

2/20/48

Dear Mr. Tolson:

On 2/19/48

Your first example of the "right" I am you intend to give in an explicit  
sugary. I have referred to that one. However, if you recall what I wrote you before,  
my suggestion is that you first organize all the evidence, without any explanation  
at all, and separately state your conclusions. Then, if you wish, I shall  
forget myself in evidence, and I shall, if you wish, state the facts. I shall  
it can be done in other ways.

Let me say that I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I  
know that I am not a spy, that I am not a traitor, that I did not do  
in the past, etc. I do not now recall the names, but it is my recollection  
on arrival of the evidence. I have not yet seen the evidence, and I  
to the point of the evidence. I have not yet seen the evidence, and I  
easy. I have not yet seen the evidence, and I have not yet seen the evidence.  
I have not yet seen the evidence, and I have not yet seen the evidence.

When you say that you are not a spy, you are not a spy, you are not a spy.  
one of the things that I will do is to see that you are not a spy, you are not a spy.  
with the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.  
I will do it. I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.

I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
this is the point of the evidence. I have not yet seen the evidence, and I  
with the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.

Your respect, Mr. Tolson, is very good. To one else, to one else, to one else.

I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
things you have suggested, the answer is very good. To one else, to one else, to one else,  
has taken it at all. I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
you are not a spy. I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
such and such. Please refer to the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.  
I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
remember things. I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,

I am not at all sure that I have a duty. How do I know that I am not a spy,  
accounts, I immediately received the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.  
the gratuitous deception of Palmer is, and the case is very persuasive. He seems to  
have been given some things I have not seen before, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.  
not exist in the past. There are also some things that I have not seen before, and I will do it.  
in all this. I hope to get to the point of the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.

Because I have the evidence, and I will do it. I will do it. I will do it.  
on the evidence.

Very truly,

Sincerely,

3-28-68

Dear Mr. Weisberg:

Enclosed is a paper on the Aftermath of the Assassination. I think there can be no doubt that the ambulance running at 12:34 was connected with the shooting involving the President for the dispatcher explicitly says so. I think the enclosed may be the explanation. -This cannot be the epileptic since the epileptic would have arrived at Parkland about 12:30 - none is on the Registration (Price Exhibit.) The epileptic, I'm sure, was a fraud: police do not run epileptics to hospitals, as a matter of fact. I think the epileptic must have been a dodge to draw spectators away from the sign-assassination site up to the corner. It is timed right for that. No such ambulance arrived at Parkland after 12:30. This was 606 ambulance on the epileptic, the same ambulance called for the hearse, an Oneal ambulance. Oneal also took Oswald. Oneal seems to have had a special in with the cops.

I plan to send you a series of papers like the enclosed, condensed for quicker reading and with enough citations to enable you to check them. Then if you want any one of them, or all, expanded, we will be glad to do that, and give full citations. The papers will carry through from the assassination to the arrest of Oswald. In effect, it will be a complete exposure of the conspiracy in the DPD: you can't put the evidence on this in order without seeing the complete outline of the operation and who did what.

You will, I trust, forgive me for sending you so much and at my own will. This case has come apart. I eat and sleep it. And I think the time is crucial. I have acquired a number of gray hairs, my first, these last two months but I am not ashamed of them.

Also enclosed is a paragraph or two on the Wise article in the Post. I seem to have been wrong when I said we were going to get our mock conspiracy but it would now be right wing. Some may be trying to make it right wing for greater plausibility. Johnson's line is definitely going to be leftwing from the Wise article.

AK  
Beverly Brunson  
Box 296  
Baxter Springs, Kansas 66713