavior to the accident to the car hit and its driver, no single part of it which does not remain closed and contrary to normal.

THE PRESIDENT'S MOTORCYCLE ESCORT:
Activities Immediately After the
Shots Were Fired

Beverly Brunsen Bex 296 Baxter Springs, Kansas 66713

The Warren Commission in its investigation into the assassination of President Kommady not only selected its evidence carefully; it made no systemmatic study of the evidence it did collect. An example is its easy treatment of four of the closest witnesses to the shooting, the four metercycle officers who rode the rear bumper of the limesine. These men were trained observers, they were there to guard the President, they were located at a distance of less than ten feet from the President when all the shots were fired -closer than any other witnesses except those in the limesine itself; and, unlike those witnesses, they had an unobstructed view of the President and did not feel themselves to be under fire. Yet only two of these efficers were called to testify. Of a meannixme third we have secondhand information. Of the fourth we have no information, by name, at all.

As a result of the Commission's refusal to study and arrange its dvidence, a number of errors and misconceptions have arisen in regard to the behavior of this four-man oscort immediately after the shots were fired. The most recent inaccuracy to appear is in an article in the Saturday Evening Post, Dec. 2, 1967, by Josiah Thompson:

"It seemed like his head exploded," testifed Officer Bobby Margis, "and I was splattered with blood and brain, and a kind of bloody water." The splash of this debris so established in his mind the idea that the shot came from the right front that he get off his cycle and led the chase onto the knoll (see pictures on pages 28 and 29).

The photographs Thempson refers to are the first and third of three taken by Wilma Bond. The caption under Bond #1 in the Post reads:

"Margis was hit so strongly by a shower of the President's blood that he felt sure that the shot came from the right front. Dismounting, he turns and looks toward the grassy knoll."

Far from being pictured "dismounting" in this photograph, Margis is pictured a good six foot behind his cycle and running toward it. He appears to have stopped in midrun because his attention has been drawn to something to his right.

The caption to the second photograph of Wilma Bond, which may be referred to as Bond #5, reads:

"Seconds later, Margis has actually climbed the knell, leeking for the assassin (the arrow shows the officer's white helmet). Other witnesses new scramble after Hargis up the knell."

It is true that this photograph depicts an efficer running west up the grassy knell. But this efficer is not Hargis, since the Margis cycle toward which Hargis was running from the east knell in Bond #1 is missing from Bond #3. Another cycle has appeared in Bond #3. This cycle may be seen over the hood of the car in the center of the picture. It may also be seen in Willis #6, up against the north curb of Elm

Street ever the heed of the car just left of center in the photograph. The helmet of this officer may also be seen at the left end of the Fort Worth turnpike sign as he begins to climb the knoll. Thus a simple study of the photographic evidence should have divested Mr. Thompson of his idea that it is Margis running west up the knell in Bend #3. Had Mr. Thempsen read the testimeny of Officer Margis, he could have been even more sure of this fact.

Perhaps it is time to set the record straight on the President's metercycle escert.

There were four efficers at the rear of the limesine when the sheeting occurred. They were B.J. Martin and Bebby Hargis, to the left side of Flm Street, and D.L. Jackson and James Chaney, to the right side of Elm Street. These officers constituted the second section of the motorcycle escert. The load escert was in front of Chief Curry's car and going into the underpass when the shots were Officer Brewer testified that he was through the underpass and did not even hear the shots. The second section of the escert was the four man team behind the President's car, immediately at the bumper. A third section was turning from Main onto Houston Street, more than a block back when the shots were fired. Thus the only members of the escert in a position to have seen anything and acted upenrimmediately were the feur efficers riding right behind the President.

That more than one member of this four-man escert dispersed immediately after the shots there can be no doubt. Fellowing are statments taken from three witnesses who were standing on the Elm Street viaduct when the shots struck the President. It should be kept in mind that these men had a wide unebstructed view of the escert and the President's car.

"Mr. Winborn stated he was not able to ascertain exactly where the shets were fired from. His attention remained on President Kennedy and the metercycle escert. Mr. Winborn stated that very shortly thereafter the metercycle escert dispersed..."

FBI interview with Walter Luke Winbern Welume 22, p. 833. Preceedings.

"Ne stated that he first realized semething was wrong when the metercycles began meving from their regular courses. At er just before this he heard sounds that could have been shots." Clemen Earl Jehnson

FBI interview with KenryaxaxxRevis Vel 22, p. 838 836

"(He) saw President Kennedy slump forward and the pelice metercycle escert maneuver swiftly about the area. Mr. Davis stated his attention was directed to the motorcycle escent---FBI interview with George A. Davis Vel. 22, p. 837

These statments make it abundantly clear that the metercycles in question belonged to the four man escort immediately behind the President's car since no others would have been visible to observers on the viaduct at the time the shots were heard and the President was seen to slump.

What were these maneuvers about the area? Which of these four men did what just after the shots were fired? In the case of two of them, Margis and Martin, the evidence is clear: both men rode on to the hespital with the motorcade.

MARTIN

Mr. Martin: After the shots we picked up speed.

Commission Counsel: Did you go on to Parkland?

Mr. Martin: Yes, sir; I did.

Vel 6, p. 291

CMANEY

James Chaney was not called to testify; however Officer Hargis volunteered information about him in his testimony;

Mr. Hargis: ... Chaney put his meter in first gear and accelerated up to the front to tell them to get everything out of the way, he was coming through...

Vel. 6, p. 294

Chief of Police Jesse Curry confirmed in his testimony that Chancy rode up to the lead carand informed him that the President had been hit.

We are left them with only two efficers of the four man team who were in a position to maneuver about the area", Hargis himself, and D.L. Jackson. Hargis' testimony about his own actions is very clear:

MARGIS

Mr. Hargis:...Chaney put his meter in first gear and accelerated up to the front to tell them to get everything out of the way he was coming through, and that is when the President's kar limesine shot off, and I stopped and get off my meterycle and ran to the right hand side of the street, behind the light pole...

Mr. Stern: You say you parked your motorcycle?

Mr. Hargis: It was to the left hand side of the street from - south side of blm Street.

Vol 6, p. 294.

Hargis then went on to testify: "I remember a man holding a child. Fell to the ground and covered his child with his body...
I ran to the light post and I ran up to this kind of little wall..."

The Bend photo showing Hargis coming up behind his cycle, Bend #1, shows in the direction from Which Margis is running, a man on the gound with a child behind the lamp post. This makes it clear that Margis ran immediately back up the knoll in a northeasterly direction, just as he testified. The man on the ground with his child can be identified. It is William Eugene Nowman, Jr. The FBI interviewed both Newman and his wife:

"Newman first thought the President and Governor were playing some kind of game and suddenly realized they had been shot and that he wasperhaps in the line of fire because officers started running toward the arcade directly back of him and his wife."

FBI interview with William E. Newman Vol 22, p. 842

"She stated that after the President was shot efficers and probably secret service men started running toward the arcade near the point where the photographer was taking his pictures... After the shots she and her husband each grabbed a child and lay down on the grass..."

FBI interview with Frances Gaylo Newman Vol. 22, 9. 842

These statements show that the wall to which Hargis referred was most likely the abutment on which stood Abraham Zapruder who took the well known movie from of the assassination. That motorcycle officers from this four man excert immediately behind the car ran toward the direction of Zapruder is also confirmed in his own testimony:

Mr. Liebeler: As you were standing on this abutment facing Elm Street, you say the police ran ever behind the concrete structure behind you...

Mr. Zapruder: After the shets?

Mr. Liebeler: Yes.

Mr. Zapruder:...yes, after the shots, yes, some of them were metercycle cops - I guess they left their metercycles runni g and they were running behind me, of course, in the line of the shooting. I guess they thought it came from right behind me...

I assumed that they (the shots) came from there because as the police started running back of me, it looked like it came from in back of me.

It will be noted that by the time Bond #1 was taken Zapruder wasno longer on the abutment. Thus one or more motorcycle officers ran behind Zapruder before this photograph was taken, and one of them would undoubtedly be Officer Hargis seen in this photograph running up behind his cycle from that direction -which is where Hargis

testified that he had been.

That Hargis and at least one other officer made a quick trip up the knoll long before an officer is pictured running up the west knoll in Bond #2 and #3 and Willis #7, is made apparent from the testimony of photographer James Altgens:

"The car never did step. It was preceding along in a slew pace and I stepped out in the curb area and made another picture as the Secret Service man stepped upon the rear step of the Presidential kimaxima car and went to Mrs. Kennedy's aid and then after that I immediately crossed the street ... The Secret Service men, uniformed policemen with drawn guns that went racing up this little incline... I started up the incline with - or, after, the officers... but before I had getten over one-quarter of the way up the incline, I met the officers coming back... I noticed the couple that were on the ground with their children..."

Vel 7, pp/ 518 and 519

Thus it seems that a simple reading of the evidence should have indicated to Mr. Thompson that Hargis did not immediately jump off his cycle and run west up the incline along and behind the picket fence, as the officer is depicted doing in Bond #2 and #3:

Mr. Stern: Did you get behind the picket fence that runs from the everpass to the concrete wall?

Mr. Hargis: Ne, ne; I den't remember any picket fence.

Vel 6, p. 295

We can new reconstruct with certainty the movements of Officer Hargis immediately after the shots were fired: he stopped his cycle on the south side of Elm Street across from the steps to the Memorial Arches, ran mank across the street, and east up the incline, saw Mr. Newman fall to the ground with his child, ran up to and probably behind the concrete abutment where Zapruder stood, turned and ran immediately back to his cycle (Bond #1), got on, and as he testifed rade off under both underpasses. He returned in a few moments and took up a position at the Texas School Book Dpository corner, according to his further testimony, substantiated by the radio log.

JACKSON

The fourth motorcycle efficer riding at the immediate rear of the President's car wasnot called to testify; his name does not appear in the index to the <u>Proceedings</u>. No information is available about the activities of this man during or right after the assassination. Nowever, if Jackson rode his assignment that day, we can resconstruct these post-assassination activities with certainty. (It is, of course, possible that there was a last minute substition in this position immediately at the rear of the President's car, so it should be kept in mind that when we the name "Jackson" is used this is a tentative identification. The actions of this fourth officer, unlike his name, cannot be in doubt after a study of the evidence.

It will be apparent from the following quotations that the fourth member of the metercycle escent was engaged in the most spectatular activity of all right after the shots were fired.

"President Kennody slumped forward in his seat and appeared to have been hit by a bullet.

"Simmons said he recalled that a motorcycle policeman drove up the grassy slope toward the Texas School Depository Building, jumped off his motorcycle and then ran up the hill toward the Memorial Arches."

FBI interview with James L. Simmons Vol 22, p. 833

"Mr. Winborn stated he was not able to ascertain exactly where the shots were fired from as his attention remained on President Kennedy and the motorcycle escort ...Mr. Winborn stated that very shortly thereafter the motorcycle escort dispersed and one motorcycle was abandoned by the police efficer riding it on the grassy slope on the nuck north side of Elm Street and this efficer rushed up the steps leading to the pavillion and was lost from sight. The vehicle carrying the President immediately left the area at a high rate of speed."

FBI interview with Walter Luke Winbern Vel. 22, p.

"Me stated that he first realized that semething was wrong when the metercycle escort began moving from their regular courses and at or just before this he heard sounds that could have been shes. Mr. Johnson states that white smoke was observed near the pavillien but he felt that this smoke came from a metercycle abandoned near the spot by a Dallas policeman."

FBI inserview with Clemen Earl Johnson Vel. 22, p. 836

These statements from Simmens, Winbern and Johnson are unmistakable; the famaka rider on the slope was a member of the escert immediately at the rear of the limesine. It could not have been Martin, Rargis, or Chancy. Therefore the efficer must be Mr. Jackson, who was not called to testify to the Commission about what he saw when he rode up the slope, or why, indeed he rode up there. It is also strange that this ride is not pictured in any of the films, taken from the wank south side of the street. That it happend and that it involved one of the escert at the right rear of the President's limesine is clear from the testimeny of two witnesses who saw it from widely different angles, S.M. Melland, on the overpass, and Lee Bowers, on the north terminal railroad tower.

Mr. Helland:...New de you want to know about the two policemen that were riding in the motorcade and one of them throwed his motorcycle down rightin the middle of the street and run up towards that location with his gun in his hand.

Mr. Stern: Twoard -

Mr. Nelland: Teward the location that-

Mr. Stern: Where you saw the puff of smoke?

Mr. Helland: Where I saw the puff of smoke. And another one tried to ride up the hill on his metercycle and get halfway up there and he run up the rest of the way on foot.

Mr. Stern: Go ahead. This is at the time of the -

Mr. Helland: At the time of -

Mr. Stern: That the shets were fired?

Mr. Helland: The shets was fired.

Mr. Stern: Two metercycle pelicemen who were in the metercade?

Mr. Helland: In the metercade, and one of them throwed his metercycle down right in the middle of the street and ran up the incline with his pistel in his hand, and the other metercycle policeman jumped over the curb with his metercycle and tried to ride up the hill on his metercycle, and he -tipped over with him up there, and he ran up there the rest of the way with his ...

Vel. 6, p. 247.

Since no efficers riding metercycles were in a position to have taken the action described by Mr. Helland immediately at the time the shots were fired, the two efficers whose actions are described have to be Margis and Jackson.

Lee Bewers temineny makes the same point:

Mr. Ball: Did you observe any activity on this high ground above Elm after the shets?

Mr. Bewers: At the time of the sheeting there seemed to be some commetion and immediately following there was a metercycle policeman who shot nearly all the way to the top of the incline...Me waspart of the metercade and left it for some reason I did not know...Me came almost to the top and I believe abandoned his metercycle for a memont

and then get en it and proceeded, I den't knew...

Mr. Ball: New did he get up?

Mr. Bewers: He just shet up ever the kun and up.

Mr. Ball: He didn't come by way of Elm Street which dead ends there?

Mr. Bowers: No; he left the motorcade and came up the incline on the metercycle.

Vel. 6, P. 288.

The Commission took only enough interest in Mr. Bowers testimony te misrepresent it in the Report: en p. 83 ef the Report, it is stated that the efficer observed by Lee Bewers and others was Officer Clyde Maygood. Maygood's testimeny (Vel. 6, p. 296) makes clear that he did not jump the curb with his cycle: he explicitly states so. Nor was he in a position to have raced up the knoll immediately after the shets were fired, as Bewers many says the efficer did: Haygood was riding at the corner of Main and Houston when the shots were fired.

Curiously enough, William Manchester (P. 151, Death of a President) makes the same error. He states that the metercycle efficer riding the rear bumper" of the President's limesine immediately left the metercade, bammed the curb and raced up the knell on feet. This is information that Manchester could only have gleaned from Mrs. Jackqueline Kennedy who was coming over the right rear trunk of the car at the time this efficer made his dash. However, Manchester also mixidamitifies this officer as Clyde Haygood ... who was riding by his ewn testimeny "several car lengths" behind the President. Ne was in fact the partner of Marion Baker who charged into the Texas School Depository Building after the shots were fired, and both men were riding at Main and Mouston when they heard the shots, as their testimeny xxxxxxx makes explicit.

Maygood did testify that he xximixxm rode around to the scene of the shooting, tried to jump the curb and failed, and ran up the knoll to the railroad yard on feet. Haygood would thus be the efficer pictured in Bend #2 and #3 and Willis #7, running along the picket fence.

Thus, of the four men at the immediate rear of the car who were in a position to have abserved events in the immediate aftermath of the shooting and who could have taken action, the only one who appears to have taken direct and purposeful action is the one completely missing from the Commission's evidence. We can not even be sure of the man's name! Yet he is the officer pictured directly to the right of the President in the famous Altgens photograph and looking right at him: in short this is the witness clesest to the President of any except these in the limesine. And he is completely missing from the efficial case. Why?